

Contract No. EP/SP/66/12 Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1 Monthly EM&A Report No.64



Monthly EM&A Report No.64 (Period from 1 October to 31 October 2023)

(Clause 3.3, Further Environmental Permit FEP-01/429/2012/A)

Document No.

KSZHJV	/	312	/	Monthly EM&A	/	0064	/	A
Issuer		Project Code		Type of Document		Sequential No.		Revision Index

	Prepared by:	Certified by:	Verified by:
Name	Joe Ho	F.C. Tsang	Mandy To
Position	Environmental Team	Environmental Team Leader	Independent Environmental Checker
Signature	J.	Toug Fauldeag	Mandy 2.
Date:	10 November 2023	10 November 2023	10 November 2023

[©] This document contains confidential and proprietary information belonging to Keppel Seghers - Zhen Hua Joint Venture and/or its affiliates. The contents of this document shall not be used for any other purpose than that for which they were provided. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this document is strictly prohibited. This document confers upon the recipient no right or license of whatsoever nature based on the information as described herein. If you have received this document in error, please immediately arrange for the return to Keppel Seghers - Zhen Hua Joint Venture or destruction of this document.

Revision History

Rev.	DESCRIPTION OF MODIFICATION	DATE
A	First Submission	14 November 2023

CONTENTS

1.	Basic Project I	Information	7	
2.	Marine Water Quality Monitoring			
3.	Noise Monitor	ing	27	
4.	Waste		34	
5.	Coral		36	
6.	Marine Mamm	nal	42	
7.	White-Bellied	Sea Eagle	53	
8. Sun	· ·	Monitoring Exceedance, Complaints, Notification of ecutions	56	
9.	EM&A Site In	spection	58	
10.	Future Key Iss	sues	60	
11.	Conclusion an	d Recommendations	61	
App	endix A	Master Programme		
App	endix B	Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation		
Appendix C Impact Monitoring Schedule of the Reporting Month		Impact Monitoring Schedule of the Reporting Month		
Appendix D Event/ Action Plan for Water Quality Exceedance		Event/ Action Plan for Water Quality Exceedance		
Appendix E Noise Monitoring Equipment Calibration Certificate				
App	endix F	Event/Action Plan for Noise Exceedance		
Apr	Appendix G Noise Monitoring Data			

Appendix H

Appendix I

Appendix J

Appendix K

Appendix L

Appendix M

Waste Flow Table

Exceedance Report

Complaint Log

Event/Action Plan for Coral Monitoring

Event/Action Plan for White-bellied Sea Eagle Monitoring

Impact Monitoring Schedule of Next Reporting Month

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

- A1. The Project, Integrated Waste Management Facility (IWMF), is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO) and is currently governed by a Further Environmental Permit (FEP No. FEP-01/429/2012/A) for the construction and operation of the Project.
- A2. In accordance with the Updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual for the Project, EM&A works for marine water quality, noise, waste management and ecology should be carried out by Environmental Team (ET), Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited (ASCL), during the construction phase of the Project.
- A3. This is the 64th Monthly EM&A Report, prepared by ASCL, for the Project summarizing the monitoring results and audit findings of the EM&A programme at and around Shek Kwu Chau (SKC) during the reporting period from 1 October to 31 October 2023.

Summary of Main Works Undertaken & Key Mitigation Measures Implemented

- A4. Key activities carried out in this reporting period for the Project included the following:
 - Reclamation Area:
 - Installation of Instrumentation
 - Site Investigation works for foundation
 - Foundation works (including Driven H Pile and Socketed H Pile)
 - Pile cap construction
 - Structural steel work
 - Superstructure construction
 - Seawall Portion:
 - Caisson extension works, from +3mPD to +6mPD, at Seawall A and B
 - Construction of wave wall along the vertical seawall above +3mPD
- A5. The key environmental mitigation measures implemented for the Project in this reporting period associated with the construction activities include:
 - Reduction of noise from equipment and machinery on-site;
 - Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste;
 - Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site;
 - Confirmation of the absence of silt content in the rock filling material and the filling work is properly conducted;
 - Dust suppression measures for exposed earth surface and stockpile of dusty material; and
 - Site runoff control measure during rainstorm.

Summary of Exceedance & Investigation & Follow-up

- A6. The EM&A works for construction waste, noise monitoring and White-Bellied Sea Eagle (WBSE) were conducted during the reporting period in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual.
- A7. No exceedance of the Action or Limit Levels in relation to noise, construction waste and WBSE monitoring was recorded in the reporting month.
- A8. Weekly site inspections of the construction work by ET were carried out on 03, 12, 17 and 24 October 2023 to audit the mitigation measures implementation status. Monthly joint site inspection was carried out on 17 October 2023 by ET and IEC. Observations were recorded in the site inspection checklists and provided to the contractors together with the appropriate follow-up actions where necessary.

Complaint Handling and Prosecution

- A9. No project-related environmental complaint was received during the reporting period.
- A10. Neither notifications of summons nor prosecution was received for the Project.

Reporting Change

A11. There was no change to be reported that may affect the on-going EM&A programme.

Summary of Upcoming Key Issues and Key Mitigation Measures

- A12. Key activities anticipated in the next reporting period for the Project will include the following:
 - Reclamation Area:
 - Installation of Instrumentation
 - Site Investigation works for foundation
 - Foundation works (including Driven H Pile and Socketed H Pile)
 - Pile cap construction
 - Structural steel work
 - Superstructure construction
 - Seawall Portion:
 - Caisson extension works, from +3mPD to +6mPD, at Seawall A and B
 - Construction of wave wall along the vertical seawall above +3mPD
- A13. The key environmental mitigation measures for the Project in the coming reporting period associated with the construction activities will include:
 - Reduction of noise from equipment and machinery on-site;
 - Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste;

- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site, especially under heavy rains and adverse weather;
- Dust control of exposed soil surface and stockpile of dusty material at reclaimed area;
- Dust suppression measures for exposed earth surface and stockpile of dusty material;
- Site runoff control measure during construction works; and
- Dust and noise control of foundation works.

1. BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The Government of Hong Kong SAR will develop the Integrated Waste Management Facilities (IWMF) Phase 1 (hereafter "the Project") with incineration to achieve substantial bulk reduction of unavoidable municipal solid waste (MSW) and to recover energy from the incineration process. The IWMF will be on an artificial island to be formed by reclamation at the south-western coast of Shek Kwu Chau. Keppel Seghers Zhen Hua Joint Venture (KSZHJV) was awarded the contract under Contract No. EP/SP/66/12 Integrated Waste Management Facilities Phase 1 to construct and operate the Project.
- 1.1.2 An environmental impact assessment (EIA) study for the Project has been conducted and the EIA Report was approved under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance on 17 January 2012. An Environmental Permit (EP) (EP No.: EP-429/2012) was granted to EPD on 19 January 2012 for the construction and operation of the Project. Subsequently, the EP was amended (EP No.: EP-429/2012/A) and a further EP (FEP) (EP No.: FEP-01/429/2012/A) was granted to the Keppel Seghers Zhen Hua Joint Venture (KSZHJV) on 27 December 2017.
- 1.1.3 A further EP (FEP) (EP No.: FEP-02/429/2012/A) on Submarine Cable for the Development of the Project was granted to CLP Power Hong Kong Limited (CLP) on 17 January 2020.
- 1.1.4 The key design and construction elements of the Project include the Design and the Works including but not limited to the design, engineering procurement, construction, testing and commissioning of the Facility including:
 - Ground Treatment works;
 - Seawall and Breakwater construction;
 - Non-dredged Reclamation;
 - Other Marine works and Harbour and Port Facilities;
 - Site formation;
 - Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Treatment Processes;
 - Energy Recovery for Power Generation and Surplus Electricity export;
 - Wastewater treatment process;
 - Desalination and water treatment process;
 - Civil works;
 - Building and Structural works;
 - Electrical and Mechanical works;
 - Building Services;
 - Architectural and Landscaping works; and
 - All other design and works required for the operation and maintenance of the Facility according to the Contract requirements.

1.1.5 The location of the IWMF near Shek Kwu Chau (SKC) and general layout of IWMF are shown in **Figure 1.1** and **Figure 1.2** respectively.




Figure 1.1 Location of the IWMF at the Artificial Island near SKC




Figure 1.2 General Layout of the IWMF at the Artificial Island near SKC

- 1.2 The Reporting Scope
- 1.2.1 This is the 64th Monthly EM&A Report for the Project which summarizes the key findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 October 2023 to 31 October 2023.
- 1.3 Project Organization
- 1.3.1 The Project Organization structure for Construction Phase is presented in **Figure 1.3**.




Figure 1.3 Project Organization Chart

1.3.2 Contact details of the key personnel are presented in **Table 1.1** below:

Table 1.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone no.
Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture	Project Manager	Peter Chung	2192-0603
Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited	Environmental Team Leader	F.C. Tsang	2698-6833
ERM-Hong Kong, Limited	Independent Environmental Checker	Mandy To	2271-3000

1.4 Summary of Construction Works

1.4.1 Details of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period are shown in **Table 1.2** and **Figure 1.4** below. The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

Table 1.2 Summary of the Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Month

Location of works	Construction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
Reclamation area	Installation of Instrumentation	On-going
	Site Investigation works for foundation	On-going
	Foundation works (including Driven H Pile and Socketed H Pile)	On-going
	Pile cap construction	On-going
	Structural steel work	On-going
	Superstructure construction	On-going
Seawall portion	Caisson extension works, from +3mPD to +6mPD, at Seawall A and B	On-going
	Construction of wave wall along the vertical seawall above +3mPD	On-going




Figure 1.4 Location of Major Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Month

1.5 Summary of Environmental Status

1.5.1 A summary of the valid permits, licences, and /or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in **Table 1.3**

Table 1.3 Summary of the Status of Valid Environmental Licence, Notification, Permit and Documentations

Permit/ Licences/ Notification	Reference	Validity Period	Remarks
Variation of	EP-429/2012/A	Throughout	
Environmental Permit		the Contract	
Further	FEP-01/429/2012/A	Throughout	
Environmental Permit		the Contract	
Notification of	Ref No.: 428778	15/12/2017 -	
Construction Works		22/09/2024	
under the Air			
Pollution Control			
(Construction Dust)			
Regulation (Form			
NA)			
Wastewater Discharge	WT00039438-2021	15/02/2022 —	
Licence		28/02/2027	
Chemical Waste	WPN0017-933-K3301-	Throughout	
Producer Registration	01	the Contract	
	WPN5213-961-K3301-	Throughout	
	02	the Contract	
	WPN5296-839-K3301-	Throughout	
	03	the Contract	
Construction Noise	GW-RS0611-23	27/07/2023—	Portion 1, 1A & 1B
Permit (24 hours)		26/01/2024	
Billing Account for	A/C No.:7029768	Throughout	
Disposal of		the Contract	
Construction Waste			

1.5.2 The status for all environmental aspects is presented in **Table 1.4**.

Table 1.4 Summary of Status for Key Environmental Aspects under the Updated EM&A Manual

n .	G
Parameters	Status
Water Quality	779 1 11 / 12 / 12 / 13 / 1
Baseline Monitoring under Updated EM&A Manual and Detailed Plan on DCM	The baseline water quality monitoring result has been reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP Condition 3.4
Impact Monitoring	As confirmed with Contractor and Project Supervising Officer, no marine construction work will be carried out from March to December 2023 tentatively. An updated EM&A arrangement to propose the temporary suspension of water quality and line-transect monitoring from March to December 2023 was submitted to EPD on 21 March 2023. EPD advised no comment on the updated EM&A arrangement on 29 March 2023. The water quality and line-transect monitoring were then temporarily suspended from 30 March 2023 onward. A two-week advance notice will be made by the Contractor prior to resumption of marine construction works. The water quality monitoring and line-transection monitoring will be resumed upon the resumption of marine construction works. ET will notify the resumption of marine construction works with updated EM&A schedule within one day after receiving the notification from contractor
Post DCM Monitoring	All DCM was completed on 14 October 2020, regular DCM monitoring for further 4 weeks (i.e form 16 October 2020 to 14 November 2020) was completed according to the approved Detailed Plan on Deep Cement Mixing
Initial Intensive DCM Monitoring	Conducted from 11 February 2019 to 10 March 2019, had not been resumed since there was no DCM related parameter exceeding the AL/LL.
Baseline Water Quality of wet season	Completed over 13 August 2018 to 7 September 2018
Noise	
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline noise monitoring result has been reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP Condition 3.4
Impact Monitoring	On-going
Waste Management	
Mitigation Measures in Waste Monitoring Plan	On-going
Coral	
Pre-translocation Survey and Coral Mapping	The Coral Translocation Plan was submitted and approved by EPD under EP Condition 2.12
Coral Translocation	Completed on 28 March 2018
Post-Translocation Coral Monitoring	Survey affected by missing of translocated and tagged coral colonies after typhoons in September 2018, completed on 28 March 2019.
Pre-construction Coral Survey and Tagging	Completed on 26 June 2018
Tagged Coral Monitoring	Survey obstructed due to missing of tagged coral colonies after typhoons in September 2018
Coral Survey and Re-	Re-tagging at Indirect Impact Site was conducted on 23

Parameters	Status
tagging	November and Re-tagging at Control Site was conducted on 3
	December 2018.
Post Re-tagging Coral	On-going
Monitoring	
Marine Mammal	
Vessel-based Line-transect	The baseline marine mammal monitoring result has been
Survey Baseline	reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD
Monitoring	under FEP Condition 3.4
Vessel-based Line-transect	Temporarily suspended since 30 March 2023, as no marine
Survey Impact Monitoring	construction works as defined in the approved EIA report
	(AEIAR-163/2012) and the Updated EM&A Manual was
	conducted in this reporting month.
Land-based Theodolite	30 days of theodolite surveys were started on 21 Feb 2019 and
Tracking	completed in May 2019.
Passive Acoustic	30 days of PAM surveys were started on 1 May 2019 and
Monitoring	completed by the end of May 2019.
White-bellied Sea Eagle	I
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline WBSE monitoring result has been reported in
	Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP
	Condition 3.4
Impact Monitoring	On-going, since chick was observed during monitoring event on
	28 March 2023, the frequency of impact monitoring will
Environmental Audit	changed to 7-day consecutive monitoring.
Environmental Audit	
Site Inspection covering	On-going
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality,	
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water	
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological	
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries,	
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual	On-going
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021,
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP)	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme.
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021,
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless	On-going Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless Porpoise (DMPFP)	Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme.
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless Porpoise (DMPFP)	Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless Porpoise (DMPFP) Mitigation Measures in Vessel Travel Details	Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. On-going
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless Porpoise (DMPFP) Mitigation Measures in Vessel Travel Details Daily Site Audit and	Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme.
Site Inspection covering Measures of Air Quality, Noise Impact, Water Quality, Waste, Ecological Quality, Fisheries, Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures in Marine Mammal Watching Plan (MMWP) Mitigation Measures in Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless Porpoise (DMPFP) Mitigation Measures in Vessel Travel Details	Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme. On-going

1.5.3 Other than the EM&A work by ET, environmental briefings, trainings and regular environmental management meetings were conducted, in order to enhance environmental awareness and closely monitor the environmental performance of the contractors.

1.5.4 The EM&A programme has been implemented in accordance with the recommendations presented in the approved EIA Report and the Updated EM&A Manual. A summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures for the construction phase of the Project during the reporting period is provided in **Appendix B**.

2. MARINE WATER QUALITY MONITORING

2.1 Water Quality Requirements

- 2.1.1 To ensure no adverse water quality impact, water quality monitoring is recommended to be carried out at the nearby water sensitive receivers (WSRs) during construction phase including proposed reclamation, breakwater construction, etc.
- 2.1.2 In accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual, impact water quality monitoring were conducted 3 days per week at mid-flood and mid-ebb tide to obtain impact water quality levels at the eleven monitoring stations during general water quality monitoring for the reporting period.
- 2.2 Water Quality Parameters, Time, Frequency
- 2.2.1 Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Turbidity, Suspended Solids (SS), Salinity and pH have been undertaken at the eleven monitoring stations during general water quality monitoring.
- 2.2.2 DO, temperature, salinity, turbidity and pH have been measured in-situ and the SS, has been assayed in a HOKLAS laboratory.
- 2.2.3 In associate with the water quality parameters, other relevant data were also measured, such as monitoring location/position, time, water depth, sampling depth, tidal stages, weather conditions and any special phenomena or work underway nearby were also recorded. The monitoring schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 2.2.4 Impact water quality monitoring was conducted 3 days per week in the reporting period. All parameters were monitored during mid-flood and mid-ebb tides at three water depths for general water quality monitoring. The interval between two sets of monitoring has not been less than 36 hours.
- 2.2.5 **Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the impact water quality monitoring during construction phase.

Table 2.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter, unit	Frequency	No. of Depths
 Water Depth (m) Temperature (°C) Salinity (ppt) pH (pH unit) Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L and % of saturation) Turbidity (NTU) Suspended Solids (SS), mg/L 	General water quality monitoring: 3 days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides	3 water depths: 1m below sea surface, mid-depth and 1m above sea bed. If the water depth is less than 3m, mid-depth sampling only. If water depth less than 6m, mid-depth may be omitted.

- 2.3 Water Quality Monitoring Locations
- 2.3.1 Impact water quality monitoring was conducted at eleven monitoring locations (B1-B4, H1, C1, C2, F1, CR1, CR2 & M1) during general water quality monitoring in the reporting period as shown in **Figure 2.1**. As per the relocation proposal verified by IEC and approved by EPD, the monitoring location C1, C2, S2, F1 are relocated at C1A, C2A, S2A, F1A as equivalent points respectively to clear up the concerns from stakeholders.




Figure 2.1 Water monitoring locations at Artificial Island near SKC

- 2.3.2 B1 to B4 are located at 4 beaches respectively at the southern shore of Lantau Island. Monitoring station H1 is located at the horseshoe crab habitat at northern SKC, while CR1 and CR2 are located at the coral communities at southwestern shore of SKC. Monitoring station F1 is located at the Cheung Sha Wan Fish Culture Zone while monitoring station M1 is located at Tung Wan at Cheung Chau. Monitoring station F1A is relocated for F1 at the Cheung Sha Wan Fish Culture Zone. S1, S2 and S3 are located at the northern landing site, midway and southern landing site of the proposed submarine cable, respectively. S2A is the relocated monitoring station of S2 which represents the midway landing site of the proposed submarine cable. S1, S2/S2A and S3 are required for monitoring due to the laying of submarine cable. Control stations C1 and C2 at far field locations are for comparison. Control stations C1A and C2A are relocated for C1 and C2 respectively as equivalent far field locations for comparison.
- 2.3.3 Fourteen monitoring stations are listed in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2 – Locations of Marine Water Quality Stations

Monitoring station	Description	Easting	Northing
B1	Beach – Cheung Sha Lower	813342	810316
B2	Beach – Pui O	815340	811025
В3	Beach - Yi Long Wan	817210	808395
B4	Beach – Tai Long Wan	817784	808682
H1	Horseshoe Crab – Shek Kwu Chau	816477	806953
C1	Control Station (note i)	810850	806288
C1A	Relocated Control Station	812823	806300
C2	Control Station (note ii)	819421	808053
C2A	Relocated Control Station	818869	806808
F1	Cheung Sha Wan Fish Culture Zone (note iii)	818631	810966
F1A	Cheung Sha Wan Fish Culture Zone	819109	810924
S1	Submarine Cable Landing Site	814245	810335
S2	Submarine Cable (note iv)	815076	807747
S2A	Submarine Cable	814808	808515
S3	Submarine Cable Landing Site	816420	805621
CR1	Coral	817144	805597
CR2	Coral	816512	805882
M1	Tung Wan	821572	807799

Note:

- i. Relocated to C1A in Mar 2019
- ii. Relocated to C2A in Mar 2019
- iii. Relocated to S2A in Mar 2019
- iv. Relocated to F1A in Mar 2019

2.4 Impact Monitoring Methodology

- 2.4.1 General water quality monitoring was conducted three days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides, at the designated water quality monitoring stations during the reporting period.
- 2.4.2 The interval between 2 sets of monitoring was not less than 36 hours. Sampling was collected at three water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above seabed, except where the water depth is less than 6m, the mid-depth was omitted. If the water depth was less than 3m, only the mid-depth station was monitored.
- 2.4.3 Duplicate in-situ measurements and water sampling were carried out in each sampling event. The monitoring probes were retrieved out of water after the first measurement and then redeployed for the second measurement. When the difference in value between the first and second readings of DO or turbidity is more than 25% of the value of the first reading, the reading would be discarded and further readings would be taken.

<u>In-situ Measurement</u>

2.4.4 Levels of DO, pH, temperature, turbidity and salinity would be measured in-situ by portable and weatherproof measuring instrument, e.g. YSI ProDSS and Horiba U-53 Multiparameter complete with cable and sensor. (Refer http://www.ysi.com/ProDSS for YSI ProDSS technical specification https://static.horiba.com/fileadmin/Horiba/Products/Process and Environmental/Wat er_Pollution/Instruction_Manuals/U-50/U-50_SS_E.pdf for Horiba U-53 technical specification). Water current velocity and water current direction would be measured by portable and weatherproof current meter, e.g. SonTek Hydrosurveyor (Refer to https://www.sontek.com/hydrosurveyor for SonTek Hydrosurveyor M9 technical specification). Parameters measured by in-situ measurement is tabulated in **Table 2.3**

Table 2.3 – Parameters Measured by In-situ Measurement

Parameter	Resolution	Range
Temperature	0.1 °C	-5-70 °C
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	0.01 mg/L	0-50.0 mg/L
Turbidity	0.1 NTU	0-1000 NTU
рН	pH 0.01	pH 0-14
Salinity	0.01 ppt	0-40 ppt
Water Current Velocity	0.001m/s	±20m/s
Water Current Direction	±1°	±2°

Laboratory Analysis

2.4.5 Analysis of SS shall be carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory. Sufficient water samples shall be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory determinations. The determination work shall be started within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. Analytical methods and detection limits for SS is presented in **Table 2.4**.

Table 2.4 – Analytical Methods Applied to Water Quality Samples

Parameter	Analytical method	Detection Level
Suspended Solids, SS	APHA 2540 D ⁱ	1 mg/L

Footnote:

 "APHA 2540 D" stands for American Public Health Association Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 23rd Edition.

Field Log

2.4.6 Other relevant data was recorded, such as: monitoring location / position, time, water depth, weather conditions and any special phenomena underway near the monitoring station.

2.5 Monitoring Equipment

2.5.1 Equipment used in the impact water quality monitoring programme is summarized in **Table 2.5** below.

Table 2.5 Impact Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Monitored Parameter	Equipment	Brand and Model
DO, Temperature, Salinity,	Multi-functional Meter	Horiba U-53
pH and Turbidity		YSI ProDSS Multi Parameter
Coordinates	Positioning Equipment	Garmin GPSMAP 78s
Water depth	Water Depth Detector	Hummingbird 160 Portable
SS	Water Sampler	Wildco 2 L Water Sampler
		with messenger

2.5.2 Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measuring Equipment

The instrument is a portable and weatherproof DO probe mounted on the multifunctional meter complete with cable and sensor and is powered by a DC supply source. The equipment was capable of measuring:

- A DO level in the range of 0 50 mg/L; and
- Temperature of -5 70 degree Celsius.

2.5.3 Turbidity Measurement Instrument

The instrument is a portable and weatherproof turbidity-measuring probe mounted on the multi-functional meter and is powered by a DC supply source. The instrument is equipped with a photoelectric sensor which is capable of measuring turbidity between $0-1000~\rm NTU$.

2.5.4 pH Measurement Instrument

The probe consists of a potentiometer, a glass electrode, a reference electrode and a temperature-compensating device mounted on the multi-functional meter. It is readable to 0.1 pH in a range of 0 to 14. Standard buffer solutions of at least pH 7 and pH 10 were used for calibration of the instrument before and after use.

2.5.5 Salinity Measurement Instrument

A portable salinometer mounted on the multi-functional meter capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0-40 parts per thousand (ppt) was provided for measuring salinity of the water at each monitoring location.

2.5.6 Sampler

The water sampler comprises a transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, which can be effectively sealed with latex cups at both ends. The sampler has a positive latching system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler is at the selected water depth.

2.5.7 Sample Containers and Storage

Water samples for SS were stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen) and delivered to the laboratory and analysed as soon as possible after collection. Sufficient volume of samples was collected to achieve the detection limit stated in **Table 2.4**.

2.5.8 Water Depth Detector

A portable, battery-operated echo sounder was used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station. This unit could either be hand-held or affixed to the bottom of the work boat, if the same vessel is to be used throughout the monitoring programme.

2.5.9 Monitoring Position Equipment

Hand-held digital Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS) with way point bearing indication and Radio Technical Commission for maritime (RTCM) Type 16 error message 'screen pop-up' facilities (for real-time auto-display of error messages and DGPS corrections from the Hong Kong Hydrographic Office) was provided and used to ensure that the water sampling locations were correct during the water quality monitoring work.

2.6 Maintenance and Calibration

- 2.6.1 The multi-functional meters were checked and calibrated before use. Multi-functional meters were certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme, and subsequently re-calibrated at three monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Responses of sensors and electrodes were checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibration for a DO meter was carried out before commencement of monitoring and after completion of all measurements each day. Calibration was not conducted at each monitoring location as daily calibration is adequate for the type of DO meter employed.
- 2.6.2 Sufficient stocks of spare parts were provided and maintained for replacements when necessary. Backup monitoring equipment was prepared for uninterrupted monitoring during equipment maintenance or calibration during monitoring.

2.7 Action and Limit Levels

2.7.1 The Action and Limit Levels have been set based on the derivation criteria specified in the Updated EM&A Manual and Detailed DCM Plan, as shown in **Table 2.6** below.

Table 2.6 Criteria of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Parameters	Action	Limit
Construction Pha	ase Impact Monitoring	
DO in mg/L	≤ 5 %-ile of baseline data	≤ 4
SS in mg/L	≥ 95 %-ile of baseline data or 120% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 99 %-ile of baseline data or 130% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Turbidity in NTU	≥ 95 %-ile of baseline data or 120% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 99 %-ile of baseline data or 130% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Temperature in°C	1.8°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day	2°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day

2.7.2 Based on the baseline monitoring data and the derivation criteria specified above, the Action/Limit Levels have been derived and are presented in **Table 2.7** and **Table 2.8** for both dry seasons (October – March) and wet seasons (April – September).

Table 2.7 Derived Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring (Dry Season)

Parameters	Action	Limit
Construction Pha	ase Impact Monitoring	
DO in mg/L	≤ 7.13	≤ 4
SS in mg/L	≥ 8 or 120% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 10 or 130% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Turbidity in NTU	≥ 5.6 or 120% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 12.8 or 130% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Temperature in °C	1.8°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day	2°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day

Notes:

i. "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths.

ii. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.

iii. For turbidity, SS and Salinity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

Table 2.8 Derived Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality (Wet Season)

Parameters	Action	Limit
Construction Pha	ase Impact Monitoring	
DO in mg/L	≤ 5.28	≤ 4
SS in mg/L	≥ 12 or 120% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 14 or 130% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Turbidity in NTU	≥ 4.0 or 120% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher	≥ 4.3 or 130% of control station's turbidity at the same tide of the same day of measurement, whichever is higher
Temperature in °C	1.8°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day	2°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day

Notes:

- i. "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths.
- ii. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- iii. For turbidity, SS and Salinity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.
- 2.7.3 If exceedances were found during water quality monitoring, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan shall be carried out according to **Appendix D**.
- 2.8 Monitoring Results and Observations
- 2.8.1 As confirmed by the Contractor on 14 October 2020, all DCM works was completed on 14 October 2020, the post DCM water quality monitoring was completed for further 4 weeks (i.e. from 16 October 2020 to 14 November 2020) according to the approved Detailed Plan on Deep Cement Mixing. As all DCM work and post DCM water quality monitoring were completed, no water quality monitoring was conducted at S1, S2A and S3 from 14 November 2020 onward. As no marine construction work will be carried out from March to December 2023 and EPD had no comment on temporary suspension of water quality monitoring on 29 March 2023, the water quality was then temporarily suspended from 30 March 2023 onward.

3. Noise Monitoring

- 3.1 Monitoring Requirements
- 3.1.1 To ensure no adverse noise impact, noise monitoring is recommended to be carried out at the nearby noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) during construction phase.
- 3.1.2 In accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual, baseline noise level at the noise monitoring stations was established as presented in the Baseline Monitoring Report. Impact noise monitoring was conducted once per week in the form of 30-minutes measurements Leq, L10 and L90 levels recorded at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays.
- 3.1.3 In accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual, additional weekly impact monitoring should be carried out during respective restricted hours period (1900 0700 hours) if the construction works were conducted at evening and night time. Additional weekly noise monitoring was conducted once per week in the form of 5-minutes measurements Leq, L10 and L90 levels recorded at each monitoring station between 1900 and 0700 hours as well as public holidays and Sundays.
- 3.2 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Time, Frequency
- 3.2.1 Impact noise monitoring was conducted weekly in the reporting period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. Additional impact noise monitoring was conducted weekly in the reporting period between 1900-0700 hours on all days as well as public holidays and Sundays.
- 3.2.2 Construction noise level measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}). $L_{eq\ 30min}$ was used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. $L_{eq\ 5min}$ was used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 1900 and 0700 hours as well as public holidays and Sundays. **Table 3.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the impact noise monitoring and additional impact noise monitoring. The monitoring schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Time, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Station	Time	Duration	Parameters
	Day time: 0700-1900 hrs (during normal weekdays)	Once per week $L_{\text{eq 5min}}/L_{\text{eq 30min}}$ (average of 6 consecutive $L_{\text{eq 5min}}$)	L _{eq} , L ₁₀ & L ₉₀
M1/ N_S1, M2/ N_S2, M3/ N_S3	Evening time: 1900-2300 hrs (including normal weekdays, also public holidays and Sundays)	Once per week $L_{eq 5min}$ (3 sets of $L_{eq 5min}$)	L _{eq} , L ₁₀ & L ₉₀
	Night time: 2300-0700 hrs (including normal weekdays, also public holidays and Sundays)	Once per week Leq 5min (3 sets of Leq 5min)	L _{eq} , L ₁₀ & L ₉₀

3.3 Noise Monitoring Locations

3.3.1 Three noise monitoring locations for impact monitoring and additional impact monitoring at the nearby sensitive receivers are shown in **Figure 3.1.**




Figure 3.1 Noise monitoring locations at SKC

- 3.3.2 M1, M2 and M3 are Shek Kwu Chau Treatment and Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1, 2 and 3 respectively of The Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers (SARDA) located at southern part of Shek Kwu Chau.
- 3.3.3 Measurements at M1 & M3 were conducted at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and at a position 1.2m above the ground. Measurement setup at M3 has been varying with minor adjustment to minimize the disturbance to the users of Treatment Centre. Measurement at M2 was conducted at a point 1m from building façade of the ceiling of 1st floor level for avoidance of mutual disturbance with users of Treatment Centre. The minor adjustment of monitoring locations, which were in favour to mutual convenience with the users of Treatment Centre, were found with no effect on monitoring result based on on-site observation and experience from the Baseline monitoring of the Project. The noise monitoring stations are summarized in **Table 3.2** below.

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Location

Station	NSR ID in EIA Report	Noise Monitoring Location	Type of sensitive receiver(s)	Measurement Type
M1	N_S1	Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1	Residential	Façade
M2	N_S2	Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 2	Residential	Façade
M3	N_S3	Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 3	Residential	Façade

- 3.4 Impact Monitoring Methodology
- 3.4.1 At each designated monitoring location, measurements of six 5-minute A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level ["Leq 5min"] was carried out between 0700 and 1900 hours for daytime measurements on a normal weekdays (excluding Sunday or general holiday). The measured six impact noise levels at each monitoring location shall then be averaged in logarithmic scale and expressed in terms of the 30-minute A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq 30min) for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays.
- 3.4.2 At each designated monitoring location, measurements of three 5-minute A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level [" $L_{eq\ 5min}$ "] was carried out between 1900 and 0700 hours for evening time and night time measurements.
- 3.4.3 The monitoring procedures are as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter was normally positioned 1 m exterior of the noise sensitive façade and lowered sufficiently so that the building's external wall acts as a reflecting surface.
 - If there is a problem with the access to the normal monitoring position, an alternative may be chosen and appropriate correction would be applied according to acoustic principle when necessary. For reference, +3 dB(A) correction would be made for free-field measurements.
 - The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
 - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - Frequency weight: A
 - Time weighting: Fast
 - Measurement time: 5 minutes

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement was considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement was required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- Noise monitoring was carried out for 30 minutes by sound level meter. At the end
 of the monitoring period, noise levels in terms of L_{eq}, L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded. In
 addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded when the equipment was
 checked and inspected.
- All the monitoring data within the sound level meter system was downloaded through the computer software.

3.5 Monitoring Equipment

- 3.5.1 Integrated sound level meter was used for the noise monitoring. The meter shall comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications.
- 3.5.2 Equipment used in the impact noise monitoring programme is summarized in **Table** 3.3 below. Calibration certificates for the noise monitoring equipment are attached in **Appendix E**.

Table 3.3 Impact Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Sound Level Meter	SVANTEK 971
Sound Calibrator	RION NC-75

3.6 Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.6.1 The maintenance and calibration procedures were as follows:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator were cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
 - The sound level meter and calibrator were checked and calibrated at yearly intervals
 - Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0dB.

3.7 Action and Limit Levels

3.7.1 The Action/Limit Levels in line with the criteria of Practice Note for Professional Persons (ProPECC PN 2/93) "Noise from Construction Activities – Non-statutory Controls" and Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process issued by HKSAR Environmental Protection Department ["EPD"] under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance, Cap 499, S.16 is presented in **Table 3.4**.

Table 3.4 Action and Limit Levels for Noise per Updated EM&A Manual

Time Period	Action	Limit (dB(A))
0700-1900 hrs on normal	When one documented	75 dD(A)
weekdays	complaint is received	75 dB(A)

Notes: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

- 3.7.2 If exceedances were found during noise monitoring, actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan shall be carried out according to **Appendix F**.
- 3.8 Monitoring Results and Observations
- 3.8.1 Impact monitoring for noise impact for daytime was carried out on 03, 12, 18, 24, 30, 31 October 2023. Impact monitoring for noise impact for evening time and night time was carried out on 03&04, 12&13, 18&19, 24&25, 30&31 October and 31 October & 1 November 2023. The impact noise levels at Noise Monitoring Stations at SKC (i.e. M1/N_S1 to M3/N_S3) are summarized in **Table 3.6**, **Table 3.7** and **Table 3.8** respectively. Details of noise monitoring results are presented in **Appendix G**.
- 3.8.2 Major construction activity, major noise source and extreme weather which might affect the results were recorded during the impact monitoring.
- 3.8.3 According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the noise monitoring station in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 3.5**. Sound from the intermittent piling work was the noticeable noise source for monitoring stations M1, M2 and M3. Air conditioning units were also observed nearby monitoring stations M3.

Table 3.5 Summary of Field Observation

Monitoring Station	Major Noise Source
M1	Sound from the intermittent piling work
M2	Sound from the intermittent piling work
M3	Sound from the intermittent piling work, air-conditioner

3.8.4 No data from impact monitoring during daytime has exceeded the stipulated limit level at 75 dB(A).

Table 3.6 Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results during Day Time (0700 – 1900 hours)

Location	Measured Noise Level in dB(A)		
	Range of Leq 30min	Range of L _{10 30min}	Range of L _{90 30min}
M1	56.0 – 64.0	57.7 – 68.3	54.0 – 55.7
M2	53.4 – 63.0	54.6 – 66.9	49.0 – 56.4
M3	55.5 – 60.3	57.7 – 62.1	50.5 – 57.3

- 3.8.5 Applicable mitigation measures for construction works are fully implemented as shown in **Appendix B**, where double-glazed windows and air conditioning system were also installed and confirmed operable for the NSRs (N_S1, N_S2 & N_S3).
- 3.8.6 During the noise monitoring event, frontline staff of ET had inquired the treatment centre users on any noise disturbance from the construction activities at evening and night time, where no complaint and adverse opinions was received.
- 3.8.7 Where site inspection and auditing on Contractor's record have shown that the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority for construction works during restricted hours were followed, no inappropriate practice was spotted during evening time and night time construction works. Thus, the stipulated requirement on noise impact control during night time and evening time was achieved.

Table 3.7 Summary of Additional Impact Noise Monitoring Results during Evening Time (1900 - 2300 hours)

Location	Measured Noise Level in dB(A)		
	Range of Leq 5min	Range of L _{10 5min}	Range of L _{90 5min}
M1	42.5 – 59.3	43.6 – 60.1	41.5 – 55.8
M2	48.6 – 58.7	50.1 – 61.7	41.5 – 55.2
M3	44.2 – 61.1	45.0 – 63.3	43.4 – 59.7

Table 3.8 Summary of Additional Impact Noise Monitoring Results during Night Time $(2300-0700\ hours)$

Location	Measured Noise Level in dB(A)								
	Range of Leq 5min	Range of L _{10 5min}	Range of L _{90 5min}						
M1	39.6 – 53.1	41.8 – 55.0	37.3 – 49.8						
M2	39.1 – 50.6	40.3 – 53.9	37.0 – 49.0						
M3	40.9 – 62.7	41.7 – 62.9	35.6 – 62.5						

4. WASTE

- 4.1 The waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials, and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes such as plastics and paper/cardboard packaging waste. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials.
- 4.2 As advised by the Contractor, no C&D materials were generated on site in the reporting month. No metal was generated and collected by registered recycling collector. 377.0kg of paper was collected by the registered recycling collector. No plastic waste was collected by registered recycling collector. No chemical waste was collected by the licensed chemical waste collector. 234.0m³ of other types of wastes (e.g. general refuse) was disposed of at designated landfill. 402.5m³ of fill rock was imported during the reporting period. No fill sand or public fill was imported during the reporting period.
- 4.3 Chemical waste generated from land-based construction activities was stored in the chemical waste cabinet for temporary storage.
- 4.4 With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 4.1**. Details of cumulative waste management data are presented as a waste flow table in **Appendix H**.
- 4.5 The Contractor is advised to sort and store any solid and liquid waste on-site properly prior to disposal.

Table 4.1 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project during October 2023

Reporting Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly						
	Total and Quantity Bro	Hard Rock	Reused in	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill			Papar /	Plastics			Others,	
		Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Broken the Concrete Contract			Sand	Public Fill	Rock	Metals	Paper / cardboard packaging	(see Note	Chemical Waste		e.g. general refuse (see Note 3)
	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)		(in ,000m ³)		(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000L)	(in ,000m ³)
Oct 2023	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4025	0	0.3779	0	0	0	0.2340

Notes:

- (1) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
- (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
- (3) Use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5m³ by volume.
- (4) Use the conversion factor: rock density = 2 T/m^3 .

5. CORAL

5.1 Coral Monitoring Requirements

- 5.1.1 To monitor the health condition of corals during different phases, corals located within areas likely to be affected by the Project, corals located at control sites (areas unlikely to be affected by the Project), the trans-located coral colonies as well as the tagged natural coral colonies at the recipient site were chosen, in order to identify any adverse indirect impact from the marine works. The size, percentage cover and health condition of corals (i.e. any sign of abnormal appearance, such as layer of mucus, bleaching, partial mortality etc.) at representative transects should be recorded during each monitoring.
- 5.2 Coral Monitoring Parameters, Time, Frequency
- 5.2.1 Rapid Ecological Assessment (REA) survey was conducted on 26 June 2018 at the suggested control site and indirect impact site within two weeks before commencement of the construction work which was 29 June 2018. 10 selected hard coral colonies with the similar species were tagged at both control and indirect impact sites. Following coral translocation in the recipient site R3, 16 coral colonies attached to rocks less than 50 cm in diameter were translocated and tagged, as well as 10 selected natural coral colonies, at the recipient site. One additional REA survey was conducted in December 2018 to further assess the seabed condition at Indirect Impact Site after Typhoon Mangkhut.
- 5.2.2 Tagged coral colonies at the suggested control site and indirect impact site are being monitored weekly for the first month and followed by monthly monitoring for two months. Quarterly monitoring will be carried out after the first three-month of monthly monitoring until the completion of marine works and bi-annual monitoring will be carried out after the completion of marine works. The selected Control Site is located at Yuen Kong Chau of Soko Islands about 7 km away from the project area. Tagged coral colonies at the proposed recipient site are being monitored quarterly for one year. The selected recipient site R3 is located at the opposite side of the Project area at about 2 km away. The detailed survey of the Control Site and Impact Site were conducted before the commencement of the Construction Phase.
- 5.2.3 Monitoring recorded the following parameters (using the same methodology adopted during the pre-translocation survey); the size, presence, health conditions (percentage of mortality/bleaching) and percentage of sediment of each tagged coral colony. The general environmental conditions including weather, sea, and tidal conditions of impact site, control site and recipient site were monitored.
- 5.2.4 **Table 5.1** summarizes the monitoring locations, time and frequency of the tagged coral colonies monitoring. The monitoring schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.

Table 5.1 Tagged Coral Monitoring Locations, Time and Frequency

Monitoring Location	Monitoring Month/Year	Frequency	No. of Monitoring Survey
	1st Month	Weekly Survey	4
	2 nd to 3 rd Months	Monthly Survey	2
	4 th Month (postponed	Re-tagging of Cora	al Colonies in Indirect
	to 5th month due to	Impact Site after Ty	phoon Mangkhut
	diver accident in Shek		
	Kwu Chau in October		
	2018)		
	4 th Month (postponed		al Colonies in Control
	to 5 th month due to	Site after Typhoon M	Vlangkhut
	diver accident in Shek		
	Kwu Chau in October 2018 and further		
	postpone to 6 th month		
	due to adverse		
	weather)		
	5 th Month (postponed	Post Re-tagging	1
	to 6 th month due to	Monthly Survey	
	diver accident in Shek		
	Kwu Chau and further		
10 selected hard coral	postponed to 7 th		
colonies at control site /	month due to delay of		
indirect impact site	re-tagging activities at		
	both Indirect Impact		
	Site and Control Site) 7 th to 68 th Months	Overtanly, Cymray	20
	(postponed to 8 th to	Quarterly Survey	20
	57 th month due to		
	diver accident in Shek		
	Kwu Chau in October		
	2018)		
	69 th to 76 th Months	Bi-annually	2
	(The marine	Survey	
	construction work is		
	anticipated to be		
	completed by		
	February 2024, the		
	frequency of monitoring will be		
	changed to bi-annual		
	with reference to the		
	Updated EM&A		
	Mannual (Rev.E))		
16 translocated hard	, , , , ,		
coral colonies and 10			
selected natural hard	1 st Year	Quarterly Survey	4
coral colonies at			
recipient site R3			

5.3 Coral Monitoring Locations

5.3.1 Location of the ten tagged coral colonies at each of the proposed indirect impact site (re-tagging after typhoon Mangkhut), control site (baseline) and recipient site R3 (translocation) are shown in **Figure 5.1**, **Figure 5.2** and **Figure 5.3** respectively:




Figure 5.1 Tagged Natural Corals at Indirect Impact Site Near SKC for re-tagging after typhoon Mangkhut



Figure 5.2 Tagged Natural Corals at Control Site Near Yuen Kong Chau for retagging after typhoon Mangkhut



Figure 5.3 Tagged Translocation Corals at Recipient Site R3 near SKC

5.3.2 The GPS coordinates of the tagged coral colonies, retagged coral colonies and recipient site were shown in **Table 5.2**, **Table 5.3** and **Table 5.4** respectively.

Table 5.2 Tagged Natural Corals during Baseline and Re-tagged Natural Corals after Typhoon Manghkut at Control Site near Yuen Long Chau

Coral #	GPS Co	oordinates
1	N22°09'45.96"	E113°54'57.81"
2R	N22°11'29.12"	E113°59'09.01"
3	N22°09'45.81"	E113°54'57.78"
4	N22°09'45.70"	E113°54'57.95"
5R	N22°11'29.10"	E113°59'09.18"
6	N22°09'45.75"	E113°54'58.02"
7R	N22°11'29.17"	E113°59'08.86"
7	N22°09'45.65"	E113°54'57.94"
8	N22°09'45.53"	E113°54'57.90"
9	N22°09'46.23"	E113°54'54.70"
10R	N22°11'29.18"	E113°59'08.91"

Notes:

i. The re-tagged corals were marked as #R.

Table 5.3 Re-tagged Natural Corals after Typhoon Manghkut at Indirect Impact Site near SKC

Coral # note i	GPS Coordinates	
11R	N22°11'29.14"	E113°59'08.92"
12R	N22°11'29.12"	E113°59'09.01"
13R	N22°11'29.11"	E113°59'09.07"
14R	N22°11'29.13"	E113°59'09.12"
15R	N22°11'29.10"	E113°59'09.18"
16R	N22°11'29.07"	E113°59'09.23"
17R	N22°11'29.17"	E113°59'08.86"
18R	N22°11'29.14"	E113°59'08.94"
19R	N22°11'29.20"	E113°59'08.81"
20R	N22°11'29.18"	E113°59'08.91"

Notes:

Table 5.4 GPS Coordinates of Recipient Site R3

Site	GPS Coordinates	
R3	N22°11'43.69"	E113°28.99"

5.4 Impact Monitoring Methodology

- 5.4.1 Health status of coral was assessed by the following criteria:
 - Hard coral: Percentage of surface area exhibiting partial mortality and blanched/bleached area of each coral colony and degree of sedimentation.

5.5 Action and Limit Levels

5.5.1 Monitoring result was reviewed and compared against the below Action Level and Limit Level (AL/LL) as set with the below **Table 5.5** and **Table 5.6**.

i. The re-tagged corals were marked as #R.

Table 5.5 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase Coral Monitoring

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
Mortality	a 15% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged indirect impact site coral colonies that is not recorded on the tagged corals	If during Impact Monitoring a 25% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged indirect impact site coral colonies that is not recorded on the tagged corals at the control site, then the Limit Level is exceeded.


Table 5.6 Action and Limit Levels for Post-Translocation Coral Monitoring

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level
Mortality	Monitoring a 15% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the translocated coral colonies	mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the translocated coral colonies that is not recorded on the original corals in the recipient site,

- 5.5.2 If exceedance was found during coral monitoring. The actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be carried out according to **Appendix I.**
- 5.6 Monitoring Results and Observations
- 5.6.1 No coral monitoring survey had been done during the reporting period and the 20th quarterly coral monitoring during construction phase at both Indirect Impact Site and Control Site would be scheduled in December 2023.

6. MARINE MAMMAL

- 6.1 Monitoring Requirements
- 6.1.1 The marine mammal monitoring programme would focus on Finless Porpoise, as the study area near Shek Kwu Chau has been identified as a hotspot for this species, while the Chinese White Dolphins rarely occurred there in the past.
- 6.1.2 The monitoring will verify the predicted impacts on marine mammals and examine whether the mitigation measures recommended in the EIA report have been effectively implemented to protect marine mammals from negative impacts from construction activities.
- 6.1.3 The Vessel-based Line-transect Survey, the Passive Acoustic Monitoring and the Land-based Theodolite Tracking will be conducted to provide systematic, quantitative measurements of occurrence, encounter rate, habitat use, movement and behavioural patterns of marine mammals within or near the Project Area during construction and operational phases.
- 6.1.4 The mammal monitoring works during construction consist of the following three survey methods:
 - Vessel-based Line-transect Survey to monitor the occurrence of Finless Porpoises (and Chinese White Dolphins) in the study area during construction works, by comparing with the findings of the pre-construction marine mammal monitoring;
 - Passive Acoustic Monitoring to study the usage of the Project Area and two
 control sites in South Lantau Waters by Finless Porpoise during construction works,
 in reference with the baseline findings of the pre-construction marine mammal
 monitoring; and
 - Land-based Theodolite Tracking to study the movement and behavioral pattern of Finless Porpoise within and around the Project Area during construction works.
- 6.1.5 The marine mammal observation works of Marine Mammal Exclusion Zone (MMEZ) and Marine Mammal Watching as two of the specific mitigation measures recommended in the approved EIA report shall be fully and properly implemented for the Project to minimize disturbance on Finless Porpoise during construction and operational phases.
- 6.2 Survey Methods
- 6.2.1 Vessel-based Line-transect Survey
- 6.2.1.1 For the vessel-based marine mammal surveys, the monitoring team adopted the standard line-transect method (Buckland et al. 2001) as same as that adopted during the EIA study and pre-construction phase monitoring to allow fair comparison of marine mammal monitoring results.
- 6.2.1.2 Eight transect lines are set at Southeast Lantau survey area, including Shek Kwu Chau, waters between Shek Kwu Chau and the Soko Islands, inshore waters of Lantau Island (e.g. Pui O Wan) as well as southwest corner of Cheung Chau as shown in **Figure 6.1** below:



6.2.1.3 The surveys should cover all 4 seasons in order to take natural fluctuation and seasonal variations into account for data analysis of distribution, encounter rate, density and habitat use of both porpoises and dolphins (if any). In comparison to the baseline monitoring results, results from the analysed construction phase monitoring data would allow the detection of any changes of their usage of habitat, in response to the scheduled construction works. The monitoring surveys shall be conducted throughout the construction phase involving marine construction work with the frequency shown in **Table 6.1** below:

Table 6.1 Vessel-based Line-transect Survey Frequency

Season	Months	Frequency
Peak Season	December, January, February,	Twice per month
	March, April & May	
Non-peak Season	June, July, August, September,	Once per month
	October & November	_

6.2.1.4 For each vessel survey, a 15-m inboard vessel with an open upper deck (about 4.5 m above water surface) would be used to make observations from the flying bridge area. Two experienced marine mammal observers (a data recorder and a primary observer) would make up the on-effort survey team, and the survey vessel would transit different transect lines at a constant speed of 13-15 km per hour. The data recorder shall search with unaided eyes and fill out the datasheets, while the primary observer shall search for dolphins and porpoises continuously through 7 x 50 marine binoculars. Both observers shall search the sea ahead of the vessel, between 270° and 90° (in relation to the bow, which is defined as 0°). Two additional experienced observers shall be available on the boat to work in shift (i.e. rotate every 30 minutes) in order to minimize fatigue of the survey team members. All observers shall be

experienced in small cetacean survey techniques and identifying local cetacean species with extensive training by marine mammal specialist of the ET.

- 6.2.1.5 During on-effort survey periods, the survey team shall record effort data including time, position (latitude and longitude), weather conditions (Beaufort sea state and visibility), and distance travelled in each series (a continuous period of search effort) with the assistance of a handheld GPS (Garmin eTrex Legend). Data including time, position and vessel speed would also be automatically and continuously logged by handheld GPS throughout the entire survey for subsequent review.
- 6.2.1.6 When porpoises or dolphins are sighted, the survey team shall end the survey effort, and immediately record the initial sighting distance and angle of the porpoise or dolphin group from the survey vessel, as well as the sighting time and position. Then the research vessel shall be diverted from its course to approach the animals for species identification, group size estimation, assessment of group composition, behavioural observations, and collection of identification photos (feasible only for Chinese White Dolphin). The perpendicular distance (PSD) of the porpoise or dolphin group to the transect line would then be calculated from the initial sighting distance and angle, which shall be used in the line-transect analysis for density and abundance estimation.
- 6.2.1.7 The line-transect survey data shall be integrated with a Geographic Information System (GIS) to visualize and interpret different spatial and temporal patterns of porpoise and dolphin distribution using their sighting positions collected from vessel surveys. Location data of porpoise and dolphin groups would be plotted on map layers of Hong Kong using a desktop GIS (e.g. ArcView© 3.1) to examine their distribution patterns in details. The encounter rate could be used as an indicator to determine areas or time periods of importance to porpoises within the study area. For encounter rate analysis of finless porpoises, only survey data collected under Beaufort 2 or below condition would be used for encounter rate analysis.
- 6.2.1.8 To take into account of the variations of survey effort across different sections within survey area, the quantitative grid analysis of habitat use would be conducted to examine finless porpoise usage among 1-km² grids within the Southeast Lantau survey area. For the grid analysis, SPSE (sighting density) and DPSE (porpoise density) values would be deduced for evaluation on level of porpoise usage. First, positions of on-effort porpoise sightings from the study period are plotted onto 68 grids (1 km x 1 km each) within the survey area. Sighting density grids and porpoise density grids shall then be normalized with the amount of survey effort conducted within each grid. The total amount of survey effort spent on each grid shall be calculated by examining the survey coverage on each line-transect survey to determine how many times the grid had been surveyed during study period. With the amount of survey effort calculated for each grid, the sighting density and porpoise density of each grid shall be further normalized (i.e. divided by the unit of survey effort).
- 6.2.1.9 The newly-derived unit for sighting density was termed SPSE, representing the number of on-effort sightings per 100 units of survey effort. In addition, the derived unit for actual porpoise density was termed DPSE, representing the number of dolphins/porpoise per 100 units of survey effort. Among the 1-km² grids that were partially covered by land, the percentage of sea area was calculated using GIS tools, and their SPSE and DPSE values were adjusted accordingly. The following formulae shall be used to estimate SPSE and DPSE in each 1-km² grid within the study area:

$$SPSE = ((S / E) \times 100) / SA\%$$

 $DPSE = ((D / E) \times 100) / SA\%$

where S = total number of on-effort sightings


D = total number of dolphins/porpoises from on-effort sightings

E = total number of units of survey effort

SA% = percentage of sea area

6.2.2 Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM)

The PAM aims to study the usage of an area by Finless Porpoise by using an array of automated static porpoise detectors (e.g. C-POD) which would be deployed at different locations to detect the unique ultra-high frequency sounds produced by Finless Porpoise. During the construction period, the PAM survey will be conducted including placement of two passive porpoise detectors outside the Project Area as control site (i.e. within Pui O Wan and to the south of Tai A Chau) and one porpoise detector within the Project Area (i.e. near Shek Kwu Chau) as shown in **Figure 6.2** below.



Note*: The alternative PAM device adjacent to the Project site was deployed from 5 Mar to 11 Apr 2019, which contained a full 37 days acoustic monitoring data set. After the confirmation of loss of the original PAM within the Project site, this data set was proposed to replace that of the original one, as consulted with AFCD accordingly.

Figure 6.2 Locations of Passive Acoustic Monitoring

6.2.3 These three detectors will be deployed on-site to carry out 24-hours monitoring for a period listed as **Table 6.2** below during the construction phase.

Table 6.2 PAM Deployment Period

Season	Months	Deployment Period
Peak Season	December, January, February,	At least 30 days during the peak
	March, April or May	months of porpoise occurrence
		in South Lantau waters

- 6.2.3.1 The automated static porpoise detectors shall detect the presence and number of finless porpoise and Chinese White Dolphins respectively over the deployment period, with the false signal such as boat sonar and sediment transport noise distinguished and filtered out. The detectors shall be deployed and retrieved by professional dive team on the seabed of the three selected location shown in **Figure 6.2**. During each deployment, the C-POD unit serial numbers as well as the time and date of deployments shall be recorded. Information including the GPS positions and water depth at each of the deployment locations shall also be obtained.
- 6.2.3.2 The diel patterns (i.e. 24-hour activity pattern) of finless porpoise occurrence among the three sites at Shek Kwu Chau, Tai A Chau and Pui O Wan shall be analyzed. Peaks and troughs of finless porpoise occurrence per hour of day would be identified and compared with the results obtained from pre-construction monitoring.
- 6.2.4 Land-based Theodolite Tracking
- 6.2.4.1 The Land-based Theodolite Tracking study would use the same station as in the AFCD monitoring study (same as the baseline monitoring location), which is situated at the southwest side of Shek Kwu Chau (GPS position: 22°11.47' N and 113°59.33' E) as shown in below **Figure 6.3**. The station was selected based on its height above sea level (at least 20 metres), close proximity to shore, and relatively unobstructed views of the entire Project Area to the southwest of Shek Kwu Chau. The height of the Shek Kwu Chau Station established by the HKCRP team is 74.6 m high at mean low water, and only a few hundred metres to the IWMF reclamation site, which is ideal for the purpose for the present behavioural and movement monitoring of finless porpoises as well during construction phase considering there as an un-obstructed vantage point at a height above the Project Site.




Figure 6.3 Locations of Land-based Theodolite Tracking

During the construction phase, Land-based Theodolite Tracking will be carried out for approximately six hours of tracking for each day of field work for a period listed as **Table 6.3** below, preferably at the initial stage of the construction period (i.e. December 2018 to May 2019).

Table 6.3 Land-based Theodolite Tracking Survey Period

Season	Months	Survey Period
Peak Season	December, January, February,	30 days during the peak months
	March, April or May	of porpoise occurrence in South
		Lantau waters

6.2.4.2 The monitoring period for land-based theodolite tracking will be proposed to be overlapped with the PAM. The monitoring team consists of one experienced theodolite operator and at least two field observers for assistance. To conduct theodolite tracking, the observers will search systematically for Finless Porpoise using the unaided eye and 7 x 50 handheld binoculars on each survey day throughout the study area. When an individual or group of porpoises is located, a theodolite tracking session will be initiated and focal follow methods will be used to track the porpoise(s). Behavioural state data (i.e. resting, milling, travelling, feeding and socializing) shall also be recorded every 5 minutes for the focal individual or group. Positions of porpoises and boats shall be measured using a digital theodolite connected to a laptop computer. This tracking survey was conducted during the peak season between December 2018 and May 2019 for 30 surveys spanning across 15-16 weeks during the peak season to provide good temporal coverage during the initial stage of the construction period.

6.3 Specific Mitigation Measures

6.3.1 Monitored exclusion zones

- 6.3.1.1 A MMEZ with 250 m distance from silt curtain shall be established during the above situation. If 3 or more construction vessels are required with MMO's duty and operating in close proximity, for the purpose of avoiding accidental entrance to the works area by Marine Mammal, a cluster MMEZ plan will be implemented to form a MMEZ with 250 m distance from the boundary of a work area as indicated in Figure 1 for reference. A team of MMO (i.e. at least two MMOs per day/night shift teams) would be arranged at the out-lying construction vessels to form the cluster MMEZ. The MMEZ serves as a monitoring approach to provide appropriate and immediate actions once finless porpoise or Chinese White Dolphin is sighted within the MMEZ. All MMEZ will be monitored by competent Marine Mammal Observers (MMOs) to be provided by the Environmental Team for the IWMF and trained by the Marine Mammal Monitoring Specialist of the ET who is independent from KSZHJV. The marine mammal observer(s) shall be independent of the construction contractor and shall form part of the Environmental Team and have the power to call-off construction activities.
- 6.3.1.2 According to the Condition 2.25 of the FEP, MMEZ should be implemented during the installation/re-installation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains. Also, marine construction works expected to produce underwater acoustic disturbance as per Condition 2.27 of the FEP, especially within December and May, would require the implementation of MMEZ, which currently all those specific construction activities have been replaced by less acoustically disturbing construction methods such as Deep Cement Mixing (DCM) and Precast Concrete

Blocks Installation as discussed in Section 5.3 of the Detailed Monitoring Programme on Finless Porpoise, however, MMEZ would also be implemented for precautionary purpose for DCM works.

6.3.1.3 A MMEZ with 250 m distance from the boundary of a work area shall be established during the above situation. A typical MMEZ is indicated in **Figure 6.4** for reference. The MMEZ serves as a monitoring approach to provide appropriate and immediate actions once finless porpoise or Chinese White Dolphin is sighted within the MMEZ. All MMEZ will be monitored by competent Marine Mammal Observers (MMOs) to be provided by the Environmental Team (ET) for the IWMF and trained by the Marine Mammal Monitoring Specialist of the ET who is independent from KSZHJV.




Figure 6.4 Illustration of Typical MMEZ

- 6.3.1.4 Prior to the commencement of construction activity, our MMOs shall ensure the boundary of a marine work area and setting up of the MMEZ for the work area and get access to the monitoring location on a barge or a lookout point where there is no obstructed views for monitoring the MMEZ during the construction activity. The MMEZ shall be scanned thoroughly by a MMO for any presence of marine mammal e.g. finless porpoise for an initial period of 30 minutes. Construction activity shall only be commenced after the MMO has confirmed that the MMEZ is clear of the marine mammal for the initial period of 30 minutes. The MMO shall then inform the construction superintendent through mobile phone or handheld transceivers to certify the commencement of construction activity. The MMEZ monitoring shall be carried on throughout the period for all active construction activities requiring implementation of MMEZ.
- 6.3.1.5 When any mammal marine, e.g. Finless Porpoise, is detected by the MMO within the MMEZ during construction, the MMO shall inform the construction superintendent immediately through mobile phone or handheld transceivers to cease construction activity within the MMEZ. Construction activity shall not be recommenced until the MMO confirms that the MMEZ is continuously clear of marine mammal for a period of 30 minutes. The MMO shall then inform the construction superintendent through mobile phone or handheld transceivers to certify the re-commencement of construction activity.
- 6.3.1.6 As there could be a number of Contractors working at the same time within a work area for the IWMF project, a full contact list of MMEZ monitoring team members of the ET and the relevant responsible construction superintendents of the Contractor at the site shall be prepared, updated regularly and circulated to all parties involved in the MMEZ monitoring. With a full contact list, our MMOs shall be able to find out the contacts of corresponding persons in case of marine mammal sighting within and near the MMEZ or emergent occurrence of any unpredictable impact on marine mammal.
- 6.3.1.7 If a marine mammal is still observed in close vicinity but outside the MMEZ, the MMO shall inform the construction superintendent about the presence of marine mammal. The MMO shall remain in position and closely observe the movement of the marine mammal as well as searching for the appearance of any other marine mammal within the MMEZ. No matter the marine mammal is observed within or in close vicinity but outside the MMEZ, the construction superintendent or relevant persons shall inform all vessel captains involved in construction activities around the MMEZ to pay special attention of the presence of the marine mammal in order to reduce chance of collision with them. In case of injury or live-stranded marine mammal being found within the MMEZ, the marine mammal observer shall immediately inform the construction superintendent to suspend construction activities within the works area and contact AFCD through "1823" marine mammal stranding hotline.
- 6.3.2 Marine mammal watching plan
- 6.3.2.1 Upon the completion of silt curtain installation/re-installation/relocation, the marine works would be conducted within an enclosed environment within the silt curtain. Subsequently, Visual Inspection of the Waters Surrounded by Silt Curtains (Section 2.1, MMWP) and Regular Inspection of Deployed Silt Curtain (Section 2.2, MMWP) inspection under Marine Mammal Watching Plan would be implemented (where applicable, Marine Mammal Exclusion Zone shall be conducted at the meantime).

- 6.3.2.2 Before commencement of dredging/sand blanket laying work at each designated area, a trained MMO shall check whether position frame silt curtains are ready, well prepared and operated without any obvious damage. Also, the MMO shall confirm the presence of the relevant frontline staff of the main contractor or its subcontractors and engineers on board to ensure the effective communication, coordination and implementation of the response plan in relation to any incidents involving marine mammals within the waters surrounded by the position frame type silt curtains and the work areas. Also, there are lookout points at an elevated level on each barge, clear and safe access at the edges of the derrick lighter/ flag-top barge for inspection during dredging/sand blanket laying works, provision of sufficient lighting is required if working at night.
- 6.3.2.3 During the operation, the inspection will be conducted daily. The MMO will walk along the edge of derrick lighter (DL) and flag-top barge (FB) along the position frame silt curtain or proper location without obstacles where appropriate to inspect the position frame silt curtain with naked eyes, the MMO will check that the position frame silt curtains are maintained in the correct positions with no obvious defects / entanglement and there is no observable muddy water passing through the position frame silt curtain system. Any floating refuse trapped by the silt curtain shall be removed as part of the regular inspection. For night inspection, spotlight will be used to provide sufficient brightness to assist the inspection in dark condition.
- For the re-deployment of the localized silt curtains (frame-type, cage-type or 6.3.2.4 enclosed floating-type silt curtains), MMO will conduct visual inspection to confirm that there is no presence of marine mammal within the localized silt curtains (frametype, cage-type or enclosed floating-type silt curtains). Visual inspection will be conducted every hour by MMO for confirming that there is no marine mammal observed in the surrounding area of the deployed silt curtain during re-deployment of localized silt curtains (frame-type, cage-type or enclosed floating-type silt curtains). The duration will be subject to various conditions, e.g. weather or angle of observation. The works can only commence after confirming that the surrounding waters of the localized silt curtains do not contain any marine mammal. Thereafter, frontline staff, i.e. foremen, site agent, superintendents and engineers will assist our MMO in implementing the plan from the active work fronts within the waters surrounded by the silt curtains throughout the work period. The MMO will conduct regular check to observe the presence of any marine mammal around the localized silt curtain or being trapped by the localized silt curtain daily. The MMOs will also check if the localized silt curtains are in correct positions.
- 6.3.2.5 The MMO shall fill up our Marine Mammal Sighting Record Sheet. After inspection, those records should be kept properly and submitted to the project team. In case there is any marine mammal being found, the MMO should carry out the response actions and communicate with relevant parties to stop and then resume work after the discovered marine mammal leaves. After lifting up and mobilization of silt curtain, the MMO will repeat the procedures of regular and visual inspection until the end of the construction works.
- 6.3.2.6 Each lookout point will have an unobstructed view to waters around the DL and FB. The MMO will move around the DL and FB to establish a clear and unobstructed view as much as they can without compromising the safety concern. When appropriate, the lookout point can be replaced by a proper location if unobstructed view can be assured.

- 6.3.2.7 Installation of caisson No.19 was completed on 18 March 2021, which the reclamation area had been totally enclosed by permanent structure. Floating type silt curtain at marine access was removed on 18 March 2021. No enclosed area shall be formed by deployment of silt curtain for the remaining works programme.
- 6.4 Results and Observations
- 6.4.1 Vessel-based Line-transect Survey
- 6.4.1.1 As confirmed with Contractor and Project Supervising Officer, no marine construction work will be carried out from March to December 2023 tentatively. An updated EM&A arrangement to propose the temporary suspension of line transect monitoring from March to December 2023 was submitted to EPD on 21 March 2023 and EPD had no comment on the updated EM&A arrangement on 29 March 2023. The line transect monitoring was then temporarily suspended from 30 March 2023 onward.
- 6.4.2 PAM and Land-based Theodolite Tracking
- 6.4.2.1 30 days of PAM surveys were started on 1 May 2019 and completed in the end of May 2019. Multiple PAM systems were deployed at three sites. The PAM system located at the IWMF was lost, however, an alternative data set had been identified. The PAM systems at the two control sites Tai A Chau and Pui O were recovered on 3 August 2019. A summary of marine mammal detections showed that porpoise were recorded every day of deployment at each site, but at varying frequencies. The detailed theodolite result was presented in 17th Monthly EM&A report (November 2019) while detailed PAM result was presented in 18th Monthly EM&A report (December 2019).
- For the baseline study, the Detection Positive Minutes (DPM) for each site was 6.4.2.2 11,160 (Shek Kwu Chau), 16,089 (Tai A Chau) and 3645 (Pui O Wan), totalling 30,894 DPM across all three sites, compared to DPMs of 4740 (Shek Kwu Chau), 7725 (Tai A Chau) and 23,986 (Pui O Wan), totalling 36,451 DPM, for the impact phase study. As the impact phase study was longer than the baseline study, it is not appropriate to directly compare total counts of DPM. However, the DPM rate (the average number of detections per day) for each site can be more directly compared. During the baseline study, Shek Kwu Chau averaged 338.2 DPM per day compared with 124.8 DPM per day during the impact phase study. This showed a decrease in the daily average of porpoise detection at Shek Kwu Chau. During the baseline study, Tai A Chau averaged 487.6 DPM per day compared with 179.7 DPM per day, during the impact phase study. This showed a decrease in the daily average of porpoise detection at Tai A Chau. During the baseline study, Pui O Wan averaged 98.5 DPM per day compared with 557.8 DPM per day during the impact phase study. This showed a significant increase in the daily average of porpoise detections at Pui O Wan.
- 6.4.2.3 Overall, the PAM study showed that porpoise continue to consistently utilise the Shek Kwu Chau habitat immediately adjacent to the IWMF construction activities, although to a lesser degree than that prior to construction activities. In addition, the Pui O Wan site, which is 2.5 km away from the IWMF construction area, was also consistently utilised during the impact phase PAM study. A continued assessment of fine scale habitat use, particularly through PAM which yielded large quantities of data, would allow a more comprehensive assessment of the EIA predictions.

- 6.4.2.4 Theodolite surveys were completed in May 2019. In total, 34 days of theodolite tracking were completed between February and May 2019, comprising 167 hours and 49 minutes of observation. No Chinese white dolphin was observed and only one finless was recorded. The finless porpoise encounter rate was calculated as 0.006 finless porpoise per hour, in all weather conditions.
- 6.4.2.5 A total of 2620 vessels of ten different types were observed and tracked within or in the proximity of the IWMF construction site. These comprised fishing boats (236), speed boats (29), container boats (155), government boats (22), high speed ferries (53), others (13) and IWMF-Related construction platforms (974), tug boats (240), transportation boats (363), construction boats (531) and approximately 8 buoys were present marking the site boundary.
- 6.4.2.6 The baseline theodolite tracking was conducted immediately prior to and during the site preparation activities of the site. The baseline data records a decrease in porpoise sightings as site preparation activities commenced and notes that the decrease was most likely due to the onset of site preparation activities. The impact theodolite tracking conducted for this study records a marked increase in the number of Project related vessels and platforms and, in agreement with baseline conclusions, shows a concomitant decrease in finless porpoise sightings.
- 6.4.3 Specific Mitigation Measures
- 6.4.3.1 Trainings for the MMO were provided by the ET prior to the monitoring of the Marine Mammal Exclusion Zone (MMEZ) for installation/ re-installation/ relocation process of silt curtains, with a cumulative total of 98 individuals being trained and the training records kept by the ET.

7. WHITE-BELLIED SEA EAGLE

7.1 Monitoring Requirement

- 7.1.1 On Shek Kwu Chau Island, a nest of WBSE is located about 60 m above ground within a hillside shrubland habitat, 130 m in-land from shore, about 550 m away from the proposed reclaimed land, with no human access. 3 phases monitoring programme will be comprised of pre-construction phase, construction phase and operation phase.
- 7.1.2 The Pre-Construction WBSE monitoring was started on 30 January 2018 and the location of WBSE nest was confirmed on 21 February 2018 and it is located at the western part of SKC Island (**Figure 7.1**). Two adults and two chicks were also recorded on 5 March 2018 survey till the end of the Pre-construction monitoring on 15 May 2018. Construction Phase monitoring were carried out followed by the commencement of the Construction Phase on 28 June 2018.

7.2 WBSE Monitoring Parameters, Time, Frequency

- 7.2.1 The objective of the construction phase monitoring should be to verify the utilisation of the area by WBSE, their responses to construction disturbance, as well as the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures. Throughout the construction phase, field surveys should be conducted twice per month during their core breeding season (from December to May), and once per month outside their core breeding season (from June to November). The monitoring frequency should be increased to weekly during the incubation period of each year. In order to confirm their foraging ground near the construction site, it is necessary to conduct daily monitoring during the first week of nestling period in each year.
- 7.2.2 Since the location of the WBSE nest was located at the southwest of SKC within the hillside shrubland, it is impossible to observe the eggs during incubation period. Therefore, monitoring with increased frequency during incubation period will be continued until chick was seen in the nest. Daily monitoring of 7-day consecutive monitoring will be carried out once any chick is recorded during the monitoring day. The monitoring schedule during the reporting period is provided in **Appendix C**.

7.3 Monitoring Location

7.3.1 Since there are no suitable land footings along the coast of SKC, only boat surveys were conducted. On Shek Kwu Chau Island, a nest of WBSE is located about 60 m above ground within a hillside shrubland habitat, 130 m in-land from shore, about 550 m away from the proposed reclaimed land, with no human access.

7.4 Monitoring Methodology

- 7.4.1 Information to be collected included feeding, perching/roosting, preening, soaring, flying, nesting and territorial guarding and the time spent on each activity. The responses and reactions to any disturbance to the WBSEs were also recorded and examined in conjunction with the construction noise and/or other events in the vicinity. Other disturbances such as weather condition, or invasion by other fauna species were also recorded.
- 7.4.2 Binocular, scope, camera, lens and GPS device used are summarized as **Table 7.1** below:

Table 7.1 List of Equipment Used during Construction Phase Monitoring

Equipment	Quantity
Swarovski EL 8.5 x 42 Binocular	1
Swarovski EL Range 8 x 42 Binocular	1
Swarovski ATX 25-60 x 85 Spotting Scope	1
Canon 1Dx Mark II Camera	1
Canon EF300mm F2.8 Lens with Canon 2x Teleconverter	1
Canon PowerShot G7X Camera	1
Garmin GPSMAP 64S	1

7.4.3 If event such as absence of White-bellied Sea Eagle during a whole day of monitoring was found during WBSE monitoring, the actions in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be carried out according to **Appendix J.**

7.5 Results and Observations


7.5.1 To verify the utilization of the area by WBSE, their responses to construction disturbance, as well as the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures. Since there is no landing point long the western part of SKC, boat survey were used for the monitoring survey. The WBSE, monitoring survey was carried out in the morning. The weather condition of monitoring survey was shown in **Table 7.2**.

Table 7.2 Weather Conditions during the WBSE Monitoring

Date	Condition	Temperature (°C)
26 October 2023	Northeast wind force 4 to 5Sunny	29

- 7.5.2 The October 2023 construction phase monitoring was conducted on 26 October 2023. During the whole monitoring survey period, two adult WBSEs were recorded. No abnormal behaviour of the recorded for adults WBSEs during the October 2023 construction phase monitoring.
- 7.5.3 The juvenile recorded in 2022 and 2023 has not been observed since monitoring event in September 2022 and September 2023 respectively, it is suggested that the juvenile left the nest at SKC and nesting in other area outside our monitoring boundary.
- 7.5.4 All construction works during the monitoring period did not show any effect to the WBSE.
- 7.5.5 Any disturbances from anthropogenic activities on the island were not recorded during the monitoring survey. However, fishing boats moving close to the shore were recorded, but no disturbances were caused. No invasion of other faun species was recorded as well.
- 7.5.6 There was no sign of using the construction site as a foraging ground.
- 7.5.7 Once per month construction phase monitoring will be continued in November 2023 during the non-breeding season (between June to November) in order to monitor the

incubation period, utilization of the area by WBSE and their responses to construction disturbance.





8. SUMMARY OF MONITORING EXCEEDANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

8.1 The Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure is shown in **Figure 8.1**.




Figure 8.1 Environmental Complaint Handling Procedures

- 8.2 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular WBSE monitoring and noise monitoring was recorded during the reporting period as shown in **Appendix K**.
- 8.3 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.
- 8.4 No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 8.5 Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in **Appendix L**.

9. EM&A SITE INSPECTION

9.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Contract. In the reporting period, site inspections were carried out on 03, 12, 17 and 24 October 2023 at the site portions listed in **Table 9.1** below.

Table 9.1 Site Inspection Record

Date	Inspected Site Portion	Time
03 October 2023	Portion 1, 1A & 1B (near SKC)	10:30 AM – 11:20 AM
12 October 2023	Portion 1, 1A & 1B (near SKC)	10:30 AM – 11:20 AM
17 October 2023	Portion 1, 1A & 1B (near SKC)	10:30 AM – 11:20 AM
24 October 2023	Portion 1, 1A & 1B (near SKC)	10:55 AM – 11:45 AM

- 9.2 One joint site inspection with IEC was carried out on 17 October 2023.
- 9.3 Environmental deficiencies were observed during weekly site inspection. Key observations during the site inspections of the reporting period are summarized in **Table 9.2**.

Table 9.2 Site Observations

Date	Environmental Observations	Follow-up Status
03 October 2023 (Site inspection)	Observation(s) and Recommendation(s) 1. Near land based concrete batching plant, oil drum should be placed on drip tray.	Near land based concrete batching plant, oil drum had been placed on drip tray.
12 October 2023 (Site inspection)	Observation(s) and Recommendation(s) 1. At A2 & A3, geotextile should be deployed for preventing site runoff.	At A2 & A3, geotextile had been deployed for preventing site runoff.
17 October 2023 (Site inspection)	Observation(s) and Recommendation(s) 1. Near Caisson No.19, sludge inside u-channel should be removed.	Near Caisson No.19, sludge inside u-channel had been removed.
24 October 2023 (Site inspection)	 Observation(s) and Recommendation(s) At vertical seawall Bay 2, oil drum should be placed on drip tray. The faded NRMM labels for excavator YN-48812 and YN-1265668 should be replaced. At prefabricated units 2&3, general waste should be stored inside rubbish bin and removed from site regularly. 	 At vertical seawall Bay 2, oil drum had been placed on drip tray. The faded NRMM labels for excavator YN-48812 and YN-1265668 had been replaced. At prefabricated units 2&3, general waste had been stored inside rubbish bin and removed from site regularly.

- 9.4 The Contractor had rectified all the observations identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period.
- 9.5 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit, contract documents and Updated EM&A Manual, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are implemented as much as practical during the reporting period. An updated Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix B**.

10. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

- 10.1 Works to be undertaken in the next reporting month are:
 - Reclamation Area:
 - Installation of Instrumentation
 - Site Investigation works for foundation
 - Foundation works (including Driven H Pile and Socketed H Pile)
 - Pile cap construction
 - Structural steel work
 - Superstructure construction
 - Seawall Portion:
 - Caisson extension works, from +3mPD to +6mPD, at Seawall A and B
 - Construction of wave wall along the vertical seawall
- 10.2 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction noise, waste management and ecology.
- 10.3 The key environmental mitigation measures for the Project in the coming reporting period expected to be associated with the construction activities include:
 - Reduction of noise from equipment and machinery on-site;
 - Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste;
 - Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site, especially under heavy rains and adverse weather;
 - Dust control of exposed soil surface and stockpile of dusty material at reclaimed area;
 - Dust suppression measures for exposed earth surface and stockpile of dusty material;
 - Site runoff control measure during construction works; and
 - Dust and noise control of foundation works.
- 10.4 The tentative schedule of regular construction noise and ecology monitoring in the next reporting period is presented in **Appendix M**. The regular construction noise and ecology monitoring will be conducted at the same monitoring locations in the next reporting period.


11. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 11.1 This 64th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 October to 31 October 2023, in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP-429/2012/A and FEP-01/429/2012/A.
- 11.2 Construction noise, construction waste and WBSE monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. No project-related exceedance of the Action and Limit Level was recorded from 1 October to 31 October 2023.
- 11.3 Weekly environmental site inspections were conducted during the reporting period. Environmental deficiencies were observed during site inspection and were rectified.
- 11.4 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the Contractor was reminded to pay attention on the proper storage of the chemicals for preventing accidental spillage of chemicals, proper deployment of geotextile for preventing site runoff, proper storage of general and C&D waste to maintain the site tidiness and displaying the NRMMs label to machineries.
- 11.5 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.
- 11.6 No notification of summon or prosecution was received since commencement of the Contract.
- 11.7 The ET will keep track of the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Contract No. EP/SP/66/12 Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1		Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix A	Master Programme	







3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

Actual Work Remaining Work ♦ Milestone

◆ Critical Milestone








3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)






















3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

◆ Actrual Milestone◆ Critical Milestone



Contract No. EP/SP/66/12


環境保護署 Environmental Protection Department



3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)







3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

Critical Remaining Work

◆ Actrual Milestone◆ Critical Milestone








3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

Remaining Work ♦ Milestone

◆ Critical Milestone








3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)



Contract No. EP/SP/66/12





3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

Actual Work

Remaining Work

Critical Remaining Work ◆
♦ Milestone ◆


◆ Actrual Milestone

Critical Milestone



Contract No. EP/SP/66/12






3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

Remaining Work

♦ Milestone







3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)


Remaining Work

Critical Remaining Work ◆
♦ Milestone ◆

◆ Actrual Milestone



Contract No. EP/SP/66/12 Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1 ntal Protection Department




3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

Remaining Work

♦ Milestone







3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)


Actual Work


Remaining Work

Critical Remaining Work ◆
♦ Milestone ◆

Actrual Milestone








3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)

Remaining Work

♦ Milestone







3-Month Rolling Programme (September 2023)


Actual Work Remaining Work


Critical Remaining Work • ♦ Milestone

Actrual Milestone



Contract No. EP/SP/66/12





Contract No. EP/SP/66/12	
Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase	1

Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture

Appendix B Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation

Appendix B

Table B.1 Implementation Schedule for Air Quality Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

	Environmental Protection	Location / Timing		Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
S3b.8.1	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation & Good Site Practices Use of regular watering, with complete coverage, to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather. Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to ASRs. Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. Where this is not practicable owing to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines. Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Where possible, prevent placing dusty material storage piles near ASRs. Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations. Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site. Provision of wind shield and dust extraction units or similar dust mitigation measures at the loading	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	N/A for dust control

				Imple	ementa	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	 points, and use of water sprinklers at the loading area where dust generation is likely during the loading process of loose material, particularly in dry seasons/ periods. Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on unpaved site roads. Ten kilometers per hour is the recommended limit. Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs Instigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise. 								
S3b.6.3	Deodorizers with 95% odour removal efficiency would be installed for the air ventilated from the mechanical treatment plant before discharge to the atmosphere	Waste reception halls, the waste storage area, the mechanical treatment plant / During design & operation phase	IWMF Operator	V		√		EIAO-TM	N/A
S3b.8.2	Air Pollution Control and Stack Monitoring	IWMF stack emissions / During	IWMF Operator	✓		√		EIAO-TM, Supporting Document for	N/A

	Environmental Protection		Implementation Agent	Imple	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	 Air pollution control and stack monitoring system will be installed for the IWMF to ensure that the emissions from the IWMF stack will meet the proposed target emission limits. Voluntary Enhancement Measures in Flue Gas Cleaning and Emission Monitoring: Two-stage bag filter system with reagent recirculation; In addition to SCR, provide SNCR for removal of NOx; tighten emission limit for half-hourly and daily NOx to 160 mg/m³ and 80 mg/m₃ respectively; Well-mixed feed waste: to minimize the fluctuation of pollutant loading on the flue gas treatment system; Two more AQMSs would be set up at South Lantau and Shek Kwu Chau respectively; Limit levels will be set under the IWMF DBO contract to require that waste feed shall cease if any of the air pollutant has exceeded 95% of the emission concentration limit as stipulated in the Special Process license; 	design & operation phase						Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP-429/2012)	

				Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	 Each incineration chamber shall be fitted with auxiliary burners to ensure complete burn out of the combustion gases. 								
	Treated Fly Ash and Air Pollution Control Residues: • During testing and commissioning, the Contractor shall sample and test every container of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. If a test result confirms that any one of the samples does not conform to the limits and the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every container of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria for the next six months. • During the first six months of operation, if the requirements in (a) could be fully conformed with, the Contractor shall sample and test every shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2	IWMF stack emissions / During design & operation phase	IWMF Operator					Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	N/A

				Imple	ementa	ation S	stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	of the Environmental Permit. The								
	Contractor shall take two samples								
	from each shipload for testing and								
	the Contractor shall not dispose of								
	any of that shipload of treated fly ash								
	and air pollution control residues until								
	the test results confirm that the two								
	samples conform to the limits and the								
	criteria. If a test result confirms that								
	any one of the two samples does not								
	conform to the limits and the criteria,								
	the Contractor shall be required to								
	sample and test every shipload of								
	treated fly ash and air pollution								
	control residues for conformance to								
	the Incineration Residue Pollution								
	Control Limits and leachability								
	criteria for the next six months. The								
	Contractor shall make due allowance								
	in the Design and the Operation for								
	the time to sample and test treated fly								
	ash and air pollution control residues								
	before disposal.								
	 Provided that there is no non- 								
	conformance to the Incineration								
	Residue Pollution Control Limits and								
	leachability criteria shown in Table 2								
	of the Environmental Permit								
	throughout a continuous sixmonth								
	period in the Operation Period, the								
	testing frequency shall be reduced to								
	monthly interval. Two samples from								
	one shipload of treated fly ash and air								

				Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	pollution control residues shall be collected and tested for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria. The Contractor shall not dispose of any of the treated fly ash and air pollution control residues in the shipload which the samples are taken until the test results confirm that the samples conform to the limits and the criteria. If the test result confirm that any one of the samples does not conform to the limits and the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit for the next six months.								
-	During testing and commissioning, the Contractor shall sample and test every container of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. If a test result confirms that any one of the samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every	IWMF stack emissions / During design & operation phase	IWMF Operator	•		✓		Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	N/A

EIA Ref Measures / Mitigation Measures Container of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability Location / Timing Implementation Agent Des C O Dec Guidelines	plementation Status and Remarks
conformance to the leachability	
criteria for the next six months. During the first six months of operation, if the requirements in (d) could be fully conformed with, the Contractor shall sample and test one shipload of bottom ash each month for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. The Contractor shall take two samples from the shipload for testing and the Contractor shall not dispose of any of that shipload of bottom ash until the test results confirm that the two samples conform to the criteria. If a test result confirms that any one of the two samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test each shipload of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability criteria for the next six months. The Contractor shall make due allowance in the Design and the Operation for the time to sample and test bottom ash before disposal. Provided that there is no non-conformance to the leachability	

	Fundamental Bustostian			Imple	ementa	ation S	stages*	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec		Status and Remarks
	Operation Period, the Contractor shall be allowed to take two samples from any one shipload of bottom ash once every six months for conformance to the leachability criteria. The Contractor shall not dispose of any of the bottom ash in the shipload which the samples are taken until the test results confirm that the samples conform to the criteria. If the test result confirm that any one of the samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test one shipload of bottom ash each month for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit for the next six months as stipulated above.								

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

Table B.2 Implementation Schedule for Noise Impact Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

		<u> </u>				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks	
s	Good site practices to limit noise emissions at source and use of quiet plant and working methods, whenever practicable.		EPD contrac	and ctors	its		✓			EIAO-TM	Implemented
S4b.6 AI & S4b.8 wi tre (i (i E a ir C a o tl fe n N	Il the ventilation fans installed in the below ill be provided with silencers or acoustics eatment. (i) Stack of the incinerator (ii) Ventilation systems within the IWMF Enclosure and discharge silencer or other acoustic treatment equipment should be installed in the air-cooled chillers Other than provision of silencer or other acoustic treatment equipment for the stack of the incinerator and ventilation system, the detailed design should incorporate the following good practice in order to minimize the nuisance on the neighboring NSRs. (i) The exhaust of the ventilation system and any opening of the building should be located facing away from any NSRs; and (ii) Louver or other acoustic treatment	Within IWMF area / Construction Period	EPD	and ctors	its	~		V		EIAO-TM	N/A

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Imple	ementa	ation Sta	ages*	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	
EIA Ref				Des	С	0	Dec		Implementation Status and Remarks
-	Voluntary Enhancement Measure Provision of air-conditioner and double glazed windows to nearby NSR at Shek Kwu Chau (i.e. SARDA) as precautionary measures.		Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓			Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	Implemented

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

Table B.3 Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Measures for the Artificial Island near SKC

			Implementation Agent	Impl	ementati	ion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines		
S5b.8.1.1	Drainage and Construction Site Runoff The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items:	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		V			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor	
	At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented Channels (both temporary and)									
	permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction.									
	Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary.									
	 Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The 									

				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction.								
	 Water pumped out from foundation piles must be discharged into silt removal facilities. 								
	 Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site runoff and drainage into excavations. Drainage water pumped out from excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. 								
	 During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by a tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94. 								
	 Exposed soil areas should be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff. 								

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
•	Earthwork final surfaces should be well compacted and subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be immediately performed.								
•	Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.								
C c p n s c	Construction solid waste should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering to the nearby watercourses and public drainage system. Rubbish and litter from construction sites should also be collected to prevent spreading of rubbish and litter from the site area.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		√			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Implemented

		Implementation Stag		ages*	Relevant				
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
S5b.8.1.3	There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge license for discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge license. All the run-off and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent for other on-site activities such as dust suppression and general cleaning etc., can minimize water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO license which is under the ambit of regional office of EPD.	During the construction period	Contractor					EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Implemented Discharge License was issued on 15/02/2022
S5b.8.1.4	Accidental Spillage Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		~			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor
S5b.8.1.5	Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas which	During the construction	Contractor		√			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Implemented

				Imple	ementa	ation Stages*	Relevant
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	O Dec	Legislation Implementation Status and Remarks Guidelines
	appropriately equipped to control these discharges.						
S5b.8.1.6	Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be sited on sealed areas in order to prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to the nearby watercourses. All waste oils and fuels should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal.	During the construction	Contractor		>		ProPECC PN Measures but rectified by the 1/94; WPCO; WDO
S5b.8.1.7	Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows:	During the construction	Contractor		~		EIAO-TM; Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor WDO
	 Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport. Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents. Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area. 						

				Impl	ementa	ation Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	O Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
S5b.8.1.8	Sewage Effluent Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor would be responsible. For appropriate disposal and maintenance of these facilities.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓		EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Implemented
S5b.8.1.9	Reclamation and Construction of Breakwaters • The proposed dredging and reclamation should be commenced in phases. The breakwaters and seawalls should be constructed and the reclamation should be started within the enclosed breakwaters after the completion of the breakwater. Silt curtain should be applied around caissons / blockwork during the filling of the cell to prevent the loss of fine in the filling material. • The maximum production rate for dredging for the anti-scouring protection layer shall not exceed the permitted maximum daily dredging rate and carried out within its respective distance from the nearest non-translocatable coral community by the dredging contractor as specified in S.2.18 of the Further Environmental Permit (no.:FEP-01/429/2012/A). It is recommended to employ closed grab with small capacity of 2 m³ to control the dredging rate.	period	Contractor				EIAO-TM; WPCO, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012) Further Environmental Permit No. FEP- 01/429/2012/A	N/A
	 Any gap that may need to be provided for marine access will be located at the middle 							

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imple	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	of the North Western seawall, away from the identified coral communities and will be shielded by silt curtains systems to control sediment plume dispersion.								
	The silt curtain system at marine access opening should be closed as soon as the barges passes through the marine access opening in order to minimize the period of curtain opening. Filling should only be carried out behind the silt curtain when the silt curtain is completely closed.								
	To enhance the effectiveness of the silt curtain at the marine access, the northern breakwater would be built before the commencement of the reclamation to reduce the current velocity towards the marine access opening.								
	The silt curtain system at marine access opening should be regularly checked and maintained to ensure proper functioning.								
	Where public fill is proposed for filling below +2.5mPD, the fine content in the public fill will be controlled to 25% which is in line with the CEDD's General Specification;								
	• The filling for reclamation should be carried out behind the seawall. The filling material should only consist of public fill, rock and sand. The filling composition and filling rates at each filling area should follow those delineated in Table 1 of the FEP-01/429/2012/. The filling above high watermark is not restricted;								

				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	No dredging should be carried out within 16m to the nearest non-translocatable coral community;								
	Daily site audit including full-time on-site monitoring by the ET is recommended during the dredging for anti-scouring protection layer for checking the compliance with the permitted no. of grab;								
	 Closed grab dredger should be used to minimize the loss of sediment during the raising of the loaded grabs through the water column; 								
	Frame-type silt curtains should be deployed around the dredging operations;								
	Floating-type silt curtains should be used to surround the circular cell during the sheetpiling work;								
	The descent speed of grabs should be controlled to minimize the seabed impact speed;								
	Barges should be loaded carefully to avoid splashing of material;								
	All barges used for the transport of dredged materials should be fitted with tight bottom seals in order to prevent leakage of material during loading and transport;								
	 All barges should be filled to a level which ensures that material does not spill over during loading and transport to the disposal site and that adequate freeboard is 								

				Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	maintained to ensure that the decks are not washed by wave action.								
	No DCM works should be carried out within 100m to the nearest non-translocatable coral colony / colonies.								
	Silt curtains should be employed to enclose DCM field trial and any full scale DCM work to minimize the potential impacts on water aspect.								
	 A sand blanket is to be placed on top of the marine deposit using tremie pipes prior to the DCM ground treatment to avoid seabed sediment disturbance. 								
S5b.8.2.3	Operational Phase Discharges A pipeline drainage system will serve the development area collecting surface runoff from paved areas, roof, etc. Sustainable drainage principle would be adopted in the drainage system design to minimize peak surface runoff, maximize permeable surface and maximize beneficial use of rainwater.	Within IWMF site / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator	~		•		WPCO	N/A
S5b.8.2.4		site / During the operational	IWMF Operator	~		~		WPCO; WDO	N/A

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance.								
S5b.8.2.5	Refuse Entrapment Collection and removal of floating refuse should be performed at regular intervals for keeping the water within the Project site boundary and the neighboring water free from rubbish.	Within the Project site / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator			√		WPCO	N/A
S5b.8.2.6	Transportation of bottom ash, fly ash and APC residues to WENT Landfill for disposal Covered container should be used in the shipping of the incineration waste to limit the contact between the incineration waste and the marine water. A comprehensive emergency response plan for any accidental spillage should be submitted by the operation contractor to the EPD for agreement before the operation of the facilities. Salvage and cleanup action to recover the spilled incineration waste containers following the spillage should be carried out according to the emergency response plan to mitigate the environmental impact in case of spillage.	Transportat ion of Incineration Ash / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator			V			N/A

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

Table B.4 Implementation Schedule for Waste Management Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

				Impl	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
6b.5.1.2	Good Site Practices Adverse environmental impacts in relation to waste management are not expected, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities would include: Obtain relevant waste disposal permits from appropriate authorities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and subsidiary Regulations and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28); Provide staff training for proper waste management and chemical handling procedures; Provide sufficient waste disposal points and regular waste collection; Provide appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; and Carry out regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; Separate chemical wastes for special handling and disposed of to licensed facility for treatment; and Employ licensed waste collector to collect waste.	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor					ETWB TCW	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor

	Environmental Bratastics			Impl	ementa	ation St	ages*	Relevant	and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
6b.5.1.3	Waste Reduction Measures Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: Design foundation works that could minimize the amount of excavated material to be generated. Provide training to workers on the importance of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling; Sort out demolition debris and excavated materials from demolition works to recover reusable/recyclable portions (i.e. soil, broken concrete, metal etc.); Segregate and store different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; Encourage the collection of aluminum cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force; Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and	Construction	Contractor						Implemented. N/A for demolition items

				lm	pleme	ntatior	n Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Implementation Timing Agent		n De	s C	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	 Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste to be generated and to avoid unnecessary generation of waste. 								
6b.5.1.7	Dredged Sediment – Application of Dumping Permit The project proponent should agree in advance with MFC of CEDD on the site allocation. The project proponent or contractor for the dredging works shall then apply for the site allocations of marine sediment disposal based on the prior agreement with MFC/CEDD. The project proponent or contractor should also be responsible for the application of all necessary permits from relevant authorities, including the dumping permit as required under DASO from EPD, for the disposal of dredged sediment prior to the commencement of the dredging works.	Reclamation site / Construction	EPD and it contractor	s 🗸	✓			DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	Implemented
6b.5.1.8	Dredged Sediment – Sediment Quality Report The project proponent or contractor will need to satisfy the appropriate authorities that the quality of the marine sediment to be dredged has been identified according to the requirements of ETWB TCW 34/2002. This should be completed well before the dredging works and would include at least the submission of a formal Sediment Quality Report under Tier I of ETWB TCW No. 34/2002 to DEP for approval. Subject to advice from DEP, it is possible that further marine SI in		EPD and it contractor	s				DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	Implemented

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Impl	ementa	ation S	stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	accordance with ETWB TCW 34/2002 might be necessary for the application of dumping permit under DASO. In such case, a sediment sampling and testing proposal shall be submitted to and approved by DEP before the additional marine SI works.								
6b.5.1.9	Dredged Sediment – Sediment Transportation The barge transporting the sediments to the designated disposal sites should be equipped with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage and should not be filled to a level that would cause overflow of materials or laden water during loading or transportation. In addition, monitoring of the barge loading shall be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels shall be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP.	Seawall and Reclamation site / Construction Period	EPD and its contractor		~			DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	Implemented
6b.5.1.10		Construction	Contractor	V	V			ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Impl	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	(EMP), should be prepared in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005;								
	A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be adopted for easy tracking; and								
	• In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a tripticket system should be adopted (refer to ETWB TCW No. 31/2004).								
6b.5.1.1 1 – 6b.5.1.12	The Contactor should prepare and implement an EMP in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005, which describes the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from construction activities. Such a management plan should incorporate site specific factors, such as the designation of areas for segregation and temporary storage of reusable and recyclable materials. The EMP should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. The Contractor should implement waste management practices in the EMP throughout the construction stage of the Project. The EMP should be reviewed regularly and updated by the Contractor, preferably on a monthly basis.	During Design & Construction	Contractor		✓			ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Impl	ementa	ation S	stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref		Location / Timing			С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	All surplus C&D materials arising from or in connection with construction works should become the property of the Contractor when it is removed unless otherwise stated. The Contractor would be responsible for devising a system to work for on-site sorting of C&D materials and promptly removing all sorted and process materials arising from the construction activities to minimize temporary stockpiling on-site. The system should be included in the EMP identifying the source of generation, estimated quantity, arrangement for on-site sorting, collection, temporary storage areas and frequency of collection by recycling Contractors or frequency of removal off-site.								
6b.5.1.13	Chemical Wastes Should chemical wastes be produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste (such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, or corrosive). The Contractor should employ a	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor		✓			Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	Implemented.

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Impl	ementa	ation St	ages*	Relevant		
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Timing Agent Des C O Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks					
	licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to either the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.									
6b.5.1.14	General Refuse General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D materials. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor		✓				Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor	
6b.5.1.1 6 – 6b.5.1.33	Biogas Generation The Contractor shall review the data and analysis results, and the data from further Site Investigation, if any. Subject to the review findings, the following gas protection measures may be considered if necessary: - gas monitoring after reclamation; - passive ventilation; - gas impermeable membrane; - ventilation with "at risk" rooms; - protection of utilities or below ground services;	Reclamation site (if dredging at the reclamation site is not required) / Design & Construction Period	Designer and/or contractor	*	✓			EPD/TR8/97	N/A	

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Impl	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant		
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	. 200 0 200	Legislation Im and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks				
6b.5.2.1		IWMF Site/During Operation	Agent IWMF Operator			✓			and Remarks	
	requirements and implemented by the Environmental Team to monitor the disposal of solid wastes at landfills, and to control fly tipping. Reference should be made to ETWB TCW No. 31/2004.									

	Free in a manufal Brode ation			Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	 Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate treatment at a licensed facility; Routine cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal; Adoption of appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste, such as covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers; and Implementation of a recording system for the amount of wastes generated, and disposed of (including recycled the disposal sites). 								
6b.5.2.2	Waste Reduction Measures Good management and control can prevent the generation of significant amounts of waste. It is recommended that the following good operational practices should be adopted to ensure waste reduction: Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			•			mplemented

				Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	 Encourage collection of aluminum cans, plastic bottles and packaging material (e.g. carton boxes) and office paper by individual collectors. Separate labelled bins should be provided to help segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force; and Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be reused as far as practicable. 								
6b.5.2.3	Storage, Handling, Treatment, Collection and Disposal of Incineration By-Products The following measures are recommended for the storage, handling and collection of the incineration by-products:	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			V		Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits	N/A
	 Ash should be stored in storage silos; Ash should be handled and conveyed in closed systems fully segregatedfrom the ambient environment; 								
	 Ash should be wetted with water to control fugitive dust, where necessary; 								
	All fly ash and APC residues should be treated, e.g. by cement solidification or chemical stabilization, for compliance with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria prior to disposal;								

				Impl	ementa	ation	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec		ntation Status Remarks
	The ash should be transported in covered trucks or containers to the designated landfill site.								
	The Contractor should provide EPD with chemical analysis results of the bottom ash, and treated fly ash and APC residues to confirm that the ash/residue can comply with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits before disposal.								
6b.6.3.1	 Fuel Oil Tank Construction and Test The fuel tank to be installed should be of specified durability. Double skin tanks are preferred. Underground fuel storage tank should be placed within a concrete pit. The concrete pit shall be accessible to allow regular tank integrity tests to be carried out at regular intervals. Tank integrity tests should be conducted by an independent qualified surveyor or structural engineer. Any potential problems identified in the test should be rectified as soon as possible. 	Fuel Oil Storage Tank/ During Design, Construction and Operation Periods	IWMF Contractor	•	✓	\		N/A	

				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation Implementation Status and Remarks Guidelines
6b.6.3.1	 Fuel Oil Pipeline Construction and Test Installation of aboveground fuel oil pipelines is preferable; if underground pipelines are unavoidable, concrete lined trenches should be constructed to contain the pipelines. Double skin pipelines are preferred. Distance between the fuel oil refuelling points and the fuel oil storage tank shall be minimized. Integrity tests for the pipelines should be conducted by an independent qualified surveyor or structural engineer at regular intervals. Any potential problems identified in the test should be rectified as soon as possible. 	Design, Construction and	IWMF Contractor	•	✓	✓		N/A
6b.6.3.1	 Installation of leak detection device at storage tank and pipelines. Installation and use of pressure gauges (e.g. at the two ends of a filling line) in fuel filling, which allows unexpected pressure drop or difference and sign of leakage to be detected. 	Operation	IWMF Contractor	*	√	V		N/A
6b.6.3.1	Fuel Oil Storage Tank Refuelling	Fuel Oil Refuelling Point/	IWMF Operator			√		N/A

				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation Implementation Status and Remarks Guidelines
	 Storage tank refuelling (from road tanker) should only be conducted by authorized staff of the oil company using the company's standard procedures. 	During Operation Period						
6b.6.3.1	Fuel Oil Spillage Response An Oil Spill Response Plan should be prepared by the operator to document the appropriate response procedures for oil spillage incidents in detail. General procedures to be taken in case of fuel oil spillage are presented below.		IWMF Operator			V		N/A
	• Training							
	- Training on oil spill response actions should be given to relevant staff. The training shall cover the followings:							
	 Tools & resources to combat oil spillage and fire, e.g. locations of oil spill handling equipment and fire fighting equipment; General methods to deal with oil spillage and fire incidents; Procedures for emergency drills in the event of oil spills and fire; and Regular drills shall be carried out. 							
	Communication							
	-Establish communication channel with the Fire Services Department (FSD) and EPD to report any oil spillage incident							

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ementa	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	so that necessary assistance from relevant department can be quickly sought.								
	Response Procedures								
	-Any fuel oil spillage within the IWMF site should be immediately reported to the Plant Manager with necessary details including location, source, possible cause and extent of the spillage.								
	 -Plant Manager should immediately attend to the spillage and initiate any appropriate action to confine and clean up the spillage. The response procedures shall include the following: >Identify and isolate the source of spillage as soon as possible. >Contain the oil spillage and avoid infiltration into soil/ groundwater and discharge to storm water channels. >Remove the oil spillage. 								
	➤Clean up the contaminated area.								
	 If the oil spillage occurs during storage tank refuelling, the refuelling operation should immediately be stopped. Recovered contaminated fuel oil and the associated material to 								
	remove the spilled oil should be considered as chemical waste. The handling and disposal								

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imple	ementa	ation Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	O Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	procedures for chemical wastes are discussed in the following paragraphs.							
6b.6.3.2	 Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Handling & Storage Chemicals and chemical wastes should only be stored in suitable containers in purpose-built areas. The storage of chemical wastes should comply with the requirements of the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. The storage areas for chemicals and chemical wastes shall have an impermeable floor or surface. The impermeable floor/ surface shall possess the following properties: Not liable to chemically react with the materials and their containers to be stored. Able to withstand normal loading and physical damage caused by container handling The integrity and condition of the impermeable floor or surface should be inspected at regular intervals to ensure that it is satisfactorily maintained For liquid chemicals and 	and Chemical Wastes Storage Area / During Operation	IWMF Operator					N/A
	satisfactorily maintained							

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	storage area should be bunded to contain at least 110% of the storage capacity of the largest containers or 20% of the total quantity of the chemicals/chemical wastes stored, whichever is the greater.								
	Storage containers shall be checked at regular intervals for their structural integrity and to ensure that the caps or fill points are tightly closed.								
	Chemical handling shall be conducted by trained workers under supervision.								
6b.6.3.2	Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Spillage Response A Chemicals and/ or Chemical Wastes Spillage Response Plan shall be prepared by the operator to document in detail the appropriate response procedures for chemicals or chemical wastes spillage incidents. General procedures to be undertaken in case of chemicals/ chemical waste spillages are presented below. • Training - Training on spill response actions should be given to relevant staff. The training shall cover the	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			•			N/A

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ement	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	Tools & resources to handle spillage, e.g. locations of spill handling equipment;								
	General methods to deal with spillage; and								
	Procedures for emergency drills in the event of spills.								
	Communication								
	 Establish communication channel with FSD and EPD to report the spillage incident so that necessary assistance from relevant department can be quickly sought. 								
	Response Procedures								
	 Any spillage within the IWMF site should be reported to the Plant Manager. 								
	 Plant Manager shall attend to the spillage and initiate any appropriate actions needed to confine and clean up the spillage. The response procedures shall include the followings: 								
	Identify and isolate the source of spillage as soon as possible;								
	Contain the spillage and avoid infiltration into soil/								

				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec		ementation Status and Remarks
	groundwater and discharge to storm water channels (in case the spillage occurs at locations out of the designated storage areas);								
	Remove the spillage; the removal method/ procedures documented in the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) of the chemicals spilled should be observed;								
	Clean up the contaminated area (in case the spillage occurs at locations out of the designated storage areas); and								
	The waste arising from the cleanup operation should be considered as chemical wastes.								
6b.6.3.3	Preventive Measures for Incineration By- products Handling The recommended measures listed below can minimize the potential contamination to the surrounding environment due to the incineration by-products: • Ash should be stored in storage silos; • Ash should be handled and conveyed in closed systems fully segregated	Storage, Handling & Collection of Incineration Ash at IWMF/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓		N/A	

				Imple	ementa	ation St	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	from the ambient environment;								
	 Ash should be wetted with water to control fugitive dust, where necessary; 								
	All fly ash and APC residues should be treated, e.g. by cement solidification or chemical stabilization, for compliance with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria prior to disposal;								
	 The ash should be transported in covered trucks or containers to the designated landfill site. 								
6b.6.3.4 -6b.6.3.6	Incident Record After any spillage, an incident report should be prepared by the Plant Manager. The incident report should contain details of the incident including the cause of the incident, the material spilled and estimated spillage amount, and also the response actions undertaken. The incident record should be kept carefully and able to be retrieved when necessary. The incident report should provide sufficient details for the evaluation of any environmental impacts due to the spillage and assessment of the effectiveness of measures taken.	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator					Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management and the Guidance Note for Contaminated Land and Remediation.	N/A

				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	land and add an Otation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent		С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	In case any spillage or accidents results in significant land contamination, EPD should be informed immediately and the IWMF operator should be responsible for the cleanup of the affected area. The responses procedures described in Section 6b.6.3.1 and Section 6b.6.3.2 of EIA report should be followed accordingly together with the land contamination assessment and remediation guidelines stipulated in the <i>Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management and the Guidance Note for Contaminated Land and Remediation.</i>								

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.5 Implementation Schedule for Ecological Quality Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ement	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
7b.8.2.1	Measures to avoid direct loss of intertidal habitat The site boundary has been proposed to avoid direct contact with the intertidal natural rocky shore of Shek Kwu Chau. It avoids direct loss of intertidal communities and the existing natural rocky shore habitat, where Reef Egret and White-bellied Sea Eagle have been recorded within and in the vicinity of this habitat.	IWMF site	Design team	~				EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.2.2	Measures to minimise loss of coastal subtidal habitat • Extensive coral colonies were recorded at the coastal hard bottom habitat at Shek Kwu Chau. To avoid and minimise the extensive direct impact on the coral colonies, the proposed reclamation area has been moved further offshore to minimise loss of subtial habitat near shore.	IWMF site	Design team	~				EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.2.3	Zero Discharge Scheme The design scheme of the Project has avoided discharge of wastewater into the marine environment. A zero discharge scheme would be adopted during the operation of the Project. An on-site wastewater treatment plant would be	IWMF site	Design team, IWMF operator	~		✓		WPCO	N/A

	Environmental Protection					ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Implementation E		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks	
	provided to treat the wastewater generated from the IWMF (mainly human sewage). The treated effluent would be re-used in the incineration plant and mechanical treatment plant, or for onsite washdown and landscape.									
7b.8.2.4	Measures to avoid loss of plant species of conservation importance Landing portal construction works would not cause direct lost to the recorded individual of protected plant species, Aquilaria sinensis, at the coastal shrubland habitat at Cheung Sha. As a precautionary measure, the plant should be tagged with eyecatching tape and fenced off prior to works, in order to avoid any damage by workers.	Cheung Sha landing portal	Design Contractor	team,	*	✓			EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.3.1 - 7b.8.3.1 5	Measures to minimise water quality impact Measures for water quality as recommended in Section 5b of the EIA Report should be implemented.	Work site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	~	√	✓	√	EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Implemented
7b.8.3.1 6 - 7b.8.3.3 0	Measures to minimise disturbance on Finless Porpoise Minimisation of Habitat Loss for Finless Porpoise	IWMF site, work site, marine traffic route	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	~	√	✓	✓	EIAO-TM, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of the Environmental	Implemented for avoidance of construction works that may produce underwater acoustic disturbance, Vessel Travel Route implementation, training of staff; N/A for others

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	• Substantial revision has been made on the layout plan and form of the breakwater, in order to minimise the potential loss of important habitat for Finless Porpoise. The revision has greatly reduced the size of the embayment area, as well as the Project footprint. As a result, the size of habitat loss for Finless Porpoise has reduced from the original ~50 ha, down to ~31 ha. Avoidance of peak season for finless porpoise occurrence • To minimise potential acoustic disturbance from construction activities on Finless Porpoise, construction works that may produce underwater acoustic disturbance should be scheduled outside the months with peak Finless Porpoise occurrence (December to May), including:		Agent						
	 sheet piling works for construction of cofferdam surrounding the reclamation area (Phase 1); sheet piling works for construction of the shorter section of breakwater (Phase 1); 								

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	 sheet piling works for construction of the remaining section of breakwater (Phase 3) and bored piling works for berth area (Phase 3) 								
	Such works should be restricted within June to November. This approach would not only avoid the peak season for Finless Porpoise occurrence, the magnitude of impacts arise from acoustic disturbance would also be minimised.								
	Since the DCM ground treatment and the installation of precast seawalls and breakwaters should generate no underwater acoustic disturbance to Finless Porpoise, no specific mitigation measures are required.								
	Opt for quieter construction methods and plants								
	Considering the sensitivity of marine mammals to underwater acoustic disturbance, instead of the previously proposed conventional breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure, which requires noisy piling works, the current circular cells structure for								

Environmental Protection			Impl	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be								
cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3;								
Non-percussive bore piling method								
would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3.								
Monitored exclusion zones								
 During the installation/re- 								
installation/relocation process of								
• • •								
•								
zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain								
should be implemented. The								
•								
installation/relocation process. If a								
marine mammal is noted within the								
	breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/reinstallation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/reinstallation/relocation process. If a	Measures / Mitigation Measures breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/reinstallation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/reinstallation/relocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion zone, all marine works should stop immediately and remain	breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/reinstallation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/reinstallation/relocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion zone, all marine works should stop immediately and remain	Browness / Mitigation Measures / Mitigation Measures breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet pilling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore pilling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/reinstallation/reocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/reinstallation/relocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion zone, all marine works should stop immediately and remain	breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/reinstallation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/reinstallation/recinstallation/relocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion zone, all marine works should stop immediately and remain	breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/re-installation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/rei	breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet pilling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore pilling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/reinstallation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/reinstallation/reinstallation/reocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion zone, all marine works should stop immediately and remain	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures / Mitigation Measures / Mitigation Measures breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3; Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. Monitored exclusion zones During the installation/reinstallation/reinstallation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should be implemented. The exclusion zone should be closely monitored by an experienced marine mammal observer at least 30 minutes before the start of installation/reinstallation/reinstallation/reiocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion zone, all marine works should stop immediately and remain

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	exclusion zone is free from marine mammals.								
	The experienced marine mammal observer should be well trained to detect marine mammals. Binoculars should be used to search the exclusion zone from an elevated platform with unobstructed visibility. The observer should also be independent from the project proponent and has the power to call-off construction activities.								
	In addition, as marine mammals cannot be effectively monitored within the proposed monitored exclusion zone at night, or during adverse weather conditions (i.e. Beaufort 5 or above, visibility of 300 meters or below), marine works should be avoided under weather conditions with low visibility.								
	Marine mammal watching plan								
	Upon the completion of the installation/re- installation/relocation of floating type silt curtain, all marine works would be conducted within a fully enclosed environment within the silt curtain, hence exclusion zone monitoring would no longer								

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	be required. Subsequently, a marine mammal watching plan should be implemented.								
	The plan should include regular inspection of silt curtains, and visual inspection of the waters surrounded by the curtains. Special attention should be paid to Phase 2 (reclamation) where the floating type still curtain would be opened occasionally for vessel access, leaving a temporary 50 m opening. An action plan should be devised to cope with any unpredicted incidents such as the case when marine mammals are found within the waters surrounded by the silt curtains.								
	Small openings at silt curtains								
	The openings for vessel access at the silt curtains should be as small as possible to minimise the risk of accidental entrance.								
	Adoption of regular travel route								
	During construction and operation, captains of all vessels should adopt regular travel route, in order to minimize the chance of vessel collision with								

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	marine mammals, which may otherwise result in damage to health or mortality. The regular travel route should avoid areas with high sighting density of Finless Porpoise as much as possible.								
	Vessel speed limit								
	 The frequent vessel traffic in the vicinity of works area may increase the chance of mammal mammals being killed or seriously injured by vessel collision. A speed limit of ten knots should be strictly enforced within areas with high density of Finless Porpoise. Passive acoustic monitoring and land-based theodolite monitoring surveys should be adopted to verify the predicted impacts and effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures. 								
	Training of Staff								
	 Staff, including captains of vessels, should be aware of the guidelines for safe vessel operations in the presence of cetaceans during construction and operation phases. Adequate trainings should be provided 								

	Environmental Protection				Impl	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent		Des C O Dec		Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks		
7b.8.3.3 1 - 7b.8.3.3 4	Measures to minimise impact on corals Coral translocation	IWMF site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	√	√	✓	\	EIAO-TM	Implemented, tagged coral found missing after hitting by typhoons
	Coral communities within and in proximity to the proposed dredging sites would be disturbed by the Project due to the dredging operations. In order to minimise direct loss of coral communities, translocation of corals that are attached to movable rocks with diameter less than 50 cm are recommended. In order to avoid disturbance to corals during the spawning period, the spawning season of corals (June to August) should be avoided; and that translocation should be carried out during the winter season (November- March).									Re-tagging of 10 coral colonies at indirect impact site and control site were conducted in November and December 2018 respectively.
	The REA survey results suggest that the 198 directly affected coral colonies were attached to movable rocks (less than 50 cm in diameter). It is technically feasible to translocate them to avoid direct loss.									
	Prior to coral translocation, a more detailed baseline survey, including a coral mapping survey, is recommended to further confirm the									

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	exact number and location of coral colonies within the potentially affected area. A more detailed coral translocation plan, including selection of suitable recipient site, plan for coral translocation, and event / action plan for coral monitoring should be submitted upon approval of this Project, prior to commencement of construction works. Advice from relevant governmental departments (i.e. AFCD) and professionals would be sought after, in order to identify a desirable location for the relocation of coral communities. Post-translocation monitoring on the translocated corals should also be considered.								
	Coral monitoring programme								
	 A coral monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the coral communities at the coasts of Shek Kwu Chau during construction of the Project. 								
	Phasing of Works								
	To minimize environmental impacts, the proposed phasing of construction works has been carefully designed to								

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	IIIIDIEIIIEIILALIUII F		С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
	reduce the amount of concurrent works, hence minimize SS elevation and the associated impacts on corals.								
7b.8.3.3 5 - 7b.8.3.4 1	Specific measures to minimize disturbance on breeding White-bellied Sea Eagle Avoidance of noisy works during the breeding season of White-bellied Sea Eagle • To minimize potential noise disturbance from construction activities or WBSE, noisy construction works should be scheduled outside their breeding season (December to May) to minimise potential degradation in breeding ground quality and breeding activities, including: - sheet piling works for construction of cofferdam surrounding the reclamation area (Phase 1); - sheet piling works for construction of the shorter section of breakwater (Phase 1); - sheet piling works for construction of the remaining section of breakwater (Phase 3); and - bored piling works for berth area (Phase 3).		Design Team, Contractor, IWMF operator			•		EIAO-TM	Implemented

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	Opt for quieter construction methods and plants								
	To minimise potential construction noise disturbance on WBSE, quieter construction methods and plants should be adopted. The recommended noise mitigation measures in the Noise chapter (Section 4b.8 of the EIA Report) should be implemented to minimise potential noise disturbance to acceptable levels.								
	Restriction on vessel access near the nest of White-bellied Sea Eagle								
	During construction and operation, in order to minimize disturbance on the existing WBSE nest, a pre-defined practical route to restrict vessel access near the nest should be adopted to keep vessels and boats as far away from the nest as possible.								
	White-bellied Sea Eagle monitoring programme								
	A WBSE monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the								

	Environmental Protection	Landina		Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include pre-construction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction works). • Surveys should be conducted twice per month during their breeding season (from December to May); and once per month outside breeding season (June to November). More details on monitoring for WBSE are presented in the EM&A Manual.								
	Education of staff								
	Staff, including captains of all vessels during construction and operation phases, should be aware of the ecological importance of WBSE. Awareness should be raised among staff to minimise any intentional or unintentional disturbance to the nest.								
	Minimisation of Glare Disturbance								

	Environmental Protection				Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Implementation Agent		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks	
	To minimise glare disturbance on WBSE, which may cause disorientation of birds by interfering with their magnetic compass, and disruption in behavioural patterns such as reproduction, fat storage and foraging pattern, any un-necessary outdoor lighting should be avoided, and in-ward and down-ward pointing of lights should be adopted.									
-	 Construction of Seawall/Breakwaters To widen the open channel between the Artificial Island and Shek Kwu Chau. To design the precast concrete seawall with environmental friendly features. 	IWMF site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	>	✓			Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	N/A
7b.8.3.42	Opt for Quieter Construction Methods and Plants • Quieter construction methods and plants should be used to minimise disturbance to the nearby terrestrial habitat and the associated wildlife.	Work site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	✓	√	✓	√	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7b.8.3.43	Measures to minimize impacts from artificial lighting • Unnecessary lighting should be avoided, and shielding of lights should be provided to minimize disturbance from light pollution on fauna groups.	IWMF site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	V	√	√		EIAO-TM	Implemented

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant		
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks	
7b.8.3.4 4 - 7b.8.3.4 5	 Measures to minimize accidental spillage Regular maintenance of vessels, vehicles and equipment that may cause leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within predesignated areas, which are appropriately equipped to control the associated discharges. Oils, fuels and chemicals should be contained in suitable containers, and only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be sited on sealed areas in order to prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to the nearby watercourses. All waste oils and fuels should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal. 	Work site	Contractor, IWMF operator		✓	✓	•	EIAO-TM	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor.	
7b.8.3.46	 Measures to minimise sewage effluent Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. 	Work site	Contractor		√			EIAO-TM	N/A	
7b.8.3.47	Measures to minimise drainage and construction runoff	Work site	Contractor		√		√	EIAO-TM	N/A	

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ementa	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	 Potential ecological impacts resulted from potential degradation of water quality due to unmitigated surface runoff could be minimised via the 								
	presents some of the mitigation measures: - On-site drainage system								
	with implemented sedimentation control facilities. - Channels, earth bunds or sand bag								
	barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities.								
	- Provision of embankment at boundaries of earthworks for flood protection.								
	 Water pumped out from foundation piles must be discharged into silt removal facilities. 								
	- During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other								
	means, as far as practicable.Exposed soil surface should be minimized to reduce siltation and								
	runoff Earthwork final surfaces should be well compacted. Subsequent								
	permanent surface protection should be immediately performed.								

	Environmental Protection			Impl	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant		
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks	
	- Open stockpiles of construction materials, and construction wastes onsite should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.									
7b.8.3.48	Measures to minimise impacts from general construction activities	Work site	Contractor		√			EIAO-TM	Implemented	
	To avoid the entering of construction solid waste into the nearby habitats, construction solid waste should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering to the nearby habitats. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis.									
7b.8.3.49	Pest Control Good waste management practices should be adopted at the IWMF in order to minimise the risk of introduction of pest to the island: - Transportation of wastes in enclosed	IWMF site	IWMF operator			✓ ·			N/A	
	containers - Waste storage area should be well maintained and cleaned - Waste should only be disposed of at designated areas - Timely removal of the newly arrived waste - Removal of items that are capable									

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
7b.8.3.50	 Rapid clean up of any waste spillages Maintenance of a tidy and clean site environment Regular application of pest control Education of staff the importance of site cleanliness 	IWMF site	IWMF operator			✓			
	works should be carried out with the implementation of silt curtain to control the dispersion of SS. The production rate should comply with the permit								
	dredging rate and number of grab per hour.								

	Environmental Protection			Imple	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
7b.8.4. 1 – 7b.8.4. 8	Compensation of loss of important habitat of Finless Porpoise Designation of Marine Park The Project Proponent has made a firm commitment to seek to designate a marine park of approximately 700 ha in the waters between Soko Islands and Shek Kwu Chau, in accordance with the statutory process stipulated in the Marine Parks Ordinance, as a compensation measure for the habitat loss arising from the construction of the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC. The Project Proponent shall seek to complete the designation by 2018 to tie in with the operation of the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC. A further study should be carried out to review relevant previous studies and collate available information on the ecological characters of the proposed area for marine park designation; and review available survey data for Finless Porpoise, water quality, fisheries, marine traffic and planned development projects in the vicinity. Based on the findings, ecological	between Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands	Project Proponent					EIAO-TM	N/A

	Environmental Protection	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Imple	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures			Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	marine park designation should be established, and the extent and location of the proposed marine park be determined. The adequacy of enhancement measures should also be reviewed.								
	In addition, a management plan for the proposed marine park should be proposed, covering information on the responsible departments for operation and management (O&M) of the marine park, as well as the O&M duties of each of the departments involved. Consultation with relevant government departments and stakeholders should be conducted under the study. The study should be submitted to Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) for approval before the commencement of construction works.								
	The Project Proponent should provide assistance to AFCD during the process of the marine park designation.								
7b.8.5. 1 – 7b.8.5. 4	Additional Enhancement or Precautionary Measures Deployment of Artificial Reefs • Deployment of artificial reefs (ARs) is an enhancement measure for the	Within the proposed marine park under this study	Project Proponent	V		√		EIAO-TM	N/A

	Environmental Protection		Implementation Agent	Impl	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	marine habitats. ARs are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project. The exact location, dimension and type of ARs to be deployed are to be further investigated along with the further study of the proposed marine park under this Project. The proposed ARs would be deployed at the same time as the complete designation of marine park. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs and Marine Park Release of fish fry at the proposed ARs, as well as the proposed marine park under this study, should enhance the fish resources in the nearby waters, and subsequently food sources for							Guidelines	
	Finless Porpoise. The proposed ARs with various micro-habitats would have the potential to provide shelter and								
	nursery ground for the released fish fry. The frequency and quantity of fry to be released should be agreed by AFCD.								

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.6 Implementation Schedule for Fisheries Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

				Impl	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
8b.8.1.2	Measure to minimize loss of and disturbance on fisheries resources	IWMF site	Design team, contractor	✓	✓		✓	EIAO-TM	N/A
	 Alteration to the phasing of works, construction method, and layout plan of the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC has been made. The total fishing ground to be permanently lost due to the project has been significantly reduced from ~50 ha to ~31 ha. By adopting the current circular cells instead of the conventional seawall construction method, SS elevation would be greatly reduced, minimizing adverse impact on the health of fisheries resources. 								
8b.8.1.3	Measure to minimize impingement and entrainment Provision of a screen at the water intake point for desalination plant would be essential to minimize the risk of impingement and entrainment of fisheries resources (including fish, larvae and egg) through the intake point.	IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	1	~	~		EIAO-TM	N/A

Environmental Protection Measures	Loca	ation /	1					tages*		Implementation Status and Remarks
/ Mitigation Measures	Tin	ning	Impleme Age		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project.		site, IWMF	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	~	✓	✓	~	EIAO-TM	Implemented
water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project										
Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would	marine in the betwee Islands Shek Chau	ed park waters n Soko	Project Pro	ponent			✓		EIAO-TM	N/A
	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would Nork site, IWMF ocntractor, operator Within the proposed marine park in the waters between Soko Islands and Shek Kwu Chau Within the waters between Soko Islands and Shek Kwu Chau	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed ander this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This senhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would	Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.7 Implementation Schedule for Landscape and Visual Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

				Imple	ementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
S10b.10 MLVC- 01	Grass-hydroseeded bare soil surface and stock pile area	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		√				N/A
S10b.10 MLVC-02	 Landscape Design Early planting using fast grow trees and tall shrubs at strategic locations within site as buffer to block view corridors to the site from the VSRs, and to locally screen haul roads, excavation works and site preparation works. Use of tree species of dense tree crown to serve as visual barrier. Hard and soft landscape treatment (e.g. trees and shrubs) of open areas within development to provide a background for the outdoor containers from open view, shade and shelter, and a green appearance from surrounding viewpoints. Planting strip along the periphery of the project site. Selected tree species suitable for the coastal condition. 	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	✓	✓				N/A

				Imple	ementa	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
S10b.10 MLVC-03	 Adoption of Natural Features of the Existing Shoreline 1) Use of boulders in different sizes and with the similar textures of the existing rocky shores for the construction of breakwater and artificial shoreline in order to blend into the existing natural shoreline. 	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				N/A
	2) Use of cellular cofferdam together with the natural boulders to form a curvature shoreline for the reclamation area to echo with the natural shoreline of SKC.								
\$10b.10 MLVC-04	Greening Design (Rooftop & Vertical Greening) 1) Implementation of rooftop and vertical greening (vertical building envelope) along the periphery of each building block to increase the amenity value of the work, moderate temperature extremes and enhance building energy performance. The greening appearance of the building shall enhance its visual harmony with the natural surroundings as well as reduce the apparent visual mass of the structure.	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	•	✓				N/A
	 Sufficient space between concrete enclosure and stack to minimize heat transfer. 								
	3) Introduction of landscape decks at the stack to further enhance the overall natural and green concept unique for this site.								

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
S10b.10 MVC-01	Visual Mitigation and Aesthetic Design	Structures in IWMF /	Contractor	✓	✓				N/A
WVC-UT	 Use of natural materials with recessive color to minimize the bulkiness of the building. 	During design & constructio							
	 Adoption of innovative aesthetic design to the chimney to minimize or visually mitigate the massing of the chimney so as to reduce its visual impact to the surroundings. 	n phases							
	 Color of the chimney in a gradual changing manner to match with the color of the sky. 								
	 Provision of observation deck for public enjoyment at the top of the chimney to diminish the feeling of chimney. 								
	5) Provision of sky gardens between the two stacks to allow additional greening for enhancing the aesthetic quality. Maintenance access (elevator and staircase) from the ground floor to the sky gardens will be provided to allow maintenance of the sky gardens.								
	 Integration of the visitor's walkway with different material façade design of incinerator plant to enhance the aesthetic quality. 								
S10b.10 MVC-02	Control of the security floodlight for construction areas at night to avoid excessive glare to the surrounding receiver.	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		√				Implemented

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec		Status and Remarks
S10b.10 MVC-03	Optimization of the construction sequence and construction programme to minimize the duration of impact.	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	*	✓				Implemented
S10b.10 MVC-04	Storage of the backfilling materials for site formation & construction materials / wastes on site at a maximum height of 2m, covered with an impermeable material of visually unobtrusive material (in earth tone).	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				N/A
S10b.10 MVC-05	Reduction of the number of construction traffic at the site to practical minimum.	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				Implemented
S10b.10 MLVO-01	Planting Maintenance Provision of proper planting maintenance and replacement of defective plant species on the new planting areas to enhance aesthetic and landscape quality.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			√			N/A
S10b.10 MVO-01	Environmental Education Centre Development of an Environmental Education Center, in which regular exhibitions and lectures to promote environmental awareness and waste reduction concept would be provided, as a part of the IWMF for the general public to alleviate negative public perceptions of the development.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A
S10b.10 MVO-02	Control of Light Control the numbers of lights and their intensity to a level that is good enough to meet the safety requirements at night but not excessive.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
S10b.10 MVO-03	Control of Operation Time	Project site / During	Contractor			✓			N/A
	Minimization of the frequency of waste	Operation							
	transportation to practical minimum (e.g. limit	phase							
	the reception of MSW from 8 am to 8 pm)								

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix C	Impact Monitoring Schedul	e of the Reporting
	Month	

			Impact Monitoring Schedule for IWMF			
Sun	Mon To	e e	Oct-23	Thu I	ri	Sat
1	3	Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	5		7
8	9 16		11	Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	21
			Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	v	<u> </u>
	22	Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Ecology monitoring for WBSE	7	28
29	Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1 & M2	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1 & M2 Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M3				

Remarks:

1. Daytime Noise Monitoring (07:00-1900), Evening Time Noise Monitoring (1900-2300), Night Time Noise Monitoring (2300-0700)

2. Water Quality Monitoring for S1,S2 and S3 will only conduct during DCM works, refer to Detailed DCM Plan

3. No marine construction work is tentatively scheduled to be carried out in October 2023

Contract No. EP/SP/66/Integrated Waste Manag	gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix D	Event / Action Plan for Wa	ater Quality Exceedance

Event		Act	ion	
	ET	IEC	SO	Contractor
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the SO accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)	Inform the SO and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and SO within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after the exceedance is identified)
Action level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	Identify source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Repeat measurement on next working day of exceedance. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after Action Level being exceeded by two consecutive sampling days)	Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the SO accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after Action Level being exceeded by two consecutive sampling days)	Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after Action Level being exceeded by two consecutive sampling days)	Inform the SO and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and SO within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. (The above actions should be taken within 1 working day after Action Level being exceeded by two consecutive sampling days)

Event		Ac	tion	
	ET	IEC	SO	Contractor
Limit level	Inform the SO and confirm	Discuss with ET and	Discuss with IEC, ET and	Inform the SO and confirm
being exceeded	notification of the non-	Contractor on the mitigation	Contractor on the proposed	notification of the non-
by one	compliance in writing;	measures;	mitigation measures;	compliance in writing;
sampling day	Rectify unacceptable practice;	Review proposals on	Request Contractor to	Rectify unacceptable practice;
	Check all plant and	mitigation measures submitted	critically review the working	Check all plant and
	equipment;	by Contractor and advise the	methods;	equipment;
	Consider changes of working	SO accordingly;	Make agreement on the	Consider changes of working
	methods;	Assess the effectiveness of	mitigation measures to be	methods;
	Discuss with Contractor, IEC	the implemented mitigation	implemented.	Discuss with ET, IEC and SO
	and SO and propose	measures.	Assess the effectiveness of	and propose mitigation
	mitigation measures to IEC	(The above actions should be	the implemented measures.	measures to IEC and SO
	and SO within 3 working days;	taken within 1 working day	(The above actions should be	within 3 working days;
	Implement the agreed	after the exceedance is	taken within 1 working day	Implement the agreed
	mitigation measures.	identified)	after the exceedance is	mitigation measures.
	(The above actions should be		identified)	(The above actions should be
	taken within 1 working day			taken within 1 working day
	after the exceedance is			after the exceedance is
	identified)			identified)

Event		Act	tion	
	ET	IEC	SO	Contractor
Limit level	Identify source(s) of impact;	Discuss with ET and	Discuss with IEC, ET and	Inform the SO and confirm
being exceeded	Inform IEC, Contractor and	Contractor on the mitigation	Contractor on the proposed	notification of the non-
by more than	EPD;	measures;	mitigation measures;	compliance in writing;
one	Check monitoring data, all	Review proposals on	Request Contractor to	Rectify unacceptable practice;
consecutive	plant, equipment and	mitigation measures submitted	critically review the working	Check all plant and
sampling days	Contractor's working methods.	by Contractor and advise the	methods;	equipment;
	Discuss mitigation measures	SO accordingly;	Make agreement on the	Consider changes of working
	with IEC, SO and Contractor.	Assess the effectiveness of	mitigation measures to be	methods;
	Ensure mitigation measures	the implemented mitigation	implemented.	Discuss with ET, IEC and SO
	are implemented;	measures.	Assess the effectiveness of	and propose mitigation
	Increase the monitoring	(The above actions should be	the implemented measures.	measures to IEC and SO
	frequency to daily until no	taken within 1 working day	Consider and instruct, if	within 3 working days;
	exceedance of Limit level for	after Limit Level being	necessary, the Contractor to	Implement the agreed
	two consecutive days.	exceeded by two consecutive	slow down or to stop all or part	mitigation measures;
	(The above actions should be	sampling days)	of the marine work until no	As directed by the SOR, to
	taken within 1 working day		exceedance of Limit level.	slow down or to stop all or part
	after Limit Level being		(The above actions should be	of the marine work or
	exceeded by two consecutive		taken within 1 working day	construction activities.
	sampling days)		after Limit Level being	(The above actions should be
			exceeded by two consecutive	taken within 1 working day
			sampling days)	after Limit Level being exceeded by two consecutive
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				sampling days)

Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix E	Noise Monitoring Equipment Certificate	nt Calibration



FACTORY CALIBRATION DATA OF THE SVAN 971 No. C119577

with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV 18 No. C122487 and with microphone ACO type 7052E No. 85197

1. CALIBRATION (acoustical)

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Reference frequency: 1000Hz; Sound Pressure Level: 114.03 dB.

Characteristic	Correct value [dB]	Indication [dB]	Error [dB]
Z	114.03	114.05	0.02
A	114.03	114.05	0.02
С	114.03	114.05	0.02

Calibration measured with the microphone ACO type 7052E No. 85197. Calibration factor: 0.74 dB.

2. LINEARITY TEST* (electrical)

LEVEL METER function: Range: Low: Characteristic: A: f en= 31.5 Hz

DE TEE METETE TUNCTION, TEMESO.	Don, chui	me terrotte.	A, A 300 0 A					
Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	83.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f sin= 1000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	123.0
Error [dB]	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

LEVEL METER function: Range: Low: Characteristic: A: f sin= 8000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	122.0
Error [dB]	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f sin= 31.5 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	97.0
Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f sin= 1000 Hz

LEVEL METER IMICHOII, Range.	riigii, Ciiai	acteristic.	A, I sin IO	311 00						
Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	137.0
Error [dB]	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f sin= 8000 Hz


Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	136.0
Error [dB]	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0

1/3 OCTAVE (1kHz); Range: Low; f sin= 1000 Hz

Nominal result [dB]	25.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0	123.0
Error [dB]	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0

4. FREQUENCY RESPONSE' (electrical)

LEVEL METER function; Characteristic: Z; Range: Low; Input signal =120 dB;



Measured Filter Response with Preamplifier SV18

f [Hz]	L [dB]	f [Hz]	L [dB]	f [Hz]	L [dB]
10	-0.1	63	0.0	4000	0.0
12.5	-0.0	125	0.0	8000	0.0
16	0.0	250	0.0	16000	0.0
20	0.0	500	0.0	20000	0.0
25	0.0	1000	0.0		
31.5	0.0	2000	0.0		

All frequencies are nominal center values for the 1/3 octave bands

5. INTERNAL NOISE LEVEL* (electrical - compensated)

LEVEL METER function: Range: Low: (Back-light - off) : Calibration factor: 0dB

Characteristic	Z	A	С			
Level [dB]	≤20	≤12	<12			

^{*} measured with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV 18 No. C122487.

6. INTERNAL NOISE LEVEL (acoustical - compensated)

LEVEL METER function: Characteristic: A: (Backlight - off)

Range	Low	High
Indication [dB]	≤15	20.5

Noise measured in special chamber, with reference microphone G.R.A.S type 40AN No. 73421

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Temperature	Relative humidity	Ambient pressure
23 °C	42%	1008 hPa

TEST EQUIPMENT

Item	Manufacturer	Model	Serial no.	Description
1.	SVANTEK	SVAN 401	100	Signal generator
2.	SVANTEK	SVAN 912A	4369	Sound & Vibration Analyser
3.	RIGOL	DM3068	DM30155100773	Digital multimeter
4.	SVANTEK	SV33B	93171	Acoustic calibrator
5.	SVANTEK	ST02	-	Microphone equivalent electrical impedance (18pF)

CONFORMITY & TEST DECLARATION

- 1. Herewith Svantek company declares that this instrument has been calibrated and tested in compliance with the internal ISO9001 procedures and meets all specification given in the Manual(s) or respectively surpass them.
- The acoustic calibration was performed using the Sound Calibrator and is traceable to the GUM (Central Office of Measures) reference standard-sound level calibrator type 4231 No 2292773.
- 3. The information appearing on this sheet has been compiled specifically for this instrument. This form is produced with advanced equipment & procedures which permit comprehensive quality assurance verification of all data supplied herein.
- 4. This calibration sheet shall not be reproduced except in full, without written permission of the SVANTEK Ltd.

Calibration specialist: Cezary Dardziński

Test date: 2022-10-11



FACTORY CALIBRATION DATA OF THE SVAN 971 No. C132261

with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV 18 No. C132231 and with microphone ACO type 7052E No. 85231

1. CALIBRATION (acoustical)

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Reference frequency: 1000Hz; Sound Pressure Level: 114.07

Error [dB]	Indication [dB]	Correct value [dB]	Characteristic
0.00	114.07	114.07	Z
0.00	114.07	114.07	A
0.00	114.07	114.07	С

Calibration measured with the microphone ACO type 7052E No. 85231. Calibration factor: 0.95 dB.

2. LINEARITY TEST* (electrical)

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f_{sin} = 31.5 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: Low; Characteristic: A; f_{sin} = 1000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60
Error [dB]	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0 80.0
LEVEL METER function; Range	: Low; Char	acteristic:	A; f sin= 80	000 Hz			0.0 100.0 123.0 0.0 0.0

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	24.0	25.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	40.0	60.6	
Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	60.0	
							0.0 100.0	122.0
							0.0	-0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f_{sin} = 31.5 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0
Error [dB]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	97.0
	The state of the s						0.0

LEVEL METER function; Range: High; Characteristic: A; f_{sin} = 1000 Hz

Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0 100.0
LEVEL METER function; Range	: High; Cha	racteristic:	A; f sin= 80	000 Hz			-0.0 120.0 137.0 -0.0 -0.0
Naminal and LIFO LIDI	240	25.0		1			


Nominal result LEQ [dB]	34.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	40.0	60.0	80.0
Error [dB]	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0 100.0
							0.0 120.0 136.0
1/3 OCTAVE (ILHz): Range: Lo	6 - 100	0.11					-0.0 0.0


1/3 OCTAVE (1kHz); Range: Low; f_{sin}= 1000 Hz

Nominal result [dB]	25.0	30.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	120.0
Error [dB]	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 123.0
						-	0.0

4. FREQUENCY RESPONSE* (electrical)

LEVEL METER function; Characteristic: Z; Range: Low; Input signal =120 dB;





5. INTERNAL NOISE LEVEL (electrical - compensated)

5. INTERNAL NOISE	off Calibration	on factor: 0dB
TETER function; Range	e: Low; (Back-light – off) : Calibration	C
LEVEL METER Inferior	Z A	≤12
Characteristic	≤20 ≤12	
Level [dB]		

 $^{^{\}bullet}$ measured with preamplifier SVANTEK type SV 18 No. C132231.

6. INTERNAL NOISE LEVEL (acoustical - compensated)

INTERNAL NOISE	A: (Backli	ght - off)
LEVEL METER function; Ch	Low	High
Range	≤15	20.9
Indication [dB]		·hone

Noise measured in special chamber, with reference microphone G.R.A.S type 40AN No. 73421

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

	Relative humidity	Ambient pressure
Temperature		1011 hPa
Temperari	47%	
22 °C		

	TEST EQUI	PMENT Description
Item Manufacturer 1. SVANTEK 2. SVANTEK 3. RIGOL 4. SVANTEK 5. SVANTEK	SVAN 401 100 SVAN 912A 4369	Signal generator Sound & Vibration Analyser Digital multimeter Acoustic calibrator Microphone equivalent electrical impedance (18pF)

- 1. Herewith Syantek company declares that this instrument has been calibrated and tested in compliance with the internal ISO9001 procedures and meets all specification given in the Manual(s) or respectively surpass them.

 2. The acoustic calibration was performed using the Sound Calibrator and is traceable to the GUM (Central Office of Measures) reference standard—sound level calibrator type 4231 No 2292773.

 3. The information appearing on this sheet has been compiled specifically for this instrument. This form is produced with advanced equipment & procedures which permit comprehensive quality assurance verification of all data supplied herein.

 4. This calibration sheet shall not be reproduced except in full, without written permission of the SVANTEK Ltd.

Calibration specialist: Cezary Dardziński

Certificate of Calibration

for

Description:

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer:

SVANTEK

Type No.:

971 (Serial No.: 96062)

Microphone:

13905

Preamplifier:

SVANTEK SV 18 (Serial No.:C132231)

Submitted by:

Customer:

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Address:

Unit E, 12/F., Ford Glory Plaza,

Nos. 37-39 Wing Hong Street,

Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (31.5Hz – 8kHz)

Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 27 July 2023

Date of calibration: 3 August 2023

Date of NEXT calibration: 2 August 2024

Calibrated by:

Calibration Technician

Certified by:

Mr. Ng Yan Wa Laboratory Manager

Date of issue: 3 August 2023

Certificate No.: APJ23-049-CC001

(A+A) *L

Page 1 of 4



Calibration Precaution: 1.

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature:

22.6°C

Air Pressure:

1006 hPa

Relative Humidity:

52.9 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

Type

Serial No.

Calibration Report Number

Traceable to

Multifunction Calibrator

B&K 4226

2288467

AV220061

HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. V	Veighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq.	Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				94		94.0	Ref
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Fast	104	1000	104.0	±0.3
				114		114.0	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz dB		Specification, dB
25.0.124.2	JD A	CDI	Fast	0.1	1000	94.0	Ref
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Slow	94	1000	94.0	±0.3

Certificate No.: APJ23-049-CC001



Page 2 of 4



Frequency Response

Linear Response

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)		Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1		
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	94.3	±2.0
					63	94.2	±1.5
					125	94.1	±1.5
					250	94.1	±1.4
25.0-124.2	dB	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.0	±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.7	±1.6
					4000	93.1	±1.6
					8000	91.9	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)		Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1		
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting		Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	55.3	-39.4 ±2.0
					63	68.2	-26.2 ±1.5
					125	78.0	-16.1 ±1.5
					250	85.4	-8.6 ±1.4
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	500	90.8	-3.2 ±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	94.9	+1.2 ±1.6
					4000	94.1	+1.0 ±1.6
					8000	90.9	-1.1+2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

Sett	ing of Ur	nit-under-t	est (UUT)	Appl	Applied value		IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. V	Veighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Level, dB Frequency, Hz		Specification, dB
					31.5	91.3	-3.0 ±2.0
					63	93.3	-0.8 ±1.5
					125	93.9	-0.2 ±1.5
					250	94.0	-0.0 ± 1.4
25.0-124.2	dBC	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.0	-0.0 ±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.6	-0.2 ±1.6
					4000	92.4	-0.8 ±1.6
					8000	89.1	-3.0 +2.1: -3.1

Certificate No.: APJ23-049-CC001



Page 3 of 4



5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

31.5 Hz	± 0.05
63 Hz	± 0.05
125 Hz	± 0.05
250 Hz	± 0.05
500 Hz	± 0.05
1000 Hz	± 0.05
2000 Hz	± 0.05
4000 Hz	± 0.05
8000 Hz	± 0.10
1000 Hz	± 0.05
1000 Hz	± 0.05
	63 Hz 125 Hz 250 Hz 500 Hz 1000 Hz 2000 Hz 4000 Hz 8000 Hz 1000 Hz

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

Certificate No.: APJ23-049-CC001



Page 4 of 4

Certificate No. D224645E



CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Product : SOUND CALIBRATOR

Type : NC-75 Serial number : 35124528

Manufacturer : RION CO., LTD.

Calibration quantities : Sound pressure level (with reference standard microphone)

Calibration method : Measured by specified secondary standard microphone

according to JCSS calibration procedure specified by RION.

Ambient conditions : Temperature 23.9 °C, Relative humidity 49 %,

Static pressure 100.6 kPa

Calibration date : 02/11/2022 (DD/MM/YYYY)

Calibration location : 3-20-41 Higashimotomachi, Kokubunji, Tokyo 185-8533, Japan

RION CO., LTD. Calibration Room

We hereby certify that the results of this calibration were as follows.

Issue date: 09/11/2022 (DD/MM/YYYY)

Junichi Kawamura Manager Quality Assurance Section,

Quality Assurance Department, Environmental Instrument Division,

RION CO., LTD.

3-20-41 Higashimotomachi, Kokubunji,

Tokyo 185-8533, Japan

This certificate is based on article 144 of the Measurement Law and indicates the result of calibration in accordance with measurement standards traceable to Primary Measurement Standards (National Standards) which realizes the physical units of measurement according to the International System of Units (SI).

The accreditation symbol is attestation of which the result of calibration is traceable to Primary Measurement Standards (National Standards).

The certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the issuing laboratory.

The calibration laboratory who issued this calibration certificate conforms to ISO/IEC 17025:2017.

This calibration certificate was issued by the calibration laboratory accredited by IAJapan who is a signatory to the Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) of International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) and Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation (APAC). This (These) calibration result(s) may be accepted internationally through ILAC/APAC MRA.



Certificate No. D224645E

CALIBRATION RESULT

1. Sound pressure level (with reference standard microphone)

Measured	Expanded
value	uncertainty *1
93.99 dB	0.09 dB

Specified secondary standard microphone:

Type

: 4160

Serial number : 2973341

Reference Sound pressure: 2×10⁻⁵ Pa

*1 Defines an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of approximately 95 %.

Coverage factor k=2

Calibration result is the calibration value in ambient conditions during calibration.

BE OUT OF JCSS CALIBRATION

1. Frequency

Measured value	Measurement uncertainty (k=2)
1000.0 Hz	$2.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Hz}$

Working measurement standard universal counter:

Type

: 53132A

Serial number : MY40005574

(JCSS Calibration Certificate No. 2208001889940)

2. Total distortion

Measured	
value	
0.2 %	

Working measurement standard distortion meter:

Type

: VA-2230A

Serial number : 11076061

(A2LA Calibration Certificate No. 1502-03109)

- closing -



Certificate of Calibration

for

Description:

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer:

Svantek

Type No.:

971 (Serial No.: 103449)

Microphone:

ACO 7052E (Serial No.: 78092)

Preamplifier:

SV 18 (Serial No.: 78763)

Submitted by:

Customer:

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Address:

Unit E, 12/F, Ford Glory Plaza,

Nos. 37-39 Wing Hong Street,

Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon,

Hong Kong

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (31.5Hz - 8kHz)

Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 2 February 2023

Date of calibration: 6 February 2023

Date of NEXT calibration: 5 February 2024

Calibrated by:_

Calibration Technician

Certified by:

Mr. Ng Yan Wa Laboratory Manager

Date of issue: 6 February 2023

Certificate No.: APJ22-136-CC001

Page 1 of 4

E-mail: inquiry@aa-lab.com



1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature:

23.9 °C

Air Pressure:

1006 **hPa**

Relative Humidity:

47.9 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

Type

Serial No.

Calibration Report Number

Traceable to

Multifunction Calibrator

B&K 4226

2288467

AV220061

HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			App	lied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	Vonesia.	Specification, dB
25-124.3	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading.	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		Specification, dB
25.12.12	227.0			94		94.0	Ref
25-124.3	dBA	SPL	Fast	104	1000	104.0	±0.3
			114		114.0	±0.3	

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)		Applied value		UUT Reading.	IEC 61672 Class 1		
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		Specification, dB
25-124.3	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	Ref
			Slow		1000	94.0	±0.3

Certificate No.: APJ22-136-CC001

(A+A) *L

Page 2 of 4

Frequency Response

Linear Response

Sett	ing of Uni	t-under-t	est (UUT)	App	ied value	UUT Reading.	IEC 61672 Class
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	10000	Specification, dl
					31.5	94.2	±2.0
					63	94.1	±1.5
					125	94.1	±1.5
25 1242	TD.		Fast	94	250	94.1	±1.4
25-124.3	dB	SPL			500	94.0	±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	93.9	±1.6	
				4000	93.6	±1.6	
raighting					8000	90.9	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Appl	ied value	UUT Reading.	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz		Specification, dB
					31.5	54.8	-39.4 ±2.0
					63	68.0	-26.2 ±1.5
					125	78.0	-16.1 ±1.5
25 1242				250	85.4	-8.6 ±1.4	
25-124.3	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	500	90.8	-3.2 ±1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	95.1	+1.2 ±1.6	
				4000	94.6	+1.0 ±1.6	
					8000	90.0	-1.1+2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Appl	ied value	UUT Reading.	IEC 61672 Class
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	50000	Specification, dB
					31.5	91.2	-3.0 ±2.0
					63	93.3	-0.8 ±1.5
25 124 2			125	93.9	-0.2 ±1.5		
	Inc	SPL	Fast	94	250	94.0	-0.0 ± 1.4
25-124.3	dBC				500	94.1	-0.0 ± 1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.7	-0.2 ±1.6
					4000	92.9	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8000	88.1	-3.0 +2.1: -3.1

Certificate No.: APJ22-136-CC001



Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com

E-mail: inquiry@aa-lab.com

5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.10
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	± 0.05
	250 Hz	± 0.10
=	500 Hz	± 0.05
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

(A+A) *L ? Page 4 of 4

E-mail: inquiry@aa-lab.com

Certificate No.: APJ22-136-CC001

Certificate of Calibration

for

Description:

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer:

SVANTEK

Type No.:

971 (Serial No.: 96063)

Microphone:

ACO 7052E (Serial No.:79778)

Preamplifier:

SVANTEK SV 18 (Serial No.:97276)

Submitted by:

Customer:

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Address:

Unit E, 12/F., Ford Glory Plaza,

Nos. 37-39 Wing Hong Street,

Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:

☑ Within (31.5Hz – 8kHz)

Outside

the allowable tolerance.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory

Date of receipt: 27 July 2023

Date of calibration: 3 August 2023

Date of NEXT calibration: 2 August 2024

Calibrated by:

Calibration Technician

Certified by:

Mr. Ng Yan Wa Laboratory Manager

Date of issue: 3 August 2023

Certificate No.: APJ23-049-CC002

Page 1 of 4



Calibration Precaution: 1.

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours. and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature:

22.6°C

Air Pressure:

1006 hPa

Relative Humidity:

52.9 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

Type

Serial No.

Calibration Report Number

Traceable to

Multifunction Calibrator

B&K 4226

2288467

AV220061

HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Pressure Level

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)		Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1		
Range, dB	Freq. V	Veighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	93.7	±0.4

Linearity

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq. V	Veighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				94		93.7	Ref
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Fast	104	1000	103.7	±0.3
				114		113.7	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	Freq.	Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	93.7	Ref
23.0-124.2	UDA	SFL	Slow	94	1000	93.7	±0.3

Certificate No.: APJ23-049-CC002



Page 2 of 4



Frequency Response

Linear Response

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. We	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	94.3	±2.0
					63	94.2	±1.5
					125	94.1	±1.5
					250	94.1	±1.4
25.0-124.2	dB	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.0	±1.4
					1000	93.7	Ref
					2000	93.7	±1.6
					4000	95.1	±1.6
					8000	91.4	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	55.0	-39.4 ±2.0
					63	68.1	-26.2 ±1.5
					125	78.1	-16.1 ±1.5
					250	85.4	-8.6±1.4
25.0-124.2	dBA	SPL	Fast	94	500	90.7	-3.2 ±1.4
					1000	93.7	Ref
					2000	94.9	+1.2 ±1.6
					4000	96.2	+1.0±1.6
					8000	90.5	-1.1+2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. W	eighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	91.3	-3.0 ±2.0
					63	93.4	-0.8 ±1.5
					125	94.0	-0.2 ±1.5
					250	94.8	-0.0 ±1.4
25.0-124.2	dBC	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.0	-0.0 ±1.4
					1000	93.7	Ref
					2000	93.5	-0.2 ±1.6
					4000	94.4	-0.8 ±1.6
					8000	88.6	-3.0 +2.1: -3.1

Certificate No.: APJ23-049-CC002



Page 3 of 4



5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.10
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	± 0.05
	250 Hz	± 0.10
	500 Hz	± 0.05
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 95% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

Page 4 of 4

Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com

Contract No. EP/SP/66/ Integrated Waste Manag	12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture		
Appendix F	Event / Action Plan for No	oise Exceedance		

F	Actions to be taken by	Actions to be taken by	Actions to be taken by	Actions to be taken by
Event	Environmental Team as	Independent Environmental	Supervising Officer's	Contractor as
	immediate as practicable	Checker as immediate as	Representative as immediate	immediate as
	1. 11.07 150	practicable	as practicable	practicable
Action Level being exceeded	to the IEC, SO and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and	 Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the SO accordingly; Advise the SO on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified). 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified). 	 Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and SO; Implement noise mitigation proposals. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)
Limit Level being exceeded	 Inform IEC, SO, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and SO on remedial measures required; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and SO informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 Discuss amongst SO, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the SO accordingly. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified) 	 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and SO within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the SO until the exceedance is abated. (The above actions should be taken within 2 working days after the exceedance is identified)

Contract No. EP/SP/66/2 Integrated Waste Manag	12 ement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture		
Appendix G	Noise Monitoring Data			

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1 (M1 / N_S1)

Monitoring date: 03, 12, 18, 24, 30 October 2023 (Daytime)

03&04, 12&13, 18&19, 24&25 and 30&31 October 2023 (Evening & Night

time)

Nil

Parameter: Leq 30min (Daytime), Leq 5min (Evening & Night time)

Noise source other than construction activities from the

Project:

Noise Monitoring Data:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	$\begin{array}{c} L_{eq~30min}dB(A)/\\ L_{eq~5min}dB(A) \end{array}$	Sound Level Meter Used	Calibrator Used
03 Oct 2023	14:12	1	14:42	Sunny	64.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
02.0	19:12	-	19:17	Fine	50.8	CVANIOTI (C. 1	Rion NC-75
03 Oct 2023	20:32	-	20:37		52.1	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	
2023	21:32	-	21:37		52.7	No. 90002)	(No.35124528)
04.0	1:22	-	1:27		51.4	CVANIOTI (C. 1	D: NC 75
04 Oct 2023	3:17	-	3:22	Fine	48.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
2023	5:12	-	5:17		47.0	No. 90002)	(110.55124526)
12 Oct 2023	14:21	-	14:51	Sunny	57.6	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
10.0	19:06	-	19:11		48.2	CVANIOTI (C. 1	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
12 Oct 2023	20:11	-	20:16	Fine	49.1	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	
2023	21:16	-	21:21		46.1		
12.0 (1:11	-	1:16	Fine	46.3	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
13 Oct 2023	3:11	-	3:16		46.9		
2023	5:06	-	5:11		44.8		
18 Oct 2023	14:51	1	15:21	Fine	56.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
10.0	19:06	-	19:11	Fine	57.8	SVAN 971 (Serial	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
18 Oct	20:01	-	20:06		59.3		
2023	21:11	-	21:16		54.8	No. 96062)	
10.0	1:11	-	1:16		53.1	SVAN 971 (Serial	Rion NC-75
19 Oct 2023	3:26	-	3:31	Fine	50.1		
2023	5:21	-	5:26		49.7	No. 96062)	(No.35124528)
24 Oct 2023	14:17	1	14:47	Sunny	57.4	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
24.0	19:22	-	19:27	Fine	47.1	GYANIOTI (C. 1	D: NG 75
24 Oct 2023	20:27	-	20:32		45.8	SVAN 971 (Serial	Rion NC-75
2023	21:27	-	21:32		42.5	No. 96062)	(No.35124528)
25.0-4	1:22	-	1:27		46.4	CVANIO71 (C : 1	Diam NC 75
25 Oct	3:02	-	3:07	Fine	41.7	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75
2023	5:12	-	5:17		45.1	110. 70002)	(No.35124528)

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	$\begin{array}{c} L_{eq~30min}dB(A)/\\ L_{eq~5min}dB(A) \end{array}$	Sound Level Meter Used	Calibrator Used
30 Oct 2023	14:03	-	14:33	Sunny	57.7	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
20.0-4	19:13	-	19:18	Fine	51.3	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
30 Oct 2023	20:13	-	20:18		48.0		
2023	21:28	-	21:33		47.0	No. 90002)	(110.55124528)
31 Oct 2023	1:18	-	1:23	Fine	40.7	CVANIO71 (Cario1	Dian NC 75
	3:23	-	3:28		39.6	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96062)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
	5:23	-	5:28		40.9	110. 90002)	(110.55124528)

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 2 (M2 / N_S2)

Monitoring date: 03, 12, 18, 24, 30 October 2023 (Daytime)

03&04, 12&13, 18&19, 24&25 and 30&31 October 2023 (Evening & Night

time)

Nil

Parameter: L_{eq 30min} (Daytime), L_{eq 5min} (Evening & Night time)

Noise source other than construction activities from the

Project:

Noise Monitoring Data:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	$\begin{array}{c} L_{eq \; 30min} dB(A) \; / \\ L_{eq \; 5min} dB(A) \end{array}$	Sound Level Meter Used	Calibrator Used
00.0	tille		ume		Leq 5min uD (A)		
03 Oct 2023	14:13	-	14:43	Sunny	63.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C119577)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
03 Oct	19:18	-	19:23		50.3	SVAN 971 (Serial	D: NO 75
2023	20:13	-	20:18	Fine	52.8	No. C119577)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
2023	21:18	-	21:23		52.8	No. C119377)	(NO.33124328)
04 Oct	1:18	-	1:23		50.6	CVAN 071 (Carial	Rion NC-75
2023	3:23	-	3:28	Fine	49.1	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C119577)	(No.35124528)
2023	5:18	-	5:23		47.7	No. C119377)	(110.55124526)
12 Oct 2023	14:29	-	14:59	Sunny	57.7 ^[1]	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C119577)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
12.0 -4	19:09	-	19:14		49.7 ^[1]	CVAN 071 (Carial	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
12 Oct 2023	20:29	-	20:34	Fine	51.4 ^[1]	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C119577)	
2023	21:14	-	21:19		51.2 ^[1]		
13 Oct	1:19	-	1:24	Fine	51.7 ^[1]	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C119577)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
2023	3:09	-	3:14		51.1 ^[1]		
2023	5:14	-	5:19		49.2[1]		
18 Oct 2023	15:01	-	15:31	Fine	60.1	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
10.004	19:26	-	19:31	Fine	56.4	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
18 Oct 2023	20:06	-	20:11		58.7		
2023	21:21	-	21:26		53.3	100. 90003)	(NO.33124328)
19 Oct	1:26	-	1:31	Fine	49.5	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
2023	3:26	-	3:31		48.8		
2023	5:16	-	5:21		49.3	100. 90003)	(NO.33124326)
24 Oct 2023	14:00	-	14:30	Sunny	53.4	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 103449)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
24.0	19:10	-	19:15	Fine	48.6	CVAN 071 (Carial	Diam NC 75
24 Oct 2023	20:25	-	20:30		49.2	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 103449)	Rion NC-75
2023	21:15	-	21:20		53.3	1NO. 103449)	(No.35124528)
25 Oct	1:35	-	1:40		47.5	CVAN 071 (Comical	Dion NC 75
25 Oct	3:20	-	3:25	Fine	41.4	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 103449)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
2023	5:10	-	5:15		42.3	110. 103449)	(110.33124320)

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	$\begin{array}{c} L_{eq~30min}dB(A)/\\ L_{eq~5min}dB(A) \end{array}$	Sound Level Meter Used	Calibrator Used
30 Oct 2023	13:45	-	14:15	Sunny	55.8	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 103449)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
20.0 -4	19:15	-	19:20	Fine	51.6	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 103449)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
30 Oct 2023	20:20	-	20:25		51.5		
2023	21:20	-	21:25		49.6		
31 Oct 2023	1:20	-	1:25	Fine	39.1	CVAN 071 (Carial	Diam NC 75
	3:10	-	3:15		40.7	SVAN 971 (Serial	Rion NC-75
	5:25	-	5:30		41.6	No. 103449)	(No.35124528)

Note:

^[1] Noise meter SVAN 971 (Serial No. C119577) was deployed for impact noise monitoring on 12/10/2023 at M2 after its calibration certificate was expired on 10/10/2023, data collected on 12/10/2023 at M2 is for reference only.

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 3 (M3 / N_S3)

Monitoring date: 03, 12, 18, 24, 31 October 2023 (Daytime)

03&04, 12&13, 18&19, 24&25 and 31 October & 01 November 2023

(Evening & Night time)

Parameter : Leq 30min (Daytime), Leq 5min (Evening & Night time)


Noise source other than construction activities from the Air-conditioner


Project:


Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Start		End	Weather	Leq 30min dB(A) /	Sound Level	Calibrator	
	time		time		L _{eq 5min} dB(A)	Meter Used	Used	
03 Oct 2023	14:09	-	14:39	Sunny	60.3	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C132261)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
02.0 -4	19:09	-	19:14		55.5	CVAN 071 (Carial	Rion NC-75	
03 Oct 2023	20:04	-	20:09	Fine	56.4	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C132261)	(No.35124528)	
2023	21:34	-	21:39		54.7	No. C132201)	(NO.33124328)	
04.0-4	1:04	-	1:09		55.5	CVANIO71 (Carial	D: NO 75	
04 Oct 2023	3:34	-	3:39	Fine	55.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C132261)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
2025	5:19	-	5:24		52.4	No. C152201)	(100.55124528)	
12 Oct 2023	14:15	-	14:45	Sunny	55.5	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C132261)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
12.0 -4	19:05	-	19:10		56.0	CVAN 071 (Carial	Diam NC 75	
12 Oct 2023	20:10	-	20:15	Fine	51.1	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C132261)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
2023	21:25	-	21:30		50.0	No. C132201)	(NO.33124326)	
13 Oct	1:10	-	1:15		55.5	CVAN 071 (Carial	Rion NC-75	
2023	3:30	-	3:35	Fine	54.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. C132261)	(No.35124528)	
2023	5:25	-	5:30		44.6	No. C132201)	(NO.33124328)	
18 Oct 2023	14:48	-	15:18	Fine	58.8	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 103449)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
10.0-4	19:28	-	19:33		57.4	CMANIO71 (Carial	D: NO 75	
18 Oct 2023	20:48	-	20:53	Fine	61.1	SVAN 971 (Serial	Rion NC-75	
2023	21:43	-	21:48		59.9	No. 103449)	(No.35124528)	
10.0 -4	1:13	-	1:18		62.5	CVAN 071 (Carial	Rion NC-75	
19 Oct 2023	2:58	-	3:03	Fine	62.7	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 103449)	(No.35124528)	
2023	5:03	-	5:08		62.0	NO. 103449)	(NO.33124328)	
24 Oct 2023	14:08	1	14:38	Sunny	56.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
24.0-4	19:03	-	19:08		56.6	CVANIO71 (Carial	D: NO 75	
24 Oct 2023	20:43	-	20:48	Fine	49.6	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
2023	21:28	-	21:33		44.8	110. 90003)	(110.33124328)	
25 Oct	1:33	-	1:38		41.6	CVAN 071 (Comical	Dion NC 75	
25 Oct 2023	3:18	-	3:23	Fine	41.2	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)	
2023	5:18	-	5:23		42.2	110. 30003)	(110.33124320)	

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	$\begin{array}{c} L_{eq~30min}dB(A)/\\ L_{eq~5min}dB(A) \end{array}$	Sound Level Meter Used	Calibrator Used
31 Oct 2023	14:03	-	14:33	Sunny	58.0	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
21 0 -4	19:08	-	19:13		45.2	CVANIO71 (Cario1	D: NC 75
31 Oct 2023	20:18	-	20:23	Fine	45.7	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
2023	21:18	-	21:23		44.2	No. 90003)	(NO.55124526)
01 Nov.	1:18	-	1:23		43.6	CVANIO71 (Cario1	D: NC 75
01 Nov 2023	3:28	-	3:33	Fine	40.9	SVAN 971 (Serial No. 96063)	Rion NC-75 (No.35124528)
2023	5:23	-	5:28		41.2	110. 90003)	(110.55124528)







Contract No. EP/SP/66/ Integrated Waste Manag	12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix H	Waste Flow Table	





Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018 (year)

Project : In	ntegrated W	aste Manag	gement Faci	lities, Phas	se 1			Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12						
		Actual	Quantities of	f Inert C&D	Materials Ge	nerated Mon	thly			Actual	Quantities of	C&D Wastes	Generated M	Ionthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note 4)	Sand (see Note	Imported Fill Public fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Rock (see Note 4)	Metals (see Note 5)	Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 5)	Plastics (see Note 2, 5)	Chemica	l Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3)
	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	T	(in ,000 kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000L)	(in ,000 m ³)
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0065
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	2.9619	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	3.0771	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0130
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	6.7871	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec	0	0	0	0	0	59.0709	0	0	0	0	0	0.2000	0.8700	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	71.8970	0	0	0	0	0	0.2000	0.8700	0.0195

- Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates. (1)
- Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
- Use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5m³ by volume.
- Use the conversion factor: sand density = $1.6T/m^3$, public fill density = $1.8T/m^3$ and rock density = $2T/m^3$
- Materials recycled.





Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2019 (year)

Project: Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

r roject . n	negrated w	asic Manag	gement raci	mues, i nas	SC 1			Contract No.: EF/SF/00/12							
		Actual	Quantities of	Inert C&D	Materials Ge	nerated Mor	nthly		Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly						
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)		Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Sand (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Public fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Rock (see Note 4)	Metals (see Note 5)	Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 5)	Plastics (see Note 2, 5)	Chemica	l Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3)	
	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³	(in ,000m ³)	($(in,000m^3)$	T	(in ,000 kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000L)	(in ,000 m ³)	
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	82.6139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0065	
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	46.7821	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	97.1000	0	0.7552	0	0.2560	0	0	0	0	
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	58.0413	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
May	0	0	0	0	0	14.5625	0	1.4648	0	0	0	0	0	0.0065	
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.8421	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	299.0998	0	9.0621	0	0.2560	0	0	0	0.0130	
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4289	0	0	0	0	8.4000	0.0130	
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	2.5775	0	10.5600	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	6.1081	0	8.4704	0	0.3530	0	0	0	0.0065	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	9.8875	0	7.1900	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	38.3088	0	19.3105	0	0	0	0	0	0.0195	
Dec	0	0	0	0	0	54.3469	0	26.9807	0	0	0	0	0	0.0910	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	410.3286	0	82.0026	0	0.6090	0	0	8.4000	0.1430	

- (1) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
- (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
- (3) Use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5m³ by volume.
- (4) Use the conversion factor: sand density = $1.6T/m^3$, public fill density = $1.8T/m^3$ and rock density = $2T/m^3$
- (5) Materials recycled.





Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2020 (year)

Project : In	ntegrated W	aste Manag	gement Faci	lities, Phas	e 1			Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12						
		Actual	Quantities of	Inert C&D	Materials Ge	nerated Mon	thly			Actual	Quantities of	C&D Wastes	Generated M	onthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Sand (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Public fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Rock (see Note 4)	Metals (see Note 5)	Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 5)	Plastics (see Note 2, 5)	Chemica	l Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3)
	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³	(in ,000m ³)	(1	in ,000m ³)		(in ,000 kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000L)	$(in,000 \text{ m}^3)$
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	37.1550	0	25.0812	0	0	0	0	0	0.0065
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	27.7910	0	18.8300	0	0	0	0	0	0.0065
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	22.5669	0	26.1586	0	0	0	0	7.2000	0.0065
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	12.7800	0	10.1825	0	0	0	0	0	0.0195
May	0	0	0	0	0	16.1138	0	24.3740	0	0.4220	0	0	0	0.0195
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	31.5177	0	28.3030	0	0	0	0	0	0.0065
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	147.9244	0	132.9293	0	0.4220	0	0	7.2000	0.0650
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	34.7856	17.0606	35.1800	0	0	0	0	0	0.0195
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	27.1375	65.5667	27.9335	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	11.9813	110.1328	43.5435	0	0	0	0	0	0.0195
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	2.8213	131.6600	22.5415	0	0	0	0	0	0.0130
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	162.1811	44.6475	0	0.4090	0	0	0.4000	0.0130
Dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	174.9800	57.8380	0	0	0	0	0	0.0130
Total	0	0	0	0	0	224.6501	661.5812	364.6133	0	0.8310	0	0	7.6000	0.1430

- Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates. (1)
- Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
- Use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5m³ by volume.
- Use the conversion factor: sand density = $1.6T/m^3$, public fill density = $1.8T/m^3$ and rock density = $2T/m^3$
- Materials recycled.





Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2021 (year)

Project : In	ntegrated W	aste Manag	gement Faci	lities, Phas	e 1			Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12						
		Actual	Quantities of	of Inert C&D	Materials G	enerated Mo	nthly			Actual	Quantities of	C&D Wastes	Generated M	onthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Sand (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Public fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Rock (see Note 4)	Metals (see Note 5)	Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 5)	Plastics (see Note 2, 5)	Chemica	l Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3)
	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³	(in ,000m ³)		(in ,000m ³)	Т	(in ,000 kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000L)	(in ,000 m ³)
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	198.1311	36.4775	0	0	0	0	0	0.0065
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	143.9511	20.9960	0	0	0	0	0	0.6305
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	103.1833	23.4510	0	0	0	0	0	0.0130
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	0	161.2956	27.2810	0	0	0	0	0	0.0130
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	193.3300	20.5265	0	0	0	0	0	0.0715
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	141.5728	23.7825	0	0.2440	0	0	0	0.0455
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	0	941.4639	152.5145	0	0.2440	0	0	0	0.7800
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	105.1083	30.6065	0	0	0	0	0	0.0195
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	11.1822	7.5180	0	0	0	0	0	0.0130
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.7575	0	0	0	0	0.6000	0.0390
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.8885	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.2975	0	0.1610	0	0	0	0.0130
Dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.9235	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1057.7544	215.5060	0	0.4050	0	0	0.6000	0.8645

- Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates. (1)
- Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials. (2)
- Use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5m³ by volume.
- Use the conversion factor: sand density = $1.6T/m^3$, public fill density = $1.8T/m^3$ and rock density = $2T/m^3$.
- Materials recycled. (5)





(year)

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2022

Project : In	ntegrated W	aste Manag	gement Faci	lities, Phas	se 1				Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12					
		Actual	Quantities of	of Inert C&I	Materials G	enerated Mo	nthly			Actual	Quantities of	C&D Wastes	Generated M	Ionthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects (see Note 4)	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Sand (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Public fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Rock (see Note 4)	Metals (see Note 5)	Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 5)	Plastics (see Note 2, 5)	Chemica	l Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3)
	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³	(in ,000m ³)		(in ,000m ³)	T	(in ,000 kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000L)	(in ,000 m ³)
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.9389	2.7070	0	0.1550	0	0	0	0.0715
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2478	4.0290	0	0	0	0.4000	0.2250	0
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.3422	2.7820	0	0	0	0	0	0.0780
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	0	18.2189	5.8100	0	0.3120	0	0	0	0.1495
May	0.0648	0	0	0	0.0648	0	16.7711	17.2320	0	0	0	0	0	0.0975
Jun	0.0037	0	0	0	0.0037	0.2115	1.1128	14.1470	36.3000	0.3890	0	0	1.7250	0.0975
Sub-total	0.0685	0	0	0	0.0685	0.2115	46.6317	46.7070	36.3000	0.8560	0	0.4000	1.9500	0.4940
Jul	25.7183	0	0	25.7183	0	0.1125	0.8333	17.5210	0	0.6400	0.0060	0	0	0.1235
Aug	13.2494	0	0	13.2494	0	0	0	24.5210	76.0300	1.8870	0	0	0	0.1170
Sep	24.9072	0	0	24.8494	0.0578	0	0	16.2815	72.0600	0.3060	0	0	0	0.1885
Oct	13.3139	0	0	13.3006	0.0133	0	0	11.8665	78.1000	0.5800	0	0	0	0.2405
Nov	26.5583	0	0	26.5583	0	0	0	7.2055	0	0	0	0	0	0.1105
Dec	29.1411	0	0	29.1411	0	0	0	3.5174	0	0	0	0	0	0.2535
Total	132.9567	0	0	132.8171	0.1396	0.3240	47.4650	127.6199	262.4900	4.2690	0.0060	0.4000	1.9500	1.5275

- Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates. (1)
- Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials. (2)
- Use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5m³ by volume.
- Use the conversion factor: sand density = $1.6T/m^3$, public fill density = $1.8T/m^3$ and rock density = $2T/m^3$.
- Materials recycled. (5)





Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2023 (year)

Project: Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1 Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12

		Actual	Quantities of	of Inert C&D	Materials Ge	enerated Mo	nthly		Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					onthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects (see Note 4)	Disposed as Public Fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Sand (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Public fill (see Note 4)	Imported Fill Rock (see Note 4)	Metals (see Note 5)	Paper/ cardboard packaging (see Note 5)	Plastics (see Note 2, 5)	Chemica	l Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse (see Note 3)
	(in ,000m ³)	$(in ,000m^3)$	(in ,000m ³)	(in ,000m ³	(in ,000m ³)		$(in,000m^3)$	T	(in ,000 kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000kg)	(in ,000L)	$(in ,000 m^3)$
Jan	24.6728	0	0	24.6728	0	0	0	1.3545	0	0.3150	0	0	0	0.1365
Feb	26.7206	0	0	26.7206	0	0	0	1.8990	11.1501	0	0.0007	0	0	0.1235
Mar	22.1089	0	0	22.1089	0	0	0	0.9025	0	0	0	0	0	0.1105
Apr	36.0011	0	0	36.0011	0	0	0	0	0	0.2150	0	0	0	0.1365
May	21.8900	0	0	21.8900	0	0	0	0	0	0.3160	0	0	0	0.1495
Jun	8.8878	0	0	8.8878	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1950
Sub-total	140.2812	0	0	140.2812	0	0	0	4.1560	11.1501	0.8460	0.0007	0	0	0.8515
Jul	2.2233	0	0	2.2233	0	0	0	0	0	0.3870	0	0	0	0.1495
Aug	4.4200	0	0	4.4200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2015
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2860
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4025	0	0.3770	0	0	0	0.2340
Nov														
Dec														
Total	146.9245	0	0	146.9245	0	0	0	4.5585	11.1501	1.6100	0.0007	0	0	1.7225

- Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates. (1)
- Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials. (2)
- Use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to $6.5 \,\mathrm{m}^3$ by volume. Use the conversion factor: sand density = $1.6 \,\mathrm{T/m}^3$, public fill density = $1.8 \,\mathrm{T/m}^3$ and rock density = $2 \,\mathrm{T/m}^3$.
- (5) Materials recycled.

Contract No. EP/SP/66/ Integrated Waste Manas	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix I	Event / Action Plan for Co	oral Monitoring

Event		Actio	n	
Ī	ET Leader II	EC S	о с	ontractor
Exceedance 3	Check monitoring data Inform the IEC, SO and Contractor of the findings; Increase the monitoring to at least once a month to confirm findings; Propose mitigation measures for consideration	ET and the Contractor;	Discuss with the IEC 1. additional monitoring requirements and any other measures proposed by the 2. ET; Make the agreement on the measures to be 3. implemented.	notification of the non-compliance in writing; Discuss with the ET and the IEC and propose measures to the IEC and the SO;
Limit Level ¹ Exceedance	. Undertake Steps 1-4 as in 1. the Action Level Exceedance. If further 2. exceedance of Limit Level, propose enhancement measures for consideration.	ET and the Contractor;	Discuss with the IEC 1. additional monitoring requirements and any other measures proposed by the 2. ET; Make the agreement on the measures to be 3. implemented.	notification of the non-compliance in writing; Discuss with the ET and the IEC and propose measures to the IEC and the SO;

Contract No. EP/SP/66/ Integrated Waste Mana	gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix J	Event / Action Plan for V	White-Bellied Sea Eagle

Event	Action			
	Environmental	Audit Team	Contractor	
	Team			
Absence of White-bellied Sea Eagle during a whole day of monitoring.	Inform audit team. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	 Inform site engineer and contractor. If the absence remains: Review construction activities and noise monitoring records of the associated period; Identify potential causes of the absence; Propose remedial measures, such as change of construction method and sequence; Confirm the feasibility of the proposed remedial measures with site engineer and contractor; Discuss with environmental team about the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. 	Implement the agreed remedial measures.	

Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	5/12 agement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix K	Exceedance Report	

Statistical Summary of Exceedances in the Reporting Period

Noise (Day Time)					
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total		
M1	0	0	0		
M2	0	0	0		
M3	0	0	0		
·	Noise (Evening Time)				
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total		
M1	0	0	0		
M2	0	0	0		
M3	0	0	0		
·	Noise (I	Night Time)			
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total		
M1	0	0	0		
M2	0	0	0		
M3	0	0	0		

Contract No. EP/SP/6 Integrated Waste Man	6/12 agement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix L	Complaint Log	

Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
01 Oct 2023- 31 Oct 2023	0	5	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Reporting	Environmental Summons Statistics			
Period	Frequency	Frequency Cumulative		
01 Oct 2023-	0	0	NI/A	
31 Oct 2023	U	U	N/A	

Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Reporting	Environmental Prosecution Statistics			
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details	
01 Oct 2023-	0	0	N/A	
31 Oct 2023	O	0	IV/A	

Contract No. EP/SP/66/ Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Annendiy M	Impact Monitoring Sche	edule of Next Reporting
Appendix IVI	Month	date of Next Reporting

Impact Monitoring Schedule for IWMF						
Sun	Mon	rue -	Nov-23	Thu	Fri	Sat
Suit	THOIL	ue	1	2	3	4
			Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M3			
5	6		8	9	10	11
		, 			Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3
					Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3
12	13 Impact	Impact	15	16	17	18
	Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3				
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
	Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3		Impact Ecology monitoring for WBSE		
26	27	18	29	30		
		<u> </u>	Impact Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Impact Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3		
			Daytime & Evening Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3	Night time Noise monitoring for M1, M2 & M3		

Remarks:

1. Daytime Noise Monitoring (07:00-1900), Evening Time Noise Monitoring (1900-2300), Night Time Noise Monitoring (2300-0700)

2. Water Quality Monitoring for \$1,52 and \$3 will only conduct during DCM works, refer to Detailed DCM Plan

3. No marine construction work is tentatively scheduled to be carried out in November 2023