

Contract No. EP/SP/66/12 Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1



Quarterly EM&A Report No.2 (Period from 1 October to 31 December 2018)

(Clause 3.3, Further Environmental Permit FEP-01/429/2012/A)

Document No.

KSZHJV	/	312	/	Quarterly	Quarterly / 00002 /			C
				EM&A				
Issuer		Project Code		Type of Document		Sequential No.		Revision Index

	Prepared by:	Prepared by: Certified by:						
Name	Joe Ho	F.C Tsang	Mandy To					
Position	Environmental Team Member	Environmental Team Leader	Independent Environmental Checker					
Signature		Toay Fartheong	Mandy 2.					
Date:	20 March 2024	20 March 2024	20 March 2024					

[©] This document contains confidential and proprietary information belonging to Keppel Seghers - Zhen Hua Joint Venture and/or its affiliates. The contents of this document shall not be used for any other purpose than that for which they were provided. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this document is strictly prohibited. This document confers upon the recipient no right or license of whatsoever nature based on the information as described herein. If you have received this document in error, please immediately arrange for the return to Keppel Seghers - Zhen Hua Joint Venture or destruction of this document.

Revision History

C	Updated Appendix D	8 March 2024
В	Revision based on EPD and AFCD's comment	15 May 2019
A	First Submission	31 January 2018
Rev.	DESCRIPTION OF MODIFICATION	DATE

CONTENT

1.	Basic Project Information	5
	Marine Water Quality Monitoring	
3.	Noise Monitoring	15
4.	Waste	. 19
5.	Coral	20
6.	Marine Mammal	33
7.	White-Bellied Sea Eagle	38
	Summary of Monitoring Exceedance, Complaints, Notification of Summons secutions	
9.	EM&A Site Inspection	. 41
10.	Conclusion and Recommendations	42

Appendix A	Master Programme
Appendix B	Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation
Appendix C	Water Quality Monitoring Data Trending
Appendix D	Noise Monitoring Data Trending
Appendix E	Waste Flow Table
Appendix F	Photo Records for Coral Monitoring
Appendix G	Photo Records for Marine Mammal Monitoring
Appendix H	Photo Records for White-bellied Sea Eagle Monitoring
Appendix I	Complaint Log

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A1. The Project, Integrated Waste Management Facility (IWMF), is a Designated Project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO) and is currently governed by a Further Environmental Permit (FEP No. FEP-01/429/2012/A) for the construction and operation of the Project.
- A2. In accordance with the Updated Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual for the Project, EM&A works for marine water quality, noise, waste management and ecology should be carried out by Environmental Team (ET), Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited (ASCL), during the construction phase of the Project.
- A3. This is the 2nd Quarterly EM&A Report, prepared by ASCL, for the Project summarizing and concluding the monitoring results and audit findings of the EM&A programme at and around Shek Kwu Chau (SKC) during the reporting period from 1 October 2018 to 31 December 2018.
- A4. The EM&A works for construction noise, water quality, construction waste, coral, marine mammal and White-Bellied Sea Eagle (WBSE) were conducted during the reporting period in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual.
- A5. Weekly site inspections of the construction works were carried out by ET to audit the mitigation measures implementation status. Monthly joint site inspections were carried out by ET and IEC.

1. BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1.1 The Reporting Scope
- 1.1.1 This is the 2nd Quarterly EM&A Report for the Project which summarizes the key findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 October 2018 to 31 December 2018.
- 1.2 Project Organization
- 1.2.1 The Project Organization structure for Construction Phase is presented in **Figure 1.1**.




Figure 1.1 Project Organization Chart

1.2.2 Contact details of the key personnel are presented in **Table 1.1** below:

Table 1.1 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone no.
Environmental Protection Department	Project Proponent	Cheng Tak-Kuen	2594-6111
Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture	Project Manager	Kenny Yu	2192-0606
Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited	Environmental Team Leader	Robin Ho	2698-6833
ERM-Hong Kong, Limited	Independent Environmental Checker	Mandy To	2271-3000

- 1.3 Summary of Construction Works
- 1.3.1 Details of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period are shown in **Table 1.2** below. The construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

Table 1.2 Summary of the Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Period

Location of works	Construction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
Seawall and breakwater locations	Marine site investigation works	• 56 out of 62 drill holes were completed
Location of DCM Site Trial	Coring of DCM samples	Completed
Location of Static Loading Test	Laying of geotextile and sand blanket	Completed
Seawall locations	Collecting of Marine Sediment Samples	Completed
Location of DCM Static Loading Test	DCM installation	Completed
Seawall and breakwater locations	Laying of Geotextile and Sand Blanket	 42 out of 48 geotextiles were laid On-going for sand blanket laying
Seawall and berth area	DCM installation	On-going

1.3.2 The status for all environmental aspects is presented **Table 1.3**.

Table 1.3 Summary of Status for Key Environmental Aspects under the Updated EM&A Manual

Parameters	Status							
Water Quality								
Baseline Monitoring under	The baseline water quality monitoring result has been reported							
Updated EM&A Manual	in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP							
and Detailed Plan on DCM	Condition 3.4							
Impact Monitoring	On-going							
Regular DCM Monitoring	On-going							
Initial Intensive DCM	To be commenced according to the Detailed Plan on DCM							
Monitoring								
Baseline Water Quality of	Being carried out from 13 August 2018 to 7 September 2018							
wet season								
	Noise							
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline noise monitoring result has been reported in							
	Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP							
	Condition 3.4							

Parameters	Status						
Impact Monitoring	On-going						
	Waste Management						
Mitigation Measures in	On-going						
Waste Monitoring Plan							
	Coral						
Pre-translocation Survey	The Coral Translocation Plan was submitted and approved by						
and Coral Mapping	EPD under EP Condition 2.12						
Coral Translocation	Completed on 28 March 2018						
Post-Translocation Coral	On-going, survey obstructed due to missing of translocated and						
Monitoring	tagged coral colonies after typhoons in September 2018						
Pre-construction Coral	Completed on 26 June 2018						
Survey and Tagging							
Tagged Coral Monitoring	On-going, survey obstructed due to missing of tagged coral						
	colonies after typhoons in September 2018						
Coral Survey and	Re-tagging at Indirect Impact Site was conducted on 23						
Re-tagging	November and Re-tagging at Control Site was conducted on 3						
	December 2018						
Post Re-tagging Coral	Post Re-tagging Monthly Coral Survey at both Indirect Impact						
Monthly Monitoring	Site and Control Site was scheduled on 14 January 2019						
	Marine Mammal						
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline marine mammal monitoring result has been						
	reported in Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD						
	under FEP Condition 3.4						
Impact Monitoring	On-going On-going						
	White-bellied Sea Eagle						
Baseline Monitoring	The baseline WBSE monitoring result has been reported in						
	Baseline Monitoring Report and submitted to EPD under FEP						
	Condition 3.4						
Impact Monitoring	On-going On-going						
	Environmental Audit						
Site Inspection covering	On-going On-going						
Measures of Air Quality,							
Noise Impact, Water							
Quality, Waste, Ecological							
Quality, Fisheries,							
Landscape and Visual							
Mitigation Measures in	On-going						
Marine Mammal Watching							
Plan (MMWP)							
Mitigation Measures in	On-going						
Detailed Monitoring							
Programme on Finless							
Porpoise (DMPFP)							
Mitigation Measures in	On-going On-going						
Vessel Travel Details							

- 1.3.3 Other than the EM&A works by ET, environmental briefings, trainings and regular environmental management meetings were conducted, in order to enhance environmental awareness and closely monitor the environmental performance of the contractors.
- 1.3.4 The EM&A programme has been implemented in accordance with the recommendations presented in the approved EIA Report and the Updated EM&A

Manual. A summary of updated implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures for the construction phase of the Project during the reporting period is provided in **Appendix B**.

2. MARINE WATER QUALITY MONITORING

- 2.1 Water Quality Parameters
- 2.1.1 Measurement of Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Turbidity, Suspended Solids (SS), Salinity and pH have been undertaken at eleven monitoring stations during general water quality monitoring. Besides the above parameters, monitoring of Total Alkalinity, Current Velocity and Current Direction have been undertaken at all fourteen monitoring stations (including S1, S2 and S3) during regular DCM monitoring.
- 2.1.2 Current velocity and direction, DO, temperature, salinity, turbidity and pH were measured in-situ and the SS, Total Alkalinity were assayed in a HOKLAS laboratory.
- 2.1.3 In associate with the water quality parameters, other relevant data were also measured, such as monitoring location/position, time, water depth, sampling depth, tidal stages, weather conditions and any special phenomena or work underway nearby were also recorded.
- 2.1.4 **Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the impact water quality monitoring.

Table 2.1 Water Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter, unit	Frequency	No. of Depths
 Water Depth(m) Temperature(°C) Salinity(ppt) pH (pH unit) Dissolved Oxygen (DO)(mg/L and % of saturation) Turbidity(NTU) Suspended Solids (SS), mg/L Total alkalinity Current velocity Direction 	Impact monitoring: 3 days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides	3 water depths: 1m below sea surface, mid-depth and 1m above sea bed. If the water depth is less than 3m, mid-depth sampling only. If water depth is less than 6m, mid-depth may be omitted.

2.2 Water Quality Monitoring Locations

2.2.1 Impact water quality monitoring was conducted at eleven monitoring locations during general water quality monitoring and fourteen water monitoring locations during regular DCM monitoring, as shown in **Figure 2.1**.




Figure 2.1 Water monitoring locations at Artificial Island near SKC

2.3 Action and Limit Levels

2.3.1 Based on the baseline monitoring data and the derivation criteria specified in the Updated EM&A Manual, the Action/Limit Levels are presented in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2 Derived Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality Monitoring

Parameters	Action	Limit					
Construction Phas	se Impact Monitoring						
DO in mg/L	≤ 7.13	≤ 4					
SS in mg/L	≥ 8 or 120% of control station's SS	\geq 10 or 130% of control station's SS at					
	at the same tide of the same day of	the same tide of the same day of					
	measurement, whichever is higher	measurement, whichever is higher					
Turbidity in NTU	\geq 5.6 or 120% of control station's	≥ 12.81 or 130% of control station's					
	turbidity at the same tide of the same	turbidity at the same tide of the same					
	day of measurement, whichever is	day of measurement, whichever is					
	higher	higher					
Temperature in°C	1.8°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day	2°C above the temperature recorded at representative control station at the same tide of the same day					
Total Alkalinity	≥116 or 120% of control station's	\geq 118 or 130% of control station's					
in mg/L	Total Alkalinity at the same tide of	Total Alkalinity at the same tide of the					
	the same day of measurement,	same day of measurement, whichever					
Netro	whichever is higher	is higher					

Notes:

2.4 Monitoring Results and Observations

2.4.1 DCM injection works and sand blanket laying works were conducted during the reporting period, thus both general water quality monitoring at the eleven monitoring stations and general DCM monitoring including monitoring stations S1, S2 and S3 were conducted. Monitoring results of 7 key parameters: Salinity, DO, turbidity, SS, pH, temperature and total alkalinity in each month of this reporting period, are summarized in **Table 2.3**, and results trending are presented graphically in **Appendix C**.

i. "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths.

ii. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.

iii. For turbidity, SS and Salinity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

Table 2.3 Summary of Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results

													Parar	neters												
						Disso	olved Ox	kygen (n	ng/L)														m .	1 4 11 11	,	
Locations	ations	S	alinity (pp	ot)	Surfa	ace & M	iddle		Bottom			pН		Tur	bidity (N	ΓU)	Suspende	d Solids	(mg/L)	Т	emp. (°C	C)	Total Alkalinity (mg/L)			
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	
	Avg.	30.53	30.00	30.12	6.85	8.07	8.69	6.84	8.05	8.69	8.10	8.10	8.08	3.5	3.6	4.1	8.4	9.7	9.2	26.4	22.8	21.5	107.5	-	113.4	
B1	Min.	30.01	29.04	29.11	5.63	7.44	7.70	5.65	7.58	7.70	8.00	7.29	8.00	0.1	1.3	1.1	2.0	3.0	3.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	111.0	
	Max.	31.53	31.19	30.99	8.04	8.86	9.39	7.99	8.59	9.47	8.23	8.40	8.20	6.5	6.5	8.6	22.0	24.0	24.0	28.0	24.4	24.9	110.0	-	116.0	
	Avg.	30.55	30.00	30.10	6.80	8.01	8.76	6.79	7.99	8.76	8.10	8.08	8.10	3.5	3.6	4.1	8.3	11.6	9.5	26.4	22.8	21.5	107.3	-	113.3	
B2	Min.	30.06	29.04	29.00	5.70	7.41	7.81	5.65	7.55	7.86	8.00	7.15	8.00	0.1	0.9	1.1	2.0	5.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	111.0	
	Max.	31.53	31.20	31.00	7.64	8.60	9.81	7.61	8.54	9.76	8.25	8.36	8.20	7.0	6.8	8.8	18.0	49.0	23.0	28.1	24.4	24.9	109.0	-	115.0	
	Avg.	30.54	30.01	30.01	6.86	8.00	8.70	6.87	7.96	8.69	8.10	8.08	8.08	3.6	3.7	4.1	8.1	10.5	8.3	26.4	22.8	21.5	107.4	-	113.3	
В3	Min.	30.00	29.02	28.62	5.53	7.54	7.72	5.51	7.59	7.72	8.00	7.25	8.00	0.2	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	25.1	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	111.0	
	Max.	31.55	31.20	30.94	7.80	8.60	9.55	7.81	8.41	9.48	8.22	8.40	8.20	6.6	6.9	7.8	17.0	23.0	16.0	28.0	24.4	24.9	110.0	-	115.0	
	Avg.	30.55	29.98	30.11	6.89	7.94	8.75	6.88	7.96	8.75	8.09	8.08	8.09	3.4	3.6	4.1	7.5	10.6	8.3	26.4	22.8	21.5	107.0	-	113.3	
B4	Min.	30.02	29.01	28.91	5.56	7.23	7.73	5.58	7.48	7.68	8.00	7.06	8.00	0.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	111.0	
	Max.	31.53	31.18	31.88	7.99	8.32	9.41	8.01	8.29	9.45	8.22	8.40	8.20	5.9	6.6	7.9	16.0	22.0	17.0	28.1	24.4	24.9	110.0	-	115.0	
- C1	Avg.	30.53	30.03	29.97	6.90	8.03	8.71	6.91	8.02	8.70	8.10	8.10	8.09	3.6	3.6	4.1	7.2	10.1	8.5	26.3	22.9	21.5	107.4	-	113.1	
C1	Min.	30.00	29.00	27.53	5.59	7.40	7.77	5.65	7.52	7.74	8.00	7.28	8.00	0.4	1.0	1.1	2.0	4.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	110.0	
	Max.	31.55	31.20	30.96	7.99	8.67	9.60	8.00	8.38	9.49	8.22	8.40	8.20	6.3	6.3	8.4	17.0	23.0	22.0	28.0	24.4	24.9	110.0	-	116.0	
	Avg.	30.55	29.98	30.13	6.90	8.03	8.65	6.90	8.03	8.66	8.10	8.07	8.10	3.5	3.7	4.2	7.4	11.4	7.7	26.3	22.9	21.5	107.4	-	113.3	
C2	Min.	30.01	29.01	28.91	5.51	7.47	7.88	5.52	7.51	7.92	8.00	7.23	8.00	0.3	0.9	1.1	2.0	4.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	111.0	
	Max.	31.55	31.20	31.50	7.82	8.44	9.32	7.85	8.49	9.46	8.24	8.36	8.20	6.5	7.0	8.6	34.0	24.0	15.0	28.0	24.4	24.9	110.0	-	115.0	
CR1	Avg.	30.55	30.03	30.11	6.93	8.01	8.75	6.93	8.00	8.74	8.10	8.08	8.09	3.6	3.7	4.1	7.3	10.8	10.2	26.3	22.9	21.5	107.9	-	113.3	
CKI	Min.	30.01	29.03 31.20	28.95	5.59	7.33	7.94	5.60	7.36	8.03	8.01	7.22	8.00	0.6	1.1	1.1		4.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	110.0 115.0	
	Max.	31.55 30.55	30.00	30.94	7.85	8.72	9.65 8.67	7.88	8.51 7.97	9.50 8.69	8.24	8.40	8.20 8.09	6.6 3.5	3.7	8.4	14.0 8.7	26.0	10.1	28.0	24.4 22.9	24.9	111.0 107.8	-	113.4	
CR2	Avg.		29.00		6.86 5.47	7.96		6.86 5.47			8.11	8.09				4.1	3.0	12.5 5.0	2.0		21.5	21.5 17.1	107.8	-	111.0	
CKZ	Min.	30.03 31.55	31.20	28.91 30.98	7.93	7.44 8.53	7.64 9.41	7.96	7.57 8.49	7.61 9.39	8.00 8.24	7.25 8.40	8.00 8.20	0.4 5.9	6.6	1.0 8.6	31.0	56.0	25.0	25.0 28.0	24.4	24.9	112.0	-	111.0	
	Max. Avg.	30.53	30.00	30.98	6.78	8.01	8.75	6.80	8.49	8.75	8.24	8.40	8.20	3.4	3.7	4.1	7.4	10.8	8.1	26.4	22.8	21.5	107.6	-	113.0	
F1	Min.	30.33	29.00	28.98	5.42	7.38	7.88	5.48	7.52	7.85	8.00	7.14	8.09	0.9	1.0	1.1	2.0	4.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	107.0	-	111.0	
11	Max.	31.54	31.20	31.05	7.90	8.70	9.66	7.86	8.53	9.56	8.24	8.39	8.20	6.8	6.9	8.1	15.0	21.0	18.0	28.0	24.4	24.9	110.0	-	115.0	
	Avg.	30.54	30.00	30.06	6.84	8.10	8.78	6.84	8.02	8.79	8.11	8.08	8.09	3.4	3.7	4.1	7.5	10.6	8.3	26.3	22.9	21.5	107.5	-	113.4	
H1	Min.	30.00	29.02	27.49	5.57	7.48	7.68	5.62	7.38	7.70	8.00	7.19	8.00	0.3	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	107.3	-	111.0	
111	Max.	31.54	31.19	31.49	7.89	8.73	9.62	7.86	8.54	9.63	8.24	8.38	8.20	6.3	7.0	7.9	16.0	23.0	19.0	28.1	24.4	24.9	110.0		116.0	
<u> </u>	wax.	31.34	31.19	31.49	1.09	0.13	9.02	7.00	0.54	7.03	0.24	0.30	0.20	0.5	7.0	1.9	10.0	23.0	19.0	20.1	24.4	24.9	110.0		110.0	

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Contract No. EP/SP/66/12

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture

		Parameters																							
				Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		pH Turbidity (NTU)							Total Alkalinity												
Locations		Salinity (ppt)		Surface & Middle				Bottom		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)		Temp. (°C)		(mg/L)									
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec
	Avg.	30.53	30.02	30.13	6.82	8.03	8.83	6.81	8.02	8.83	8.10	8.06	8.09	3.5	3.5	4.1	8.1	11.1	9.1	26.4	22.8	21.5	107.1	-	113.4
M1	Min.	30.01	29.01	28.91	5.60	7.28	7.88	5.62	7.35	7.91	8.00	7.09	8.00	0.3	1.1	1.2	2.0	5.0	2.0	25.0	21.5	17.1	105.0	-	111.0
	Max.	31.55	31.19	31.18	7.79	8.47	9.67	7.76	8.42	9.60	8.24	8.39	8.20	6.7	6.7	7.6	25.0	26.0	18.0	28.0	24.4	24.9	109.0	-	117.0
	Avg.	30.19	-	30.11	6.60	-	8.91	6.61	-	8.90	8.11	-	8.10	3.5	1	4.3	11.2	-	10.8	27.3	-	20.9	107.6	-	113.1
S1	Min.	30.04	-	28.60	6.18	-	7.72	6.18	-	7.76	8.00	-	8.00	1.4	1	1.3	6.0	-	2.0	26.5	-	17.1	106.0	-	111.0
	Max.	30.33	-	31.17	7.37	-	9.63	7.35	-	9.65	8.24	-	8.20	6.3	-	8.8	15.0	-	24.0	28.0	-	24.9	110.0	-	116.0
	Avg.	30.16	-	30.06	6.50	-	8.89	6.50	-	8.89	8.10	-	8.09	3.6	-	4.4	9.7	-	10.6	27.3	-	20.9	107.6	-	113.2
S2	Min.	30.04	-	28.24	6.06	-	7.85	6.06	-	7.92	8.00	-	8.00	1.4	-	1.2	5.0	-	2.0	26.5	-	17.1	106.0	-	111.0
	Max.	30.31	-	31.00	7.14	-	9.65	7.16	-	9.67	8.23	-	8.20	6.8	-	8.3	15.0	-	24.0	28.2	-	24.9	112.0	-	116.0
	Avg.	30.19	-	30.15	6.58	-	8.87	6.60	-	8.86	8.12	-	8.11	3.6	-	4.3	10.1	-	10.7	27.3	-	20.9	108.0	-	113.4
S3	Min.	30.03	-	29.43	6.30	-	7.79	6.31	-	7.86	8.00	-	8.00	1.3	-	1.2	6.0	-	2.0	26.5	-	17.1	106.0	-	111.0
	Max.	30.39	-	31.61	7.24	-	9.45	7.23	-	9.44	8.23	-	8.20	6.8	-	8.0	13.0	-	26.0	28.0	-	24.9	112.0	-	117.0

Notes:

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

13

i. "Avg", "Min" and "Max" is the average, minimum and maximum respectively of the data from measurements conducted under mid-flood and mid-ebb tides at three water depths, except that of DO where the data for "Surface & Middle" and "Bottom" are calculated separately.

- 2.4.2 Most of the monitoring results for temperature, turbidity and total alkalinity obtained in the reporting period complied with their corresponding Action and Limit levels, while numbers of result for DO and SS triggered their corresponding Action or Limit Levels, and investigations were conducted accordingly. For the salinity, pH, DO, turbidity, temperature, SS and total alkalinity, their trends were fluctuated independent to the site activities and presented in **Appendix C**.
- 2.4.3 No major pollution source and extreme weather which might affect the results were observed during the impact monitoring.
- 2.4.4 During the impact monitoring period for October to December 2018, exceedances of the Action and Limit for DO and SS were recorded. Investigations were conducted and results indicated the DO and SS exceedances were not attributable to the Project works. Details of the exceedance are presented in **Section 8**.
- 2.4.5 Implemented mitigation measures minimizing the adverse impacts on water are listed in the implementation schedule given in **Appendix B**.

3. Noise Monitoring

- 3.1 Noise Monitoring Parameters
- 3.1.1 Impact noise monitoring was conducted weekly in the reporting period between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays.
- 3.1.2 Construction noise level measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (LAeq). Leq 30min was used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays. **Table 3.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the impact noise monitoring.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Time, Frequency and Duration

Monitoring Station	Time	Duration	Parameters
M1/ N_S1, M2/ N_S2, M3/ N_S3	Daytime: 0700-1900 hrs (during normal weekdays, not include Sunday or general holiday)	Once per week $L_{\text{eq }5\text{min}}/L_{\text{eq }30\text{min}}$ (average of 6 consecutive $L_{\text{eq }5\text{min}}$)	$L_{\rm eq}, L_{10} \ \& \ L_{90}$

- 3.2 Noise Monitoring Locations
- 3.2.1 Three noise monitoring locations for impact monitoring at the nearby sensitive receivers are shown in **Figure 3.1**




Figure 3.1 Noise monitoring locations at SKC

- 3.2.2 M1, M2 and M3 are Shek Kwu Chau Treatment and Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1, 2 and 3 respectively of The Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers (SARDA) located at southern part of Shek Kwu Chau.
- 3.2.3 Measurement at M1, M2 and M3 were conducted at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and at a position 1.2m above the ground. The noise monitoring stations are summarized in **Table 3.2** below.

Type of sensitive NSR ID in **Noise Monitoring Location** Measurement Station receiver(s) **EIA Report** Type Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & N_S1 M1 Residential Façade Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1 Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & M2 N S2 Residential Facade Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 2 Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & M3 N_S3 Residential Façade Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 3

Table 3.2 Noise Monitoring Location

- 3.3 Action and Limit Levels
- 3.3.1 The Action/Limit Levels in line with the criteria of Practice Note for Professional Persons (ProPECC PN 2/93) "Noise from Construction Activities Non-statutory Controls" and Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process issued by HKSAR Environmental Protection Department ["EPD"] under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance, Cap 499, S.16 are presented in **Table 3.3.**

Time Period Action Limit (dB(A))

0700-1900 hrs on normal When one documented complaint is received 75 dB(A)

Table 3.3 Action and Limit Levels for Noise

- 3.4 Monitoring Results and Observations
- 3.4.1 Impact monitoring for noise impact was conducted in the reporting period. The impact noise levels at Noise Monitoring Stations at SKC (i.e. M1/ N_S1 to M3/ N_S3) are summarized in **Table 3.5**. Trending of the noise monitoring results is presented graphically in **Appendix D**.
- 3.4.2 Major construction activity, major noise source and extreme weather which might affect the results were recorded during the impact monitoring.
- 3.4.3 According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring station in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 3.4**:

Table 3.4 Summary of Field Observation

Monitoring Station	Major Noise Source
M1	Installation of air-conditioning units nearby
M2	Installation of air-conditioning units nearby
M3	Air-conditioning units nearby

Table 3.5 Summary of Impact Noise Monitoring Results

	Noise in dB(A)										
Location	Ra	ange of Leq 30	min	R	ange of L _{10 51}	nin	Range of L ₉₀ 5min				
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec	Oct	Nov	Dec		
N/1	49.4 –	48.8 –	50.2 –	50.4 –	48.1 –	50.2 –	43.9 –	45.2 –	45.2 –		
M1	58.1	60.8	52.4	63.0	63.0	55.9	55.9	60.3	48.1		
140	50.0 –	51.9 –	53.9 –	51.1 –	51.5 –	55.2 –	42.6 –	44.6 –	48.1 –		
M2	56.6	60.6	55.8	65.2	66.5	61.2	54.4	55.3	54.2		
142	45.9 –	51.1 –	49.7 –	41.8 –	52.4 –	52.0 –	41.9 –	46.5 –	45.2 –		
M3	54.5	54.7	52.9	59.9	58.4	55.0	50.8	53.7	48.5		

- 3.4.4 Major sources of noise dominating the monitoring stations observed during the impact noise monitoring was installation of air-conditioning units nearby at M1 & M2 and air-conditioning units nearby at M3.
- 3.4.5 No data from impact monitoring has exceeded the stipulated limit level at 75 dB(A).

4. WASTE

December 2018

0

0.87

- 4.1 The waste generated from this Project includes inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials, and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes such as plastics and paper/cardboard packaging waste. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials.
- 4.2 As advised by the Contractor, for C&D waste, no metals were generated and collected by registered recycling collector. No paper cardboard packing were generated on site and collected by registered recycling collector. No plastic waste was collected by registered recycling collector. 870L and 200kg of chemical waste were collected by licensed chemical waste collector. 4.2 tonnes of other types of wastes (e.g. general refuse) were generated on site and disposed of at Landfill.
- 4.3 With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in **Table 4.1**. Details of cumulative waste management data are presented as a waste flow table in **Appendix E**.

Quantity Non-inert C&D Materials Recycled materials Others, e.g. Inert C&D Chemical Chemical General Reporting period Materials Waste Waste Refuse Paper/card **Plastics** Metals (in'000kg) (in'000L) (in'000kg) disposed at board (in'000kg) (in'000kg)Landfill (in'000kg) (in'000kg) October 2018 0 0 0 4.2 0 0 0 November 2018 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Table 4.1 Quantities of Waste Generated from the Project

4.4 Although there is not much waste generation in the reporting period from the Project, the Contractor is reminded to sort and store any solid and liquid waste on-site properly prior to disposal.

0.2

0

0

0

5. CORAL

5.1 Coral Monitoring Parameters

- 5.1.1 Ten (10) tagged coral colonies at each site of suggested control site and indirect impact site are being monitored weekly for the first month and followed by monthly monitoring for three months. The selected Control Site is located at Yuen Kong Chau of Soko Islands about 7 km away from the project area. Tagged coral colonies at the proposed recipient site are being monitored quarterly for one year. The selected recipient site R3 is located the opposite side of the Project area at about 2 km away.
- 5.1.2 Monitoring recorded the following parameters (using the same methodology adopted during the pre-translocation survey); the size, presence, health conditions (percentage of mortality/bleaching) and percentage of sediment of each trans-located coral colony. The general environmental conditions including weather, sea, and tidal conditions of survey sites were monitored.
- 5.1.3 Health status of coral was assessed by the following criteria:

Hard coral: Percentage of surface area exhibiting partial mortality and blanched/bleached area of each coral colony and degree of sedimentation.

5.2 Coral Monitoring Locations

Location of the ten tagged coral colonies at each of the proposed indirect impact site, control site, the recipient site R3 and REA transect at proposed indirect impact site are shown in **Figure 5.1**, **Figure 5.2**, **Figure 5.3** and **Figure 5.4** respectively:




Figure 5.1 Tagged Natural Corals at Indirect Impact Site Near SKC for re-tagging after typhoon Mangkhut



Figure 5.2 Tagged Natural Corals at Control Site Near Yuen Kong Chau for re-tagging after typhoon Mangkhut



Figure 5.3 Tagged Translocation Corals at Recipient Site R3 near SKC



Figure 5.4 REA Transect at Indirect Impact Site near SKC

5.2.1 The GPS coordinates of the tagged coral colonies and retagged coral colonies at both indirect impact site, control site and recipient site R3 were shown in **Table 5.1**, **Table 5.2** and **Table 5.3** respectively.

Table 5.1 Tagged Natural Corals during Baseline and Re-tagged Natural Corals after Typhoon Manghkut at Control Site near Yuen Long Chau

Coral # note i	GPS	Coordinates
1	N22°09'45.96"	E113°54'57.81"
2R	N22°11'29.12"	E113°59'09.01"
3	N22°09'45.81"	E113°54'57.78"
4	N22°09'45.70"	E113°54'57.95"
5R	N22°11'29.10"	E113°59'09.18"
6	N22°09'45.75"	E113°54'58.02"
7R	N22°11'29.17"	E113°59'08.86"
7	N22°09'45.65"	E113°54'57.94"
8	N22°09'45.53"	E113°54'57.90"
9	N22°09'46.23"	E113°54'54.70"
10R	N22°11'29.18"	E113°59'08.91"

Notes:

i. The re-tagged corals were marked as #**R**.

Table 5.2 Re-tagged Natural Corals after Typhoon Manghkut at Indirect Impact Site near SKC

Coral # note i	GPS	Coordinates
11R	N22°11'29.14"	E113°59'08.92"
12R	N22°11'29.12"	E113°59'09.01"
13R	N22°11'29.11"	E113°59'09.07"

Coral # note i	GPS	Coordinates		
14R	N22°11'29.13"	E113°59'09.12"		
15R	N22°11'29.10"	E113°59'09.18"		
16R	N22°11'29.07"	E113°59'09.23"		
17R	N22°11'29.17"	E113°59'08.86"		
18R	N22°11'29.14"	E113°59'08.94"		
19R	N22°11'29.20"	E113°59'08.81"		
20R	N22°11'29.18"	E113°59'08.91"		

Notes:

Table 5.3 GPS Coordinates of Recipient Site R3

Site	GPS	Coordinates
R3	N22°11'43.69"	E113°28.99"

- 5.3 Action and Limit Levels
- 5.3.1 Monitoring result was reviewed and compared against the below Action Level and Limit Level (AL/LL) as set with the below **Table 5.4** and **Table 5.5**.

Table 5.4 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase Coral Monitoring

Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level	
Mortality	If during Impact Monitoring a 15% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged indirect impact site coral colonies that is not recorded on the tagged corals at the control site, then the Action Level is exceeded.	If during Impact Monitoring a 25% increase in the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the tagged indirect impact site coral colonies that is not recorded on the tagged corals at the control site, then the Limit Level is exceeded.	

i. The re-tagged corals were marked as #R.

Action Level Parameter Limit Level If during Post-Translocation If during Post-Translocation Monitoring a 15% increase in Monitoring a 25% increase in the percentage of partial the percentage of partial mortality on the corals occurs mortality on the corals occurs at more than 20% of the at more than 20% of the Mortality translocated coral colonies translocated coral colonies that that is not recorded on the is not recorded on the original original corals in the corals in the recipient site, recipient site, then the Action then the Limit Level is Level is exceeded. exceeded.

Table 5.5 Action and Limit Levels for Post-Translocation Coral Monitoring

5.4 Monitoring Results and Observations

5.4.1 After strong hitting of the super typhoon Mangkhut in mid-September 2018, the whole bottom at the Indirect Impact Site were covered with 1m thick of sand and mud in which all ten tagged hard coral colonies were all missing. For the control site of Soko Island, most of the rocks at the bottom were turned over by the super typhoon Mangkhut in mid-September 2018, however, only 4 tagged coral colonies were missing. Since there was a suspension order on diving activities due to the fatal accident on 15 October 2018, the coral re-tagging event planned on late-October at both Indirect Impact Site and Control Site were cancelled and postponed to November and December 2018 due to adverse weather. During the reporting period, the re-tagging activity at Indirect Impact Site was conducted on 23 November 2018 while the re-tagging activity at Control Site was conducted on 3 December 2018 (Figure 5.1 and 5.2 respectively); and the weather conditions were summarized in Table 5.6.

Table 5.6 Weather Condition for the Re-tagging Coral Colonies at both Indirect Impact Site and Control Site

Date	Location	Condition	Average Underwater Visibility
23 November 2018	Indirect Impact Site	Southwest force 4to 5Sunny period	Less than 0.5 m
3 December 2018	Control Site	- North force 2 to 3 - Sunny Period	Less than 0.5 m

5.4.2 Four (4) and ten (10) re-tagged hard coral colonies were re-tagged at each site of Control and Indirect Impact sites respectively during 4th to 6th months construction phase monitoring as suggested in the Coral Monitoring Plan. After the retagging at both sites are finished, one additional monthly monitoring survey will be conducted in January 2019 and those monitoring results will be reported in 3rd Quarterly and 7th Monthly EM&A report. The general health conditions (size, condition, mortality,

- bleaching and sediment) at Control and Indirect Impact sites were recorded and summarized in **Table 5.9** and **Table 5.10** respectively.
- 5.4.3 The third Post-translocation Monitoring was performed on 3 December 2018 for the Recipient Site R3 (**Figure 5.3**) and the weather conditions were summarized in **Table 5.7**.

Table 5.7 Weather Condition for the Third Coral Translocation Monitoring

Date	Condition	Average Underwater Visibility
	- North force 2 to 3	
3 December 2018	- Sunny Period	Less than 0.5 m

5.4.4 An additional 100m REA survey was carried out on 3 December in the proposed Indirect Impact site (**Figure 5.4**) to further assess the seabed condition after super typhoon Mangkhut. GPS coordinates of the REA transect starting and ending points, maximum depth and bottom substrate of the site were summarized in **Table 5.8**. The findings of ecological & substratum attributes and taxon abundance along the REA transect survey were summarized in **Table 5.13** and **5.14** respectively.

Table 5.8 GPS coordinates of REA Transect Starting and Ending points, maximum depth and bottom substrate at Indirect Impact Site

Site	GPS Location at Starting Point	GPS Location at Ending Point	Max. Depth	Bottom Substrate	
Indirect	E 113°59'08.19"	E 113°59'11,511"	3.5 m	Natural Bedrock and	
Impact Site	N 22°11'29.09"	N 22°11'28.45"	3.3 III	Boulders	

5.4.5 Sixteen (16) and ten (10) hard coral colonies were monitored at Recipient Site R3 as suggested at Coral Translocation Plan. The general health conditions (size, condition, mortality, bleaching and sediment) at Recipient site were recorded and summarized in **Table 5.11** and **Table 5.12** respectively.

Table 5.9 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 4 Re-tagged Natural Coral Colonies at Control Site during 4th to 6th Months Construction Phase Monitoring

Coral #	Species	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter	Condition	Mortality (%) 03/12	Bleaching (%)	Sediment (%) 03/12
2R	Goniopora stutchburyi	10	Good	0	0	0
210	Gontopora statenoury	10	G00 u	0	Ů	Ū
5R	Goniopora stutchburyi	18	Good	0	0	0
7R	Coscinaraea sp.	15	Good	0	0	0
10R	Goniopora stutchburyi	20	Good	0	0	0

Notes:

i. The re-tagged corals were marked as ##R

Table 5.10 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 10 Re-tagged Natural Coral Colonies at Indirect Impact Site during 4th to 6th Months Construction Phase Monitoring

Coral #	Species	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter	Condition	Mortality (%)	Bleaching (%)	Sediment (%)
				23/11	23/11	23/11
11R	Cyphastrea serailia	48	Fair	0	0	0
12R	Favites chinensis	27	Good	0	0	0
13R	Turbinaria peltata	21	Fair	0	0	0
14R	Favites chinensis	8	Good	0	0	0
15R	Goniopora stutchburyi	11	Good	0	0	0
16R	Psammocora superficialis	27	Fair	0	0	0
17R	Favites chinensis	15	Good	0	0	0
18R	Psammocora superficialis	39	Good	0	0	0
19R	Psammocora superficialis	42	Fair	0	0	0
20R	Psammocora superficialis	29	Good	0	0	0

Notes:

i. The re-tagged corals were marked as ##R

Table 5.11 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 16 Translocated Coral Colonies at Recipient Site for 3rd Post Translocation Coral Monitoring

Caral #	Cuantag	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter/	Mort	ality (%)	Bleac	ching (%)	Sediment (%)		
Coral #	Species	Height	Baseline	03/12	Baseline	03/12	Baseline	03/12	
1	Psammocora superficialis	35	0	15	0	0	0	0	
2	Psammocora superficialis	N/A	35	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
3	Psammocora superficialis	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
4	Turbinaria peltata	9	0	10	0	0	0	0	
5	Goniopora stutchburyi	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
6	Psammocora superficialis	26	0	15	0	0	0	0	
7	Psammocora superficialis	23	0	5	0	0	5	0	
8	Psammocora superficialis	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
9	Goniopora stutchburyi	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
10	Coscinaraea n sp.	21	0	5	0	0	1	0	
11	Psammocora superficialis	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	Psammocora superficialis	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
13	Psammocora superficialis	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
14	Psammocora superficialis	N/A	0	N/A	0	NA	0	N/A	
15	Goniopora stutchburyi	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
16	Psammocora superficialis	26	0	10	0	N/A	0	0	

^{*}N/A: Non Applicable as coral colonies were missing

Table 5.12 Sizes, Condition, Mortality, Bleaching and Sediment of 10 Natural Control Coral Colonies at Recipient Site for 3rd Post Translocation Coral Monitoring

Coral #	Species	Size (cm) – Max. Diameter/	Mortalit	Mortality (%)		Bleaching (%)		Sediment (%)	
Corar#	Species	Height	Baseline	03/12	Baseline	03/12	Baseline	03/12	
1	Coscinaraea n sp.	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Psammocora superficialis	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	Psammocora superficialis	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	Coscinaraea n sp.	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Cyphastrea serailia	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	Cyphastrea serailia	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	Cyphastrea serailia	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8	Psammocora superficialis	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9	Psammocora superficialis	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10	Goniopora stutchburyi	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	

^{*}N/A: Non Applicable as coral colonies were missing.

 Table 5.13
 Ecological and Substratum Attributes of Indirect Impact Site

Ecological Attributes	Rank
Hard Corals	0.5
Dead Coral	0
Octocoral	0
Sea anemone beds	0
Dead Standing Corals	0
Other Benthos	1
Macroalgae	0
Substratum Attributes	Rank
Bedrock	5
Boulders (diameter >50cm)	4
Cobbles (diameter <50cm)	0
Rubble (dead corals)	0
Sand with gravel	0
Mud & Silt	0

Table 5.14 Taxon Abundance of Indirect Impact Site

Benthic Communities	Abundance in the Site				
Corals					
Goniopora stutchburyi	1				
Psammocora superficialis	1				
Favites chinensis	1				
Other Benthos					
Thais luteostoma	1				
Septifer virgatus	1				
Anthocidaris crassispina	1				

- 5.4.6 All re-tagged coral colonies at Indirect Impact site and Control site showed good health condition during the reporting period.
- 5.4.7 After the super typhoon Mangkhut hitting Hong Kong on 15th and 16th September 2018. The whole bottom at the Indirect Impact Site were covered with 1 m thick of sand and mud in which the ten tagged hard coral colonies were all missing during the third month monitoring. At the control site of Soko Island, most of the rocks at the bottom were turned over by the super typhoon Mangkhut, however only 4 tagged coral colonies were missing during the monitoring survey.
- 5.4.8 The re-tagging activity had been done at both Indirect Impact Site and Control Site during the reporting period. The additional post re-tagging coral monthly monitoring should be scheduled after the completion of re-tagging activity at both Indirect Impact Site and Control Site.
- 5.4.9 The third post-translocation coral monitoring was carried out on 3 December 2018. Sixteen (16) movable hard coral colonies were monitored at the recipient site R3. However, 9 translocated coral colonies were missing during the second monitoring survey and only 7 left (**Table 5.11**). The remaining translocated coral colonies also showed an increased mortality from 5% to 15% (**Table 5.11**). The missing colonies probably were swept away by the strong wave action caused by the Super Typhoon Mangkhut hitting Hong Kong on 15th and 16th September 2018.
- 5.4.10 Ten (10) natural hard coral colonies were also monitored at the recipient site as control and 1 coral colony was missing during the monitoring survey (**Table 5.12**). Similar to the translocated coral colonies, the missing tagged coral colony probably was swept away by the strong wave action caused by the Super Typhoon Mangkhut hitting Hong Kong on 15th and 16th September 2018.
- 5.4.11 Since all missing tagged coral colonies at the Recipient Site R3 were caused by the Super Typhoon Mangkhut, the AL/LL will not be applied at this time. Post-translocation monitoring survey will be continued to monitor the remaining tagged coral colonies for both translocated coral and natural coral colonies.
- 5.4.12 The REA survey was carried out on 3 December 2018 in the Indirect Impact Site. Only three species of hard coral were recorded during the survey and most of them are in fair condition after the typhoon. Some common coral colonies still appeared in shallow water which are suitable for re-tagging during the construction phase monitoring. All the coral colonies recorded along the transect were all common hard coral species in Hong Kong (**Appendix F**).
- 5.4.13 From the REA survey, the monitoring site was mainly composed of natural bedrock and big boulders down to 3.5 meters water depth along the surveyed route (**Table 5.13**). Scattered hard coral colonies were recorded along the REA transect and only 3 species of hard coral were recorded. The abundance of hard coral species recorded along the transect was shown in **Table 5.14**. Besides, some invertebrates such as common sea snail: Thais luteostoma, Sea urchins: Anthocidaris crassispina and common green mussel: Septifer virgatus were found at the surface of the boulders.
- 5.4.14 This site supported a sparse and patchy cover (<1%) of hard corals. All the recorded coral colonies grew on the big boulders and bedrock surfaces. All hard coral colonies recorded along the transect were common hard coral species in Hong Kong water and in fair health condition.

5.4.15 Photo records of the tagged corals taken during the reporting period are presented in $\bf Appendix~\bf F.$


6. MARINE MAMMAL

6.1 Survey Methods

6.1.1 Vessel-based Line-transect Survey

For the vessel-based marine mammal surveys, the monitoring team adopted the standard line-transect method (Buckland et al. 2001) as same as that adopted during the EIA study and pre-construction phase monitoring to allow fair comparison of marine mammal monitoring results.

Eight transect lines are set at Southeast Lantau survey area, including Shek Kwu Chau, waters between Shek Kwu Chau and the Soko Islands, inshore waters of Lantau Island (e.g. Pui O Wan) as well as southwest corner of Cheung Chau as shown in **Figure 6.1** below:



In comparison to the baseline monitoring results, results from the analyzed construction phase monitoring data would allow the detection of any changes of their usage of habitat, in response to the scheduled construction works.

6.2 Specific Mitigation Measures

6.2.1 Monitored exclusion zones

During the installation/re-installation/relocation process of floating type silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental entrance and entrapment of marine mammals within the silt curtains, a monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius from silt curtain should

be implemented and monitored by competent Marine Mammal Observers (MMOs). (Marine Mammal Exclusion Zone (MMEZ) would also be implemented for precautionary purpose for DCM works.

6.2.2 Marine mammal watching plan

Upon the completion of silt curtain installation/re-installation/relocation, marine mammal watching plan would be implemented to observe the presence of any marine mammal around the localized silt curtain or being trapped by the localized silt curtain.

6.3 Results and Observations

6.3.1 Vessel-based Line-transect Survey

4 monthly surveys were conducted during the reporting period. As this is overlapping with the designated off-peak season (June - November) and peak season (December - May, only one survey was completed in off-peak season while two surveys were completed in peak season. A total on effort (transects only) survey length of 158.7km was completed, 128km at Beaufort Sea State 2 or better (**Table 6.1**). 6 sightings of marine mammals were recorded and the details of recorded sightings were summarized and shown in **Table 6.2**.

Table 6.1 Summary of Vessel-based Line-transect Survey Effort


Date	Area*	Beaufort	Effort	Season	Vessel	Effort
			(km)			Type**
12-Oct-18	SEL	1	11.6	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
12-Oct-18	SEL	2	21.6	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
12-Oct-18	SEL	3	4.9	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
12-Oct-18	SEL	4	1.6	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
6-Nov-18	SEL	1	4.9	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
6-Nov-18	SEL	2	11.3	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
6-Nov-18	SEL	3	14.1	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
6-Nov-18	SEL	4	9.2	AUTUMN	SMRUHK	P
6-Dec-18	SEL	1	8.9	WINTER	SMRUHK	P
6-Dec-18	SEL	2	29.9	WINTER	SMRUHK	P
6-Dec-18	SEL	3	0.9	WINTER	SMRUHK	P
20-Dec-18	SEL	0	0.8	WINTER	SMRUHK	P
20-Dec-18	SEL	1	29.4	WINTER	SMRUHK	P
20-Dec-18	SEL	2	9.6	WINTER	SMRUHK	P

^{*} As shown in Figure. 6.1

^{**} P (from AFCD) denotes the ON EFFORT survey on the transect line, not the adjoining passages

Table 6.2 Summary of Sightings Recorded during October 2018 to December 2018 of Vessel-based Line-transect Survey Effort

Date	Species	Sighting No.	Time	Group Size	PSD	Behaviour	Latitude	Longitude	Area	Effort Type	Season
6-Nov-18	Finless Porpoise	1	11:47	1	139	Unknown	22.18955	113.9735	SEL	Impact	Autumn
6-Dec-18	Finless Porpoise	2	11:30	1	N/A	Travel	22.1645	113.9681	SEL	Impact	Winter
6-Dec-18	Finless Porpoise	3	12:37	2	3	Travel	22.1804	113.993	SEL	Impact	Winter
20-Dec-18	Finless Porpoise	4	13:38	1	N/A	Unknown	22.2127	113.9557	SEL	Impact	Winter
20-Dec-18	Finless Porpoise	5	11:26	2	52	Travel	22.2097	113.9541	SEL	Impact	Winter
20-Dec-18	Finless Porpoise	6	12:53	1	65	Travel	22.1724	113.9853	SEL	Impact	Winter






Figure 6.2 Location of sightings recorded during November and December 2018

Vessel-based Line-transect Survey

A review of the long term AFCD marine mammal monitoring programme, the EIA and the pre-construction baseline monitoring report for this project was conducted. Both the EIA and the pre-construction baseline monitoring were conducted during the peak porpoise months (Dec-May 2008 and Feb-April 2018, respectively), and, as such, these data are not directly comparable to this survey month which is a porpoise off-peak month. Therefore, a comparison can only be made to the AFCD long term marine mammal monitoring data.

A review of the Beaufort Sea state survey conditions between 2009 and 2017 (only data available from AFCD at time of writing; (AFCD 2018; 2017; 2016; 2015; 2014; 2013; 2012; 2011; 2010) shows that survey conditions in October to December 2018 were within the % limits of previous AFCD surveys.

A review of all the porpoise sightings in the survey area for October to December between 2009-2017 indicates that there are fluctuations between the number of sightings usually recorded in October to December. Given the similar survey conditions and the low encounter rate recorded for porpoise in the project area during the reporting period, an increase in sightings within this area in October to December 2018 is in agreement with the detailed in AFCD long term monitoring data.

It is difficult to draw conclusions with regards to impacts on marine mammals as predicted in the EIA and the effectiveness of project mitigation measures during the initial phase of construction activities when porpoise sightings are typically absent or very low during the survey months. As surveys continue for this project, data shall be constantly re-evaluated across survey months to discern trends and impacts, if any.

6.3.2 Specific Mitigation Measures

Silt curtains were deployed for sand blanket laying works and DCM trial during the reporting period. At least two MMO were on duty for continuous monitoring of the Marine Mammal Exclusion Zone (MMEZ) for DCM trial works and installation/re-installation/relocation process of silt curtains, and the marine mammal trapping checking and silt curtains inspection in accordance with the Detailed Monitoring Programme of Finless Porpoise and Marine Mammal Watching Plan respectively. Trainings for the MMO were provided by the ET prior to the aforementioned works, with a cumulative total of 63 individuals being trained and the training records kept by the ET. From the Marine Mammal observation records and MMEZ monitoring log records, sightings of Finless Porpoise were observed within the cluster MMEZ on 29 December 2018 during this reporting month. The sightings of finless porpoise were first seen at 08:25 and last seen 08:55 on 29 December 2018. After the first observation of finless porpoise by MMO, all construction activities were ceased until 09:25 which was 30 minutes later the last seen of finless porpoise.

6.3.3 Photo records of the marine mammal monitoring taken during the reporting period are presented in **Appendix G**.

7. WHITE-BELLIED SEA EAGLE

7.1 WBSE Monitoring Parameters

7.1.1 Information to be collected included feeding, perching/roosting, preening, soaring, flying, nesting and territorial guarding and the time spent on each activity. The responses and reactions to any disturbance to the WBSEs were also recorded and examined in conjunction with the construction noise and/or other events in the vicinity. Other disturbances such as weather condition, or invasion by other fauna species were also recorded.

7.2 Results and Observations

7.2.1 Four monitoring for monthly construction phase were conducted during the reporting period. Since there is no landing point along the western part of SKC, boat survey were used for the monitoring survey. In order to increase the chance of finding the WBSEs, monitoring survey was carried out either early in the morning or later in the afternoon. The weather conditions of monitoring survey were shown in **Table 7.1**.

Date	Condition	Temperature (°C)
30 October 2018	Southeast wind as Beaufort force 3Sunny	31.0
23 November 2018	Southeast 4 to 5Sunny periods	27.0
3 December 2018	North force 2 to 3Sunny period	25.0
18 December 2018	Northeast force 4 to 5Sunny period	18.0

Table 7.1 Weather Conditions during the WBSE Monitoring

- 7.2.2 Two WBSE adults were recorded during each survey and appeared flying over SKC Island, standing on the tree top on SKC Island during the survey in October to December 2018. In the October 2018 monitoring survey, the newly built nest was observed and both WBSE were recorded. The new WBSE nest was being built at the same location as the old one, which is located at the western part of SKC Island (Figure 7.1) quite away from the Shek Kwu Chau Treatment and Rehabilitation Centre.
- 7.2.3 Any disturbances from anthropogenic activities on the island were not recorded during the monitoring survey. However, fishing boats moving close to the shore were recorded. Since the nest is about 160m away from the shore and it is not accessible, fishing boat activities didn't show any direct disturbance to the WBSE nest.




Figure 7.1 Location of WBSE Nest on SKC

- 7.2.4 No invasion of other fauna species was recorded and no sign of using the construction site as a foraging ground was recorded as well.
- 7.2.5 During the reporting period, no abnormal behaviour of the recorded adults was shown. All marine works during the fourth to sixth months construction period did not show any influence to the WBSE.
- 7.2.6 Photo records of the WBSE taken during the reporting period are presented in **Appendix H**.

8. SUMMARY OF MONITORING EXCEEDANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

- 8.1 No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of the regular construction noise, coral and WBSE monitoring was recorded during the reporting period.
- 8.2 One hundred and twenty-eight (128) of the water quality monitoring results for Dissolved Oxygen (DO) obtained during the reporting period had exceeded the relevant Action Levels while eighty-six (86) & one hundred and seven (107) of the water quality monitoring results for Suspended Solid (SS) obtained during the reporting period had exceeded the relevant Action and Limit Levels respectively. One hundred twenty-eight of the water quality monitoring results for DO and fifty-seven of the water quality monitoring results for SS reported during October 2018, forty-eight of the water quality monitoring results for SS reported during November 2018 and eighty-eight of the water quality monitoring results for SS reported during December 2018, where findings from investigations carried out immediately for each of the exceedance cases during the reporting period had showed that these exceedances were unrelated to the Project, however, environmental deficiencies of the Contractor on the implementation of silt curtain deployment system were spotted.
- 8.3 Further investigation was made with the Contractor on the silt curtain design and checking procedure as stated in the deposited Silt Curtain Deployment Plan. Rectification actions regarding to the improper implementation of silt curtain system shall be carried out immediately.
- 8.4 The Contractor has been reminded that all measures recommended in the deposited Silt Curtain Deployment Plan shall be fully and properly implemented for the Project as per Clause 2.6A of the FEP.
- 8.5 The Contractor has been reminded to facilitate the ET's investigation in the time frame stated at Event and Action plan under the updated EM&A Manual by promptly providing site records and information.
- 8.6 No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 8.7 Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in **Appendix I**.

9. EM&A SITE INSPECTION

- 9.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Contract. Site inspections were carried out at the Site Portions 1, 1A & 1B and Portion 7 during the reporting period. Portions 1, 1A & 1B were the sites near SKC within the Site boundary and Portion 7 was the site at Tung Chung for stockpiling of construction materials.
- 9.2 Joint site inspection with IEC was carried out on a monthly basis.
- 9.3 Minor deficiencies were observed during weekly site inspection. Key observations during the site inspections are summarized below:
- Site tidiness were not maintained properly
- Prevention actions for oil/chemical spillage were not carried out properly
- Environmental permits/licenses were not displayed
- Silt curtains were not deployed properly
- Sand was accumulated on the pontoon's surface
- 9.4 The Contractor has rectified most of the observations identified during environmental site inspections in the reporting period.
- 9.5 During site inspection, installed silt curtain were found damaged for some idling works. The contractor was reminded to properly fix and maintain the deployed silt curtains prior to the operation of concerned construction works during the site walk by ET and IEC. The Contractor conducted the maintenance of deployed silt curtain immediately before resuming any construction works.
- 9.6 According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit, contract documents and Updated EM&A Manual, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents, except the silt curtain system, are implemented as much as practical during the reporting period. An updated Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is provided in **Appendix B**.

10. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS


- 10.1 This 2nd Quarterly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report summarizes the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 October 2018 to 31 December 2018 in accordance with the Updated EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP- 429/2012/A and FEP-01/429/2012/A.
- 10.2 Construction noise, water quality, construction waste, coral, marine mammal and White-Bellied Sea Eagle (WBSE) monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. No project-related exceedance of the Action and Limit Level was recorded during the reporting period, however, environmental deficiencies of the Contractor on the implementation of silt curtain deployment system were spotted.
- 10.3 Weekly environmental site inspection was conducted during the reporting period. Environmental deficiencies were observed during site inspection and were rectified.
- 10.4 The Contractor has been reminded to facilitate the ET's investigation in the time frame stated at Event and Action plan under the updated EM&A Manual by promptly providing site records and information.
- 10.5 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting period, the Contractor is reminded to pay attention on maintaining site tidiness and avoidance of oil spillage on-site and sand accumulation on the pontoon surface during sand blanket laying works.
- 10.6 Regarding to the deployment of silt curtains as a principal water quality impact mitigation measures on various marine works, the Contractor has been reminded to follow strictly to the design and checking procedure as specified in the Silt Curtain Deployment Plan. The Contractor is reminded that all measures recommended in the deposited silt curtain deployment plan shall be fully and properly implemented for the Project as per EP condition 2.6 of the FEP.
- 10.7 No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.
- 10.8 No notification of summons or prosecution was received since commencement of the Contract.
- 10.9 The ET will keep track on the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix A	Master Programme	



Contract No. EP/SP/66/12
Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1





Summary Progarmme
Page 1 of 2

 04-Dec-17
 Rev. 0 - 1st Issue


 16-Jul-18
 Rev. 1 - Revised to SO's comments

 03-Sep-18
 Rev. 2 - Revised to SO's comments



Contract No. EP/SP/66/12
Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1





Progarmme for Design and Construction Works
Summary Progarmme

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
04-Dec-17	Rev. 0 - 1st Issue		
16-Jul-18	Rev. 1 - Revised to SO's comments		
03-Sep-18	Rev. 2 - Revised to SO's comments		

Contract No. EP/SP/66/12	
Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase	1

Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture

Appendix B Summary of Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation

Appendix B

Table B.1 Implementation Schedule for Air Quality Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

				Imp	lementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant Implementati
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	s / Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation on Status and and Remarks
S3b.8.1	Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation & Good Site Practices Use of regular watering, with complete coverage, to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather. Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to ASRs. Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. Where this is not practicable owing to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines. Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Where possible, prevent placing dusty material storage piles near ASRs. Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations. Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site. Provision of wind shield and dust extraction units or similar dust mitigation measures at the loading	During the construction period	Contractor					Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

				Imp	lementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementati
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	on Status and Remarks
	points, and use of water sprinklers at the loading area where dust generation is likely during the loading process of loose material, particularly in dry seasons/ periods. Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on unpaved site roads. Ten kilometers per hour is the recommended limit. Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs Instigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise.								
S3b.6.3	Odour Removal by Deodorizers Deodorizers with 95% odour removal efficiency would be installed for the air ventilated from the mechanical treatment plant before discharge to the atmosphere	Waste reception halls, the waste storage area,	IWMF Operator	V		√		EIAO-TM	N/A
S3b.8.2	Air Pollution Control and Stack Monitoring • Air pollution control and stack monitoring system will be installed for the IWMF to ensure that the emissions from the IWMF stack will meet the proposed target emission limits.	IWMF stack emissions / During design & operation phase	IWMF Operator	V		✓		EIAO-TM, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP-	N/A

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			lmp	lementa	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementati
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	on Status and Remarks
	 Voluntary Enhancement Measures in Flue Gas Cleaning and Emission Monitoring: Two-stage bag filter system with reagent recirculation; In addition to SCR, provide SNCR for removal of NOx; tighten emission limit for half-hourly and daily NOx to 160 mg/m³ and 80 mg/m³ respectively; Well-mixed feed waste: to minimize the fluctuation of pollutant loading on the flue gas treatment system; Two more AQMSs would be set up at South Lantau and Shek Kwu Chau respectively; Limit levels will be set under the IWMF DBO contract to require that waste feed shall cease if any of the air pollutant has exceeded 95% of the emission concentration limit as stipulated in the Special Process license; and Each incineration chamber shall be fitted with auxiliary burners to ensure complete burn out of the 							429/2012)	
-	combustion gases. Treated Fly Ash and Air Pollution Control Residues: During testing and commissioning, the Contractor shall sample and test every container of treated fly ash and air	IWMF stack emissions / During design & operation	IWMF Operator	*		✓		Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental	N/A

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imp	lement	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementati on Status and Remarks
EIA Ref		Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. If a test result confirms that any one of the samples does not conform to the limits and the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every container of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria for the next six months. • During the first six months of operation, if the requirements in (a) could be fully conformed with, the Contractor shall sample and test every shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. The Contractor shall take two samples from each shipload for testing and the Contractor shall not dispose of any of	phase	Agent						and Remarks
	that shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues until the test results confirm that the two samples conform to the limits and the criteria. If								

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imp	lement	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementati
EIA Ref		Location / Timing		Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	the two samples does not conform to								
	the limits and the criteria, the								
	Contractor shall be required to sample								
	and test every shipload of treated fly								
	ash and air pollution control residues								
	for conformance to the Incineration								
	Residue Pollution Control Limits and								
	leachability criteria for the next six								
	months. The Contractor shall make								
	due allowance in the Design and the								
	Operation for the time to sample and								
	test treated fly ash and air pollution								
	control residues before disposal.								
	 Provided that there is no non- 								
	conformance to the Incineration								
	Residue Pollution Control Limits and								
	leachability criteria shown in Table 2								
	of the Environmental Permit								
	throughout a continuous sixmonth								
	period in the Operation Period, the								
	testing frequency shall be reduced to								
	monthly interval.Two samples from								
	one shipload of treated fly ash and air								
	pollution control residues shall be								
	collected and tested for conformance								
	to the Incineration Residue Pollution								
	Control Limits and leachability criteria.								
	The Contractor shall not dispose of								
	any of the treated fly ash and air								
	pollution control residues in the								
	shipload which the samples are taken								
	until the test results confirm that the								
	samples conform to the limits and the								

				lmp	lementa	tion St	ages*	Relevant	Implementati
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	IIIIDICIICIILALIOII	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	on Status and Remarks
	criteria. If the test result confirm that any one of the samples does not conform to the limits and the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every shipload of treated fly ash and air pollution control residues for conformance to the Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit for the next six months.								
-	Bottom Ash: • During testing and commissioning,	IWMF stack emissions /	IWMF Operator	√		✓		Supporting Document for	N/A
	the Contractor shall sample and test every container of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. If a test result confirms that any one of the samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test every container of bottom ash for conformance to the leachability criteria for the next six months. • During the first six months of operation, if the requirements in (d) could be fully conformed with, the Contractor shall sample and test one shipload of bottom ash each month for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit. The	During design & operation phase						Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	

	Environmental Protection Magazines /			Imp	lement	ation S	tages*	Relevant	Implementati
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	on Status and Remarks
	Contractor shall take two samples								
	from the shipload for testing and the								
	Contractor shall not dispose of any of								
	that shipload of bottom ash until the								
	test results confirm that the two								
	samples conform to the criteria. If a								
	test result confirms that any one of								
	the two samples does not conform to								
	the criteria, the Contractor shall be								
	required to sample and test each								
	shipload of bottom ash for								
	conformance to the leachability								
	criteria for the next six months. The								
	Contractor shall make due allowance								
	in the Design and the Operation for								
	the time to sample and test bottom								
	ash before disposal.								
	 Provided that there is no non- 								
	conformance to the leachability								
	criteria shown in Table 2 of the								
	Environmental Permit throughout a								
	continuous sixmonth period in the								
	Operation Period, the Contractor								
	shall be allowed to take two samples								
	from any one shipload of bottom ash								
	once every six months for								
	conformance to the leachability								
	criteria. The Contractor shall not								
	dispose of any of the bottom ash in								
	the shipload which the samples are								
	taken until the test results confirm								
	that the samples conform to the								
	criteria. If the test result confirm that								

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imp	lement	ation St	ages*	Relevant	Implementati on Status and Remarks
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	any one of the samples does not conform to the criteria, the Contractor shall be required to sample and test one shipload of bottom ash each month for conformance to the leachability criteria shown in Table 2 of the Environmental Permit for the next six months as stipulated above.								

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

Table B.2 Implementation Schedule for Noise Impact Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

	Environmental Protection Managers /			ementation	Stages*	s* Relevant	Implementatio	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	СО	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	n Status and Remarks
S4b.8	Good site practices to limit noise emissions at source and use of quiet plant and working methods, whenever practicable.	Construction	EPD and its contractors		✓		EIAO-TM	Implemented
S4b.6 & S4b.8	All the ventilation fans installed in the below will be provided with silencers or acoustics treatment. (i) Stack of the incinerator (ii) Ventilation systems within the IWMF Enclosure and discharge silencer or other acoustic treatment equipment should be installed in the air-cooled chillers Other than provision of silencer or other acoustic treatment equipment for the stack of the incinerator and ventilation system, the detailed design should incorporate the following good practice in order to minimize the nuisance on the neighboring NSRs. (i) The exhaust of the ventilation system and any opening of the building should be located facing away from any NSRs; and (ii) Louver or other acoustic treatment equipment could also be applied to the exhaust of the ventilation system.	Within IWMF area / Construction Period	EPD and its contractors	•			EIAO-TM	N/A

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

-	Voluntary Enhancement Measure	IWMF site	Design team,	✓	✓	Supporting	Implemented
	Provision of air-conditioner and double glazed windows to nearby NSR at Shek Kwu Chau (i.e. SARDA) as precautionary measures.		contractor, IWMF operator			Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.3 Implementation Schedule for Water Quality Measures for the Artificial Island near SKC

	Location / Timing		Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation		
Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks		
Drainage and Construction Site Runoff The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		√			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	N/A		
minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items:										
At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction.										
Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary.										
Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor										
	Drainage and Construction Site Runoff The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. • Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall	Drainage and Construction Site Runoff The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. • Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall	Measures / Mitigation Measures Drainage and Construction Site Runoff The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off- site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. • Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall	Environmental Protection Measures Mitigation Measures Drainage and Construction Site Runoff The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct offsite water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. • Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt raps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures / During depth / During the construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. • Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall	Environmental Protection Measures	Measures / Mitigation Measures Timing Timing Measures / Mitigation Measures Timing Timing Measures / Mitigation Measures Timing Measures / Mitigation Measures Timing Timing Measures / Mitigation Measures Timing Measures / Mitigation Measures Work site / During the construction Site Drainage' should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. • Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures Drainage and Construction Site Runoff The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 'Construction Site Drainage' should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. These practices include the following items: • At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented to the commencement of construction. • Boundaries of earthworks should be surrounded by dykes or embankments for flood protection, as necessary. • Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM-DSS. The design of efficient silt removal facilities in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps shall		

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	piles must be discharged into silt removal facilities.								
	 Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site runoff and drainage into excavations. Drainage water pumped out from excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. 								
	 During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by a tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC PN 1/94. 								
	Exposed soil areas should be minimized to reduce potential for increased siltation and contamination of runoff.								
	Earthwork final surfaces should be well compacted and subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be immediately performed.								
	Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.								
S5b.8.1.2	General Construction Activities Construction solid waste should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering to the nearby watercourses and public drainage	Work site / During the constr uction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Reminders provided to the Contractor

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	system. Rubbish and litter from construction sites should also be collected to prevent spreading of rubbish and litter from the site area.								
S5b.8.1.3	There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge license for discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge license. All the run-off and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent for other on-site activities such as dust suppression and general cleaning etc., can minimize water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO license which is under the ambit of regional office of EPD.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		•			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Under application of Discharge License
S5b.8.1.4	Accidental Spillage Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Implemented

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	* Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
S5b.8.1.5	Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas which appropriately equipped to control these discharges.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Implemented
S5b.8.1.6	Oils and fuels should only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be sited on sealed areas in order to prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to the nearby watercourses. All waste oils and fuels should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			WPCO; WDO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor
S5b.8.1.7	Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows:	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO; WDO	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor
	 Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport. Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents. Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the 								

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		,	Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*		Implementation
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	storage area.								
S5b.8.1.8	Sewage Effluent Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor would be responsible.	Work site / During the construction period	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	N/A
S5b.8.1.9	 Reclamation and Construction of Breakwaters The proposed dredging and reclamation should be commenced in phases. The breakwaters and seawalls should be constructed and the reclamation should be started within the enclosed breakwaters after the completion of the breakwater. Silt curtain should be applied around caissons / blockwork during the filling of the cell to prevent the loss of fine in the filling material. The maximum production rate for dredging for the anti-scouring protection layer shall not exceed the permitted maximum daily dredging rate and carried out within its respective distance from the nearest nontranslocatable coral community by the dredging contractor as specified in S.2.18 of the Further Environmental Permit (no.:FEP-01/429/2012/A). It is recommended to employ closed grab with small capacity of 2 m³ to control the dredging rate. Any gap that may need to be provided for marine access will be located at the middle of 	Work site / During the marine construction period	Contractor					EIAO-TM; WPCO, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012) Further Environmental Permit No. FEP- 01/429/2012/A	Reminder was given to Contractor on proper silt curtains checking and reinforcement of silt curtains efficiency.

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Imple	mentat	tion S	tages*		Implementation
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	sediment plume dispersion.								
	 The silt curtain system at marine access opening should be closed as soon as the barges passes through the marine access opening in order to minimize the period of curtain opening. Filling should only be carried out behind the silt curtain when the silt curtain is completely closed. 								
	 To enhance the effectiveness of the silt curtain at the marine access, the northern breakwater would be built before the commencement of the reclamation to reduce the current velocity towards the marine access opening. 								
	The silt curtain system at marine access opening should be regularly checked and maintained to ensure proper functioning.								
	Where public fill is proposed for filling below +2.5mPD, the fine content in the public fill will be controlled to 25% which is in line with the CEDD's General Specification;								
	 The filling for reclamation should be carried out behind the seawall. The filling material should only consist of public fill, rock and sand. The filling composition and filling rates at each filling area should follow those delineated in Table 1 of the FEP- 01/429/2012/. The filling above high watermark is not restricted; 								
	No dredging should be carried out within 16m to the nearest non-translocatable coral community;								

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	 Daily site audit including full-time on-site monitoring by the ET is recommended during the dredging for anti-scouring protection layer for checking the compliance with the permitted no. of grab; 								
	 Closed grab dredger should be used to minimize the loss of sediment during the raising of the loaded grabs through the water column; 								
	 Frame-type silt curtains should be deployed around the dredging operations; 								
	 Floating-type silt curtains should be used to surround the circular cell during the sheetpiling work; 								
	 The descent speed of grabs should be controlled to minimize the seabed impact speed; 								
	 Barges should be loaded carefully to avoid splashing of material; 								
	 All barges used for the transport of dredged materials should be fitted with tight bottom seals in order to prevent leakage of material during loading and transport; 								
	 No concurrence works between laying of submarine cables and dredging/reclamation works within the same location is allowed. For works close to each other, the construction program should be arranged so that the dredging/reclamation works within area bounded by the breakwaters and the laying of cables would not operate within a 								

				Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	distance of 80m from each other to avoid any accumulative impact on the environment (in case if such tight schedule is necessary).								
	 All barges should be filled to a level which ensures that material does not spill over during loading and transport to the disposal site and that adequate freeboard is maintained to ensure that the decks are not washed by wave action. 								
	No DCM works should be carried out within 100m to the nearest non-translocatable coral colony / colonies.								
	Silt curtains should be employed to enclose DCM field trial and any full scale DCM work to minimize the potential impacts on water aspect.								
	 A sand blanket is to be placed on top of the marine deposit using tremie pipes prior to the DCM ground treatment to avoid seabed sediment disturbance. 								
S5b.8.2.3	Operational Phase Discharges A pipeline drainage system will serve the development area collecting surface runoff from paved areas, roof, etc. Sustainable drainage principle would be adopted in the drainage system design to minimize peak surface runoff, maximize permeable surface and maximize beneficial use of rainwater.	Within IWMF site / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator	√		√		WPCO	N/A
S5b.8.2.4	Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system of any potentially contaminated areas (such as truck parking area and maintenance workshop) and	Within IWMF site / During the operational	IWMF Operator	✓		✓		WPCO; WDO	N/A

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		Implementation Agent	Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref		Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	regularly cleaned to prevent the release of oil products into the storm water drainage system in case of accidental spillages. Accidental spillage should be cleaned up as soon as practicable and all waste oils and fuels should be collected and handled in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance.	phase							
S5b.8.2.5	Refuse Entrapment Collection and removal of floating refuse should be performed at regular intervals for keeping the water within the Project site boundary and the neighboring water free from rubbish.	Within the Project site / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator			✓		WPCO	N/A
S5b.8.2.6	Transportation of bottom ash, fly ash and APC residues to WENT Landfill for disposal Covered container should be used in the shipping of the incineration waste to limit the contact between the incineration waste and the marine water. A comprehensive emergency response plan for any accidental spillage should be submitted by the operation contractor to the EPD for agreement before the operation of the facilities. Salvage and cleanup action to recover the spilled incineration waste containers following the spillage should be carried out according to the emergency response plan to mitigate the environmental impact in case of spillage.	Transportat ion of Incineration Ash / During the operational phase	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1

Table B.4 Implementation Schedule for Waste Management Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing		Imple	menta	tion S	tages'	1	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref			Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
6b.5.1.2	Adverse environmental impacts in relation to waste management are not expected, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities would include: Obtain relevant waste disposal permits from appropriate authorities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and subsidiary Regulations and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28); Provide staff training for proper waste management and chemical handling procedures; Provide sufficient waste disposal points and regular waste collection; Provide appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; and Carry out regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; Separate chemical wastes for special handling and disposed of to licensed facility for treatment; and Employ licensed waste collector to collect waste.	00110114011011	Contractor					ETWB TCW No.	Implemented; Chemical waste were collected by licensed chemical waste collector on 14/12/2018.

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		Implementation Agent	Imple	ementa	tion S	tages*	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref		Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec		
6b.5.1.3	Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: Design foundation works that could minimize the amount of excavated material to be generated. Provide training to workers on the importance of site cleanliness and appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling; Sort out demolition debris and excavated materials from demolition works to recover reusable/recyclable portions (i.e. soil, broken concrete, metal etc.); Segregate and store different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; Encourage the collection of aluminum cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force; Proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials; and	Work Site/ During Design & Construction Period	Contractor						Implemented; N/A for foundation and demolition items

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		Implementation Agent		Imple	mentati	on Stage	s* Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref		Location / Timing			Des	С	O De	Legislation and Guidelines	
	 Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimize amount of waste to be generated and to avoid unnecessary generation of waste. 								
6b.5.1.7	Dredged Sediment – Application of Dumping Permit The project proponent should agree in advance with MFC of CEDD on the site allocation. The project proponent or contractor for the dredging works shall then apply for the site allocations of marine sediment disposal based on the prior agreement with MFC/CEDD. The project proponent or contractor should also be responsible for the application of all necessary permits from relevant authorities, including the dumping permit as required under DASO from EPD, for the disposal of dredged sediment prior to the commencement of the dredging works.	Seawall and Reclamation site / Construction Period	EPD and contractor	its	*	*		DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	Implemented, marine sediment samples have been collected.
6b.5.1.8	Dredged Sediment – Sediment Quality Report The project proponent or contractor will need to satisfy the appropriate authorities that the quality of the marine sediment to be dredged has been identified according to the requirements of ETWB TCW 34/2002. This should be completed well before the dredging works and would include at least the submission of a formal Sediment Quality Report under Tier I of ETWB TCW No. 34/2002 to DEP for approval. Subject to advice from DEP, it is possible that further marine SI in accordance with ETWB TCW 34/2002	Seawall and Reclamation site / Construction Period	EPD and contractor	its	~			DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	Undergoing

	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Implementation Stages*				Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref		Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	might be necessary for the application of dumping permit under DASO. In such case, a sediment sampling and testing proposal shall be submitted to and approved by DEP before the additional marine SI works.								
6b.5.1.9	Dredged Sediment – Sediment Transportation The barge transporting the sediments to the designated disposal sites should be equipped with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage and should not be filled to a level that would cause overflow of materials or laden water during loading or transportation. In addition, monitoring of the barge loading shall be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels shall be equipped with automatic selfmonitoring devices as specified by the DEP.	Seawall and Reclamation site / Construction Period	EPD and its contractor		✓			DASO ETWB TCW 34/2002	N/A
6b.5.1.10	Construction and Demolition Materials In order to minimize the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C&D materials for off-site disposal, the excavated material arising from site formation and foundation works should be reused on-site as backfilling material and for landscaping works as far as practicable. Other mitigation requirements are listed below: • A Waste Management Plan (WMP), which becomes part of the Environmental Management Plan (EMP), should be prepared in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005;	Work Site/ During Design & Construction Period	Contractor	•				ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

			Implementation Agent	Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*	Logiclotion	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec		
	 A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be adopted for easy tracking; and 								
	 In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a trip- ticket system should be adopted (refer to ETWB TCW No. 31/2004). 								
6b.5.1.11 - 6b.5.1.12	The Contactor should prepare and implement an EMP in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005, which describes the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from construction activities. Such a management plan should incorporate site specific factors, such as the designation of areas for segregation and temporary storage of reusable and recyclable materials. The EMP should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. The Contractor All surplus C&D materials arising from or in connection with construction works should become the property of the Contractor when it is removed unless otherwise stated. The Contractor would be responsible for devising a system to work for on-site sorting of C&D materials and promptly removing all sorted and process materials arising from the construction activities to minimize temporary stockpiling on-site. The system should be	Work Site/ During Design & Construction Period	Contractor		*			ETWB TCW No. 19/2005	Implemented

			Implementation Agent	Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Logislation	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing		Des	С	0	Dec		
	included in the EMP identifying the source of generation, estimated quantity, arrangement for on-site sorting, collection, temporary storage areas and frequency of collection by recycling Contractors or frequency of removal off-site.								
6b.5.1.13	Chemical Wastes Should chemical wastes be produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible corrosive). The Contractor should employ a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to either the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor		✓			Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation	Implemented
6b.5.1.14	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D materials. A licensed waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.	Work Site/ During Construction Period	Contractor		V				Reminders provided to the Contractor

				Imple	mentati	ion S	tages*	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec		
6b.5.1.16 - 6b.5.1.33	Biogas Generation The Contractor shall review the data and analysis results, and the data from further Site Investigation, if any. Subject to the review findings, the following gas protection measures may be considered if necessary: - gas monitoring after reclamation; - passive ventilation; - gas impermeable membrane; - ventilation with "at risk" rooms;	Reclamation site (if dredging at the reclamation site is not required) / Design & Construction Period	Designer and/or contractor	•	Y			EPD/TR8/97	N/A
6b.5.2.1	 services; precautions during construction works; precautions prior to entry of belowground services Good Site Practices It is recommended that the following good operational practices should be adopted to minimise waste management impacts: Obtain the necessary waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and Waste Disposal (Chemical 	IWMF Site/During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓		Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap.354); Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation; ETWB TCW No. 1/2004	N/A

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	Waste) (General) Regulation;								
•	Nomination of an approved person to								
	be responsible for good site practice, arrangements for collection and								
	effective disposal to an appropriate								
	facility of all wastes generated at the								
	site;								
•	Use of a waste haulier licensed to								
	collect specific category of waste;								
•	A trip-ticket system should be included								
	as one of the contractual requirements								
	and implemented by the Environmental Team to monitor the disposal of solid								
	wastes at landfills, and to control fly								
	tipping. Reference should be made to								
	ETWB TCW No. 31/2004.								
•	Training of site personnel in proper								
	waste management and chemical								
	waste handling procedures;								
•	Separation of chemical wastes for special handling and appropriate								
	treatment at a licensed facility;								
•	Routine cleaning and maintenance								
	programme for drainage systems,								
	sumps and oil interceptors;								
•	Provision of sufficient waste disposal								
	points and regular collection for								
	disposal; Adoption of appropriate measures to								
	minimize windblown litter and dust								
	during transportation of waste, such as								
	covering trucks or transporting wastes								
	in enclosed containers; and								
•	Implementation of a recording system								
	for the amount of wastes generated,								
	and disposed of (including recycled								

				Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	the disposal sites).								
6b.5.2.2	 Waste Reduction Measures Good management and control can prevent the generation of significant amounts of waste. It is recommended that the following good operational practices should be adopted to ensure waste reduction: Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; Encourage collection of aluminum cans, plastic bottles and packaging material (e.g. carton boxes) and office paper by individual collectors. Separate labelled bins should be provided to help segregate this waste from other general refuse generated by the work force; and Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity should be reused as far as practicable. 		IWMF Operator			✓			Implemented
6b.5.2.3	Storage, Handling, Treatment, Collection and Disposal of Incineration By-Products The following measures are recommended for the storage, handling and collection of the incineration by-products: Ash should be stored in storage silos; Ash should be handled and conveyed in closed systems fully	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			√		Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits	N/A

				Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*		Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	segregatedfrom the ambient environment;								
	 Ash should be wetted with water to control fugitive dust, where necessary; 								
	All fly ash and APC residues should be treated, e.g. by cement solidification or chemical stabilization, for compliance with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria prior to disposal;								
	 The ash should be transported in covered trucks or containers to the designated landfill site. 								
	The Contractor should provide EPD with chemical analysis results of the bottom ash, and treated fly ash and APC residues to confirm that the ash/residue can comply with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits before disposal.								
6b.6.3.1	 Fuel Oil Tank Construction and Test The fuel tank to be installed should be of specified durability. Double skin tanks are preferred. Underground fuel storage tank should be placed within a concrete pit. 	Fuel Oil Storage Tank/ During Design, Construction and Operation Periods	IWMF Contractor	V	✓	✓			N/A
	The concrete pit shall be accessible								

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	to allow regular tank integrity tests to be carried out at regular intervals.								
	 Tank integrity tests should be conducted by an independent qualified surveyor or structural engineer. 								
	 Any potential problems identified in the test should be rectified as soon as possible. 								
6b.6.3.1	 Fuel Oil Pipeline Construction and Test Installation of aboveground fuel oil pipelines is preferable; if underground pipelines are unavoidable, concrete lined trenches should be constructed to contain the pipelines. Double skin pipelines are preferred. Distance between the fuel oil refuelling points and the fuel oil storage tank shall be minimized. Integrity tests for the pipelines should be conducted by an independent qualified surveyor or structural engineer at regular intervals. Any potential problems identified in the test should be rectified as soon as possible. 	Fuel Oil Pipelines/ During Design, Construction and Operation Periods	IWMF Contractor	•		✓			N/A
6b.6.3.1	Fuel Oil Leakage Detection Installation of leak detection device at storage tank and pipelines.	Fuel Oil Storage Tank and Pipelines/	IWMF Contractor	✓	√	√			N/A

				Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*		Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	 Installation and use of pressure gauges (e.g. at the two ends of a filling line) in fuel filling, which allows unexpected pressure drop or difference and sign of leakage to be detected. 	During Design, Construction and Operation Periods							
6b.6.3.1	 Storage Tank Refuelling Storage tank refuelling (from road tanker) should only be conducted by authorized staff of the oil company using the company's standard procedures. 	Fuel Oil Refuelling Point/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			√			N/A
6b.6.3.1	Fuel Oil Spillage Response An Oil Spill Response Plan should be prepared by the operator to document the appropriate response procedures for oil spillage incidents in detail. General procedures to be taken in case of fuel oil spillage are presented below. • Training	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			√			N/A
	- Training on oil spill response actions should be given to relevant staff. The training shall cover the followings:								
	 Tools & resources to combat oil spillage and fire, e.g. locations of oil spill handling equipment and fire fighting equipment; General methods to deal with oil spillage and fire incidents; Procedures for emergency drills in the event of oil spills and fire; and 								

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*		Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	➤Regular drills shall be carried out.								
	Communication								
	-Establish communication channel with the Fire Services Department (FSD) and EPD to report any oil spillage incident so that necessary assistance from relevant department can be quickly sought.								
	Response Procedures								
	 -Any fuel oil spillage within the IWMF site should be immediately reported to the Plant Manager with necessary details including location, source, possible cause and extent of the spillage. 								
	 -Plant Manager should immediately attend to the spillage and initiate any appropriate action to confine and clean up the spillage. The response procedures shall include the following: >Identify and isolate the source of spillage as soon as possible. >Contain the oil spillage and avoid infiltration into soil/ groundwater and discharge to storm water channels. >Remove the oil spillage. 								
	Clean up the contaminated area.								
	If the oil spillage occurs during storage tank refuelling, the refueling operation should immediately be								

				Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	stopped. Recovered contaminated fuel oil and the associated material to remove the spilled oil should be considered as chemical waste. The handling and disposal procedures for chemical wastes are discussed in the following paragraphs.								
6b.6.3.2	 Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Handling & Storage Chemicals and chemical wastes should only be stored in suitable containers in purpose-built areas. The storage of chemical wastes should comply with the requirements of the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. The storage areas for chemicals and chemical wastes shall have an impermeable floor or surface. The impermeable floor/ surface shall possess the following properties: Not liable to chemically react with the materials and their containers to be stored. Able to withstand normal loading and physical damage caused by container handling 	Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Storage Area / During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			~			N/A
	The integrity and condition of the impermeable floor or surface should								

				Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*		Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	be inspected at regular intervals to ensure that it is satisfactorily maintained								
	For liquid chemicals and chemical wastes storage, the storage area should be bunded to contain at least 110% of the storage capacity of the largest containers or 20% of the total quantity of the chemicals/chemical wastes stored, whichever is the greater.								
	Storage containers shall be checked at regular intervals for their structural integrity and to ensure that the caps or fill points are tightly closed.								
	Chemical handling shall be conducted by trained workers under supervision.								
6b.6.3.2	Chemicals and Chemical Wastes Spillage Response A Chemicals and/ or Chemical Wastes Spillage Response Plan shall be prepared by the operator to document in detail the appropriate response procedures for chemicals or chemical wastes spillage incidents. General procedures to be undertaken in case of chemicals/ chemical waste spillages are presented below.	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓			N/A
	• Training								
	- Training on spill response actions								

				Imple	ementa	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	should be given to relevant staff. The training shall cover the followings:								
	Tools & resources to handle spillage, e.g. locations of spill handling equipment;								
	 General methods to deal with spillage; and 								
	Procedures for emergency drills in the event of spills.								
	Communication								
	 Establish communication channel with FSD and EPD to report the spillage incident so that necessary assistance from relevant department can be quickly sought. 								
	Response Procedures								
	 Any spillage within the IWMF site should be reported to the Plant Manager. 								
	 Plant Manager shall attend to the spillage and initiate any appropriate actions needed to confine and clean up the spillage. The response procedures shall include the followings: 								
	Identify and isolate the source of spillage as soon as possible;								
	Contain the spillage and avoid infiltration into soil/								

				Imple	mentat	ion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	groundwater and discharge to storm water channels (in case the spillage occurs at locations out of the designated storage areas);								
	Remove the spillage; the removal method/ procedures documented in the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) of the chemicals spilled should be observed;								
	Clean up the contaminated area (in case the spillage								
	The waste arising from the cleanup operation should be considered as chemical wastes.								
6b.6.3.3	Preventive Measures for Incineration By- products Handling The recommended measures listed below can minimize the potential contamination to the surrounding environment due to the incineration by-products: Ash should be stored in storage silos:	Storage, Handling & Collection of Incineration Ash at IWMF/ During Operation	IWMF Operator			~			N/A
	 Ash should be stored in storage silos; Ash should be handled and conveyed in closed systems fully 	Period							
	 Ash should be wetted with water to control fugitive dust, where necessary; 								
	All fly ash and APC residues should be treated, e.g. by cement solidification or chemical								

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*		Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	stabilization, for compliance with the proposed Incineration Residue Pollution Control Limits and leachability criteria prior to disposal;								
	 The ash should be transported in covered trucks or containers to the designated landfill site. 								
6b.6.3.4 - 6b.6.3.6	Incident Record After any spillage, an incident report should be prepared by the Plant Manager. The incident report should contain details of the incident including the cause of the incident, the material spilled and estimated spillage amount, and also the response actions undertaken. The incident record should be kept carefully and able to be retrieved when necessary. The incident report should provide sufficient details for the evaluation of any environmental impacts due to the spillage and assessment of the effectiveness of measures taken. In case any spillage or accidents results in significant land contamination, EPD should be informed immediately and the IWMF operator should be responsible for the cleanup of the affected area. The responses procedures described in Section 6b.6.3.1 and Section 6b.6.3.2 of EIA report should be followed accordingly together with the land contamination assessment and remediation guidelines	IWMF Site/ During Operation Period	IWMF Operator			✓		Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management and the Guidance Note for Contaminated Land and Remediation.	N/A

				Imple	menta	tion S	tages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	stipulated in the Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management and the Guidance Note for Contaminated Land and								
	Remediation.								

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.5 Implementation Schedule for Ecological Quality Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

Table B.5	Implementation Schedule for Ecological Qua	ality Measures to	or the IWMF at the art	inciai	isiand	near a	SKC		1
				Impl	ement	ation S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
7b.8.2.1	Measures to avoid direct loss of intertidal habitat • The site boundary has been proposed to avoid direct contact with the intertidal natural rocky shore of Shek Kwu Chau. It avoids direct loss of intertidal communities and the existing natural rocky shore habitat, where Reef Egret and White-bellied Sea Eagle have been recorded within and in the vicinity of this habitat.	IWMF site	Design team	✓				EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.2.2	Measures to minimise loss of coastal subtidal habitat Extensive coral colonies were recorded at the coastal hard bottom habitat at Shek Kwu Chau. To avoid and minimise the extensive direct impact on the coral colonies, the proposed reclamation area has been moved further offshore to minimise loss of subtial habitat near shore.	IWMF site	Design team	✓				EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.2.3	 Zero Discharge Scheme The design scheme of the Project has avoided discharge of wastewater into the marine environment. mechanical treatment plant, or for onsite washdown and landscape. 	IWMF site	Design team, IWMF operator	√		√		WPCO	N/A
7b.8.2.4	Measures to avoid loss of plant species of conservation importance Landing portal construction works would not cause direct lost to the recorded individual of protected plant species,	Cheung Sha landing portal	Design team, Contractor	√	✓		✓	EIAO-TM	N/A

		_		Impl	ement	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation	
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent		Des C O		Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks	
	 Aquilaria sinensis, at the coastal shrubland habitat at Cheung Sha. As a precautionary measure, the plant should be tagged with eye-catching tape and fenced off prior to works, in order to avoid any damage by workers. 									
7b.8.3.1- 7b.8.3.15	Measures to minimise water quality impact Measures for water quality as recommended in Section 5b of the EIA Report should be implemented.	Work site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	✓	✓	✓	✓	EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94; WPCO	Implemented, deficiency on deployed silt curtain checking was spotted Reminder was given to Contractor on proper silt curtains checking	
7b.8.3.16 - 7b.8.3.30	Measures to minimise disturbance on Finless Porpoise Minimisation of Habitat Loss for Finless Porpoise • Substantial revision has been made on the layout plan and form of the breakwater, in order to minimise the potential loss of important habitat for Finless Porpoise. The revision has greatly reduced the size of the embayment area, as well as the Project footprint. As a result, the size of habitat loss for Finless Porpoise has reduced from the original ~50 ha, down to ~31 ha. Avoidance of peak season for finless porpoise	IWMF site,	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	V	~	~	✓	EIAO-TM, Supporting Document for Application for Variation of the Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	Implemented for avoidance of construction works that may produce underwater acoustic disturbance, Vessel Travel Route implementation, training of staff, MMEZ and marine mammal watching works during deployment of silt curtain; N/A for others	

				Imple	ementa	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	occurrence								
	 To minimise potential acoustic disturbance from construction activities on Finless Porpoise, construction works that may produce underwater acoustic disturbance should be scheduled outside the months with peak Finless Porpoise occurrence (December to May), including: sheet piling works for construction of cofferdam surrounding the reclamation area (Phase 1); sheet piling works for construction of the shorter section of breakwater (Phase 1); sheet piling works for construction of the remaining section of breakwater (Phase 3); bored piling works for berth area (Phase 3); and submarine cable installation works between Shek Kwu Chau and Cheung Sha. 								
	Such works should be restricted within June to November. This approach would not only avoid the peak season for Finless Porpoise occurrence, the magnitude of impacts arise from acoustic disturbance would also be minimised.								

		Implementation Stages*		Relevant	Implementation				
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	T::	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	Submarine cable installation works								
	Since the DCM ground treatment and the installation of precast seawalls and breakwaters should generate no underwater acoustic disturbance to Finless Porpoise, no specific mitigation measures are required.								
	Opt for quieter construction methods and plants								
	Considering the sensitivity of marine mammals to underwater acoustic disturbance, instead of the previously proposed conventional breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure, which requires noisy piling works, the current circular cells structure for breakwater and reclamation peripheral structure is proposed. A quieter sheet piling method using vibratory hammer or hydraulic impact hammer, should be adopted for the installation of circular cells for cellular cofferdam and northern breakwater during Phase 1, and southern breakwater Phase 3;								
	 Non-percussive bore piling method would be adopted for the installation of tubular piles for the berth construction during Phase 3. 								
	Monitored exclusion zones								

				Imple	<u>ement</u>	ation :	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	During the installation/re-								
	installation/relocation process of floating type								
	silt curtains, in order to avoid the accidental								
	entrance and entrapment of marine								
	mammals within the silt curtains, a								
	monitored exclusion zone of 250 m radius								
	from silt curtain should be implemented.								
	The exclusion zone should be closely								
	monitored by an experienced marine								
	mammal observer at least 30 minutes								
	before the start of installation/re-								
	installation/relocation process. If a marine mammal is noted within the exclusion								
	zone, all marine works should stop								
	immediately and remain idle for 30 minutes,								
	or until the exclusion zone is free from								
	marine mammals.								
	The experienced marine mammal observer								
	should be well trained to detect marine								
	mammals. Binoculars should be used to								
	search the exclusion zone from an								
	elevated platform with unobstructed visibility.								
	The observer should also be independent								
	from the project proponent and has the								
	power to call-off construction activities.								
	In addition, as marine mammals cannot								
	be effectively monitored within the								
	proposed monitored exclusion zone at								
	night, or during adverse weather								
	conditions (i.e. Beaufort 5 or above,								

				Imple	<u>emen</u> ta	ation :	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	visibility of 300 meters or below), marine works should be avoided under weather conditions with low visibility.								
	Marine mammal watching plan								
	Upon the completion of the installation/re-installation/relocation of floating type silt curtain, all marine works would be conducted within a fully enclosed environment within the silt curtain, hence exclusion zone monitoring would no longer be required. Subsequently, a marine mammal watching plan should be implemented.								
	The plan should include regular inspection of silt curtains, and visual inspection of the waters surrounded by the curtains. Special attention should be paid to Phase 2 (reclamation) where the floating type still curtain would be opened occasionally for vessel access, leaving a temporary 50 m opening. An action plan should be devised to cope with any unpredicted incidents such as the case when marine mammals are found within the waters surrounded by the silt curtains.								
	Small openings at silt curtains								
	The openings for vessel access at the silt curtains should be as small as possible to								

				Imple	ement	ation \$	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	minimise the risk of accidental entrance.								
	Adoption of regular travel route								
	During construction and operation, captains of all vessels should adopt regular travel								
	route, in order to minimize the chance of vessel collision with marine mammals,								
	which may otherwise result in damage to health or mortality. The regular travel								
	route should avoid areas with high sighting density of Finless Porpoise as much as possible.								
	Vessel speed limit								
	The frequent vessel traffic in the vicinity of works area may increase the chance of the chance								
	mammal mammals being killed or seriously injured by vessel collision. A speed limit of ten knots should be strictly								
	enforced within areas with high density of Finless Porpoise.								
	Passive acoustic monitoring and land-based theodolite monitoring surveys should be								
	adopted to verify the predicted impacts and effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures.								
	Training of Staff								

					Imple	ement	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	Staff, including captains of vessels, should be aware of the guidelines for safe vessel operations in the presence of cetaceans during construction and operation phases. Adequate trainings should be provided									
7b.8.3.31 - 7b.8.3.34	 Measures to minimise impact on corals Coral translocation Coral communities within and in proximity to the proposed dredging sites would be disturbed by the Project due to the dredging operations. In order to minimise direct loss of coral communities, translocation of corals that are attached to movable rocks with diameter less than 50 cm are recommended. In order to avoid disturbance to corals during the spawning period, the spawning season of corals (June to August) should be avoided; and that translocation should be carried out during the winter season (November-March). The REA survey results suggest that the 198 directly affected coral colonies were attached to movable rocks (less than 50 cm in diameter). It is technically feasible to translocate them to avoid direct loss. 	IWMF site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	✓	•	✓		EIAO-TM	Implemented, tagged coral found missing after hitting by typhoons Re-tagging of 10 coral colonies at indirect impact site was conducted in November 2018, while retagging of 4 coral colonies at control site was conducted in December 2018.
	Prior to coral translocation, a more									

				Imple	<u>ement</u>	ation \$	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	detailed baseline survey, including								
	event / action plan for coral monitoring								
	should be submitted upon approval of this								
	Project, prior to commencement of								
	construction works. Advice from relevant governmental departments (i.e. AFCD)								
	and professionals would be sought after, in								
	order to identify a desirable location for the								
	relocation of coral communities. Post-								
	translocation monitoring on the								
	translocated corals should also be								
	considered.								
	Coral monitoring programme								
	A coral monitoring programme is								
	recommended to assess any adverse and								
	unacceptable impacts to the coral								
	communities at the coasts of Shek Kwu								
	Chau during construction of the Project.								
	Phasing of Works								
	To minimize environmental impacts,								
	the proposed phasing of construction works								
	has been carefully designed to reduce the								
	amount of concurrent works, hence								
	minimize SS elevation and the								
'L 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	associated impacts on corals.	NA/NAE aita	Decima Terra	✓	√	√	/	FIAO TM	
b.8.3.35	Specific measures to minimize disturbance on breeding White-bellied Sea Eagle	IWMF site, marine traffic	Design Team, Contractor, IWMF		V	V	•	EIAO-TM	Implemented
b.8.3.41	on breeding writte-belied Sea Eagle	route	operator						

				Imple	ement	ation \$	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	Avoidance of noisy works during the breeding season of White-bellied Sea Eagle								
	 To minimize potential noise disturbance from construction activities on WBSE, noisy construction works should be scheduled outside their breeding season (December to May) to minimise potential degradation in breeding ground quality and breeding activities, including: 								
	 sheet piling works for construction of cofferdam surrounding the reclamation area (Phase 1); sheet piling works for construction of the shorter section of breakwater (Phase 1); sheet piling works for construction of the remaining section of breakwater (Phase 3); and bored piling works for berth area (Phase 3). 								
	Opt for quieter construction methods and plants								
	To minimise potential construction noise disturbance on WBSE, quieter construction methods and plants should be adopted. The recommended noise mitigation measures in the Noise chapter (Section 4b.8 of the EIA Report) should be implemented to minimise potential noise disturbance to								

EIA Ref Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures / Mitigation Measures acceptable levels. Restriction on vessel access near the nest of White-bellied Sea Eagle • During construction and operation, in order to minimize disturbance on the existing WBSE nest, a pre-defined practical route to restrict vessel access near the nest should be adopted to keep vessels and boats as far away from the nest as possible. White-bellied Sea Eagle monitoring programme • A WBSE monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include preconstruction phase (twice per months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction works). • Surveys should be conducted twice per				Imple	<u>emen</u> ta	ation :	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
Restriction on vessel access near the nest of White-bellied Sea Eagle • During construction and operation, in order to minimize disturbance on the existing WBSE nest, a pre-defined practical route to restrict vessel access near the nest should be adopted to keep vessels and boats as far away from the nest as possible. White-bellied Sea Eagle monitoring programme • A WBSE monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include preconstruction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction works). • Surveys should be conducted twice per	EIA Ref			Des	С	0	Dec	and	Status and Remarks
White-bellied Sea Eagle During construction and operation, in order to minimize disturbance on the existing WBSE nest, a pre-defined practical route to restrict vessel access near the nest should be adopted to keep vessels and boats as far away from the nest as possible. White-bellied Sea Eagle monitoring programme A WBSE monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include preconstruction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction works). Surveys should be conducted twice per		acceptable levels.							
to minimize disturbance on the existing WBSE nest, a pre-defined practical route to restrict vessel access near the nest should be adopted to keep vessels and boats as far away from the nest as possible. White-bellied Sea Eagle monitoring programme • A WBSE monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include preconstruction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction works). • Surveys should be conducted twice per									
A WBSE monitoring programme is recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include preconstruction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction works). Surveys should be conducted twice per		to minimize disturbance on the existing WBSE nest, a pre-defined practical route to restrict vessel access near the nest should be adopted to keep vessels and							
recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include preconstruction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction works). • Surveys should be conducted twice per		White-bellied Sea Eagle monitoring programme							
		recommended to assess any adverse and unacceptable impacts to the breeding activities of WBSE during construction and operation of the Project. Monitoring surveys for WBSE would include preconstruction phase (twice per month for duration of three months during their breeding season -between December and May, immediately before the commencement of works), construction phase, and operation phase (two years after the completion of construction							
December to May); and once per month		month during their breeding season (from							

				Imple	<u>ement</u> a	tion S	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	outside breeding season (June to November). More details on monitoring for WBSE are presented in the EM&A Manual.								
	Education of staff								
	Staff, including captains of all vessels during construction and operation phases, should be aware of the ecological importance of WBSE. Awareness should be raised among staff to minimise any intentional or unintentional disturbance to the nest.								
	Minimisation of Glare Disturbance								
	To minimise glare disturbance on WBSE, which may cause disorientation of birds by interfering with their magnetic compass, and disruption in behavioural patterns such as reproduction, fat storage and foraging pattern, any unnecessary outdoor lighting should be avoided, and in-ward and down-ward pointing of lights should be adopted.								
-	 Construction of Seawall/Breakwaters To widen the open channel between the Artificial Island and Shek Kwu Chau. To design the precast concrete seawall with environmental friendly features. 	IWMF site	Design team, contractor, IWMF operator	√	√			Supporting Document for Application for Variation of Environmental Permit (EP- 429/2012)	N/A

		Location / Timing			Imple	ement	ation \$	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		•	Implementation De Agent		С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
7b.8.3.42	Opt for Quieter Construction Methods and Plants • Quieter construction methods and plants should be used to minimise disturbance to the nearby terrestrial habitat and the associated wildlife.	Work site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	✓	✓	✓	√	EIAO-TM	Implemented
7b.8.3.43	Measures to minimize impacts from artificial lighting Unnecessary lighting should be avoided, and shielding of lights should be provided to minimize disturbance from light pollution on fauna groups.	IWMF site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	√	√	√		EIAO-TM	Implemented
7b.8.3.44 - 7b.8.3.45	 Measures to minimize accidental spillage Regular maintenance of vessels, vehicles and equipment that may cause leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within pre-designated areas, which are appropriately equipped to control the associated discharges. Oils, fuels and chemicals should be contained in suitable containers, and only be used and stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. All fuel tanks and storage areas should be sited on sealed areas in order to prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to the nearby watercourses. All waste oils and fuels should be collected in designated tanks prior 	Work site	Contractor, operator	IWMF		~	✓	~	EIAO-TM	Deficiency of Mitigation Measures but rectified by the Contractor

				Imple	ementa	ation S	Stages*	* Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	to disposal.								
7b.8.3.46	Measures to minimise sewage effluent Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce.	Work site	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM	N/A
7b.8.3.47	Measures to minimise drainage and construction runoff	Work site	Contractor		✓		√	EIAO-TM	N/A
	 Potential ecological impacts resulted from potential degradation of water quality due to unmitigated surface runoff could be minimised via the detailed mitigation measures in Section 5b.8 of the EIA Report. The following presents some of the mitigation measures: On-site drainage system with implemented sedimentation control facilities. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. Provision of embankment at boundaries of earthworks for flood protection. Water pumped out from foundation piles must be discharged into silt removal facilities. During rainstorms, exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by 								

				Imple	ementa	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable. - Exposed soil surface should be minimized to reduce siltation and runoff. - Earthwork final surfaces should be well compacted. Subsequent permanent surface protection should be immediately performed. - Open stockpiles of construction materials, and construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms.								
7b.8.3.48	Measures to minimise impacts from general construction activities To avoid the entering of construction solid waste into the nearby habitats, construction solid waste should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering to the nearby habitats. It is recommended to clean the	Work site	Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM	Implemented
7b.8.3.49	construction sites on a regular basis. Pest Control Good waste management practices should be adopted at the IWMF in order to minimise the risk of introduction of pest to the island: - Transportation of wastes in enclosed containers - Waste storage area should be well maintained and cleaned	IWMF site	IWMF operator			✓			N/A

		Location / Timing		Impl	ementa	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures		Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	- Waste should only be disposed of								
	at designated areas								
	- Timely removal of the newly arrived								
	waste - Removal of items that are capable of								
	retaining water								
	- Rapid clean up of any waste spillages								
	- Maintenance of a tidy and clean site								
	environment								
	 Regular application of pest control 								
	 Education of staff the importance of site 								
	cleanliness								
7b.8.3.50	Control of Marine Habitat Quality during	IWMF site	IWMF operator			\checkmark		EIAO-TM; WPCO	N/A
	Operation Phase								
	Depending on the seabed condition of								
	the approach channel for marine vessels								
	during operation phase of the IWMF,								
	maintenance dredging may be required to								
	ensure safe access. In order to avoid								
	degradation in water quality due to elevation								
	in SS and dispersion of sediment plume								
	due to dredging works, it is recommended								
	that any future maintenance dredging works should not be carried out within								
	100 m from the shore, similar to that								
	of the dredging for anti-scouring								
	protection layer during construction phase.								
	All maintenance dredging works should								
	be carried out with the implementation								
	of silt curtain to control the dispersion of								
	SS. The production rate should comply								
	with the permit dredging rate and number								

vant Implementatio
ation Status and Remarks
N/A
_

				Imple	ementati	on Stages	es* Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	O Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	extent and location of the proposed marine park be determined. The adequacy of enhancement measures should also be reviewed.							
	• In addition, a management plan for the proposed marine park should be proposed, covering information on the responsible departments for operation and management (O&M) of the marine park, as well as the O&M duties of each of the departments involved. Consultation with relevant government departments and stakeholders should be conducted under the study. The study should be submitted to Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) for approval before the commencement of construction works.							
	 The Project Proponent should provide assistance to AFCD during the process of the marine park designation. 							
7b.8.5.1 - 7b.8.5.4	Additional Enhancement or Precautionary Measures Deployment of Artificial Reefs	Within the proposed marine park under this		✓	✓		EIAO-TM	N/A
	 Deployment of artificial reefs (ARs) is an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. ARs are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project. The exact location, dimension and type of ARs to be deployed are to be 	study						

				Imple	ement	ation	Stages*	* Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
	further investigated along with the further study of the proposed marine park under this Project. The proposed ARs would be deployed at the same time as the complete designation of marine park. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs and Marine Park								
	Release of fish fry at the proposed ARs, as well as the proposed marine park under this study, should enhance the fish resources in the nearby waters, and subsequently food sources for Finless Porpoise. The proposed ARs with various micro-habitats would have the potential to provide shelter and nursery ground for the released fish fry. The frequency and quantity of fry to be released should be agreed by AFCD.								

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.6 Implementation Schedule for Fisheries Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

					Imple	ementa	ation	Stages*		Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implemer Agei		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
8b.8.1.2	Measure to minimize loss of and disturbance on fisheries resources	IWMF site	Design contractor	team,	✓	✓		√	EIAO-TM	N/A
	 Alteration to the phasing of works, construction method, and layout plan of the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC has been made. The total fishing ground to be permanently lost due to the project has been significantly reduced from ~50 ha to ~31 ha. By adopting the current circular cells instead of the conventional seawall construction method, SS elevation would be greatly reduced, minimizing adverse impact on the health of fisheries resources. 									
8b.8.1.3	Measure to minimize impingement and entrainment	IWMF site	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	✓	✓	✓		EIAO-TM	N/A
	 Provision of a screen at the water intake point for desalination plant would be essential to minimize the risk of impingement and entrainment of fisheries resources (including fish, larvae and egg) through the intake point. 									

		Location /				Imple	ement	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures			Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks		
8b.8.1.4- 8b.8.1.6	 Measures to control water quality No wastewater effluent, anti-fouling agent, heavy metals and other contaminants would be released during operation phase of the Project. Mitigation measures recommended in the water quality impact assessment during construction and operation would serve to protect fisheries resources from indirect impacts resulted from the Project 	Work site	site, IWMF	Design contractor, operator	team, IWMF	*	✓	•	✓	EIAO-TM	Implemented, deficiency on deployed silt curtain checking was spotted Reminder was given to Contractor on proper silt curtains checking
8b.8.1.7 - 8b.8.1.8	Additional Enhancement / Precautionary Measures Artificial Reefs (ARs) are proposed to be deployed within the proposed marine park under this Project as an enhancement measure for the marine habitats. This enhancement feature would bring positive impacts to the previously identified important spawning and nursery ground for fisheries resources. Release of Fish Fry at Artificial Reefs Release of fish fry has been proposed under this Project. The proposed deployment of ARs within the proposed marine park would provide shelter and nursery ground for the released fish fry. The frequency and quantity of fry to be released should be agreed by AFCD.	betwee Islands Shek Chau	park waters n Soko	Project Pro	ponent	*		V		EIAO-TM	N/A

^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning

Table B.7 Implementation Schedule for Landscape and Visual Measures for the IWMF at the artificial island near SKC

	Environmental Protection	/ Imp	Implementation	Imple	ement	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
S10b.10 MLVC- 01	Grass-hydroseeded bare soil surface and stock pile area	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		√				N/A
S10b.10 MLVC-02	Landscape Design 1) Early planting using fast grow trees and tall shrubs at strategic locations within site as buffer to block view corridors to the site from the VSRs, and to locally screen haul roads, excavation works and site preparation works.	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	√	•				N/A
	2) Use of tree species of dense tree crown to serve as visual barrier.								
	3) Hard and soft landscape treatment (e.g. trees and shrubs) of open areas within development to provide a background for the outdoor containers from open view, shade and shelter, and a green appearance from surrounding viewpoints.								
	4) Planting strip along the periphery of the project site.								
	5) Selected tree species suitable for the coastal condition.								

	Environmental Protection		Implementation	Imple	ement	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
S10b.10 MLVC-03	 Adoption of Natural Features of the Existing Shoreline 1) Use of boulders in different sizes and with the similar textures of the existing rocky shores for the construction of breakwater and artificial shoreline in order to blend into the existing natural shoreline. 2) Use of cellular cofferdam together with the natural boulders to form a curvature shoreline for the reclamation area to echo with the natural shoreline of SKC. 	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		•				N/A
MLVC-04	Greening Design (Rooftop & Vertical Greening) 1) Implementation of rooftop and vertical greening (vertical building envelope) along the periphery of each building block to increase the amenity value of the work, moderate temperature extremes and enhance building energy performance. The greening appearance of the building shall enhance its visual harmony with the natural surroundings as well as reduce the apparent visual mass of the structure. 2) Sufficient space between concrete	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	~	✓				N/A
	enclosure and stack to minimize heat transfer.								
	Introduction of landscape decks at the stack to further enhance the overall natural and green concept unique for this site.								

- 14 - 6	Environmental Protection		Implementation	Imple	ement	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation Status and Remarks				
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines					
S10b.10 MVC-01	Visual Mitigation and Aesthetic Design	Structures in IWMF /	Contractor	✓	✓				N/A				
WVC-01	Use of natural materials with recessive color to minimize the bulkiness of the building.	During design & constructio											
	Adoption of innovative aesthetic design to the chimney to minimize or visually mitigate the massing of the chimney so as to reduce its visual impact to the surroundings.	n phases											
	 Color of the chimney in a gradual changing manner to match with the color of the sky. 												
	 Provision of observation deck for public enjoyment at the top of the chimney to diminish the feeling of chimney. 												
	5) Provision of sky gardens between the two stacks to allow additional greening for enhancing the aesthetic quality. Maintenance access (elevator and staircase) from the ground floor to the sky gardens will be provided to allow maintenance of the sky gardens.												
	6) Integration of the visitor's walkway with different material façade design of incinerator plant to enhance the aesthetic quality.												
S10b.10 MVC-02	Control of the security floodlight for construction areas at night to avoid excessive glare to the surrounding receiver.	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				Implemented				


	Environmental Protection		Implementation	Imple	ment	ation	Stages*	Relevant	Implementation
EIA Ref	Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Agent	Des	С	O Dec		Legislation and Guidelines	Status and Remarks
S10b.10 MVC-03	Optimization of the construction sequence and construction programme to minimize the duration of impact.	Work site / During design & construction phases	Contractor	*	✓				Implemented
S10b.10 MVC-04	Storage of the backfilling materials for site formation & construction materials / wastes on site at a maximum height of 2m, covered with an impermeable material of visually un-obtrusive material (in earth tone).	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		√				N/A
S10b.10 MVC-05	Reduction of the number of construction traffic at the site to practical minimum.	Work site / During construction phase	Contractor		✓				Implemented
S10b.10 MLVO-01	Planting Maintenance Provision of proper planting maintenance and replacement of defective plant species on the new planting areas to enhance aesthetic and landscape quality.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A
S10b.10 MVO-01	Environmental Education Centre Development of an Environmental Education Center, in which regular exhibitions and lectures to promote environmental awareness and waste reduction concept would be provided, as a part of the IWMF for the general public to alleviate negative public perceptions of the development.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A
\$10b.10 MVO-02	Control of Light Control the numbers of lights and their intensity to a level that is good enough to meet the safety requirements at night but not excessive.	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			√			N/A


Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase 1


EIA Ref	Environmental Protection Measures / Mitigation Measures	Location / Timing	Implementation Agent	Imple Des	ementa C	ation O	Stages* Dec	Relevant Legislation and Guidelines	Implementation Status and Remarks
S10b.10 MVO-03	Control of Operation Time Minimization of the frequency of waste transportation to practical minimum (e.g. limit the reception of MSW from 8 am to 8 pm)	Project site / During Operation phase	Contractor			✓			N/A


^{*} Des - Design, C - Construction, O - Operation, and Dec - Decommissioning


Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix C	Water Quality Monitor	ring Data Trending






































Note: The Action and Limit Level of Suspended Solids can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM&A report.




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Suspended Solids can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM&A report.




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Temperature can be referred to Table 2.2 of the quarterly EM&A report.



Note: The Action and Limit Level of Temperature can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM&A report.




Note: The Action and Limit Level of Alkalinity can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM&A report.



Note: The Action and Limit Level of Alkalinity can be referred to **Table 2.2** of the quarterly EM&A report.

Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix D	Noise Monitoring Data To	rending



Summary of the Construction Activities Undertaken during the Reporting Period

Location of works	Construction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
Seawall and breakwater locations	Marine site investigation works	• 56 out of 62 drill holes were completed
Location of DCM Site Trial	Coring of DCM samples	Completed
Location of Static Loading Test	Laying of geotextile and sand blanket	Completed
Seawall locations	Collecting of Marine Sediment Samples	Completed
Location of DCM Static Loading Test	DCM installation	Completed
Seawall and breakwater locations	Laying of Geotextile and Sand Blanket	• 42 out of 48 geotextiles were laid
		On-going for sand blanket laying
Seawall and berth area	DCM installation	On-going

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1 (M1/

N_S1)

Monitoring date: 2, 8, 15, 22 and 29 October 2018

Nil

Parameter: $L_{eq 30min}$

Noise source other than

construction activities from

the Project:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min}
					dB(A)
02-10-2018	11:23	-	11:53	Sunny	51.6
08-10-2018	10:49	-	11:19	Sunny	58.1
15-10-2018	10:51	-	11:21	Sunny	49.4
22-10-2018	11:30	-	12:00	Sunny	50.3
29-10-2018	11:17	-	11:47	Sunny	50.6

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1 (M1/

N_S1)

Monitoring date: 5, 12, 19 & 26 November 2018

Parameter: $L_{eq 30min}$

Noise source other than construction activities from

Installation of air-conditioner nearby

the Project:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min} dB(A)
05-11-2018	11:14	-	11:44	Sunny	48.8
12-11-2018	11:17	-	11:47	Sunny	50.6
19-11-2018	11:14	-	11:44	Sunny	60.8
26-11-2018	11:30	-	12:00	Sunny	52.3

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 1 (M1/

N_S1)

Monitoring date: 3, 10, 17, 24 & 31 December 2018

Nil

Parameter: $L_{eq 30min}$

Noise source other than

construction activities from

the Project:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min}
					dB(A)
03-12-2018	11:24	-	11:54	Sunny	51.1
10-12-2018	11:23	-	11:53	Sunny	51.3
17-12-2018	11:18	-	11:48	Sunny	52.4
24-12-2018	11:16	-	11:46	Sunny	52.3
31-12-2018	11:25	-	11:55	Sunny	50.2

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 2 (M2/

N_S2)

Monitoring date: 2, 8, 15, 22 and 29 October 2018

Nil

 $Parameter: \qquad \qquad L_{eq\;30min}$

Noise source other than

construction activities from

the Project:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min} dB(A)
02-10-2018	10:48	-	11:18	Sunny	56.6
08-10-2018	11:21	-	11:51	Sunny	50.0
15-10-2018	11:24	-	11:54	Sunny	54.7
22-10-2018	10:57	_	11:27	Sunny	56.4
29-10-2018	10:43	-	11:13	Sunny	53.3

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 2 (M2/

N_S2)

Monitoring date: 5, 12, 19 & 26 November 2018

 $Parameter: \qquad \qquad L_{eq\;30min}$

Noise source other than construction activities from

the Ducient

the Project:

Installation of air-conditioner nearby

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min} dB(A)
05-11-2018	10:40	-	11:10	Sunny	53.6
12-11-2018	10:44	-	11:14	Sunny	52.9
19-11-2018	10:41	-	11:11	Sunny	60.6
26-11-2018	10:56	-	11:26	Sunny	51.9

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 2 (M2/

N_S2)

Monitoring date: 3, 10, 17, 24 & 31 December 2018

Nil

 $Parameter: \qquad \qquad L_{eq\;30min}$

Noise source other than

construction activities from

the Project:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min} dB(A)
03-12-2018	10:51	-	11:21	Sunny	54.9
10-12-2018	10:49	-	11:19	Sunny	55.8
17-12-2018	10:45	-	11:15	Sunny	53.9
24-12-2018	10:43	_	11:13	Sunny	54.4
31-12-2018	10:52	-	11:22	Sunny	55.2

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 3 (M3 /

N_S3)

Monitoring date: 2, 8, 15, 22 and 29 October 2018

 $Parameter: \qquad \qquad L_{eq\;30min}$

Noise source other than construction activities from

Air-conditioning units nearby

the Project:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min} dB(A)
02-10-2018	9:58	-	10:28	Sunny	54.5
08-10-2018	10:03	-	10:33	Sunny	45.9
15-10-2018	10:06	-	10:36	Sunny	53.0
22-10-2018	10:15	-	10:45	Sunny	49.3
29-10-2018	10:01	-	10:31	Sunny	47.6

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 3 (M3 /

N_S3)

Monitoring date: 5, 12, 19 & 26 November 2018

 $Parameter: \qquad \qquad L_{eq\;30min}$

Noise source other than construction activities from

d B

the Project:

Air-conditioning units nearby

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min} dB(A)
05-11-2018	9:57	-	10:27	Sunny	51.1
12-11-2018	10:04	-	10:34	Sunny	53.8
19-11-2018	10:01	-	10:31	Sunny	54.7
26-11-2018	10:13	-	10:43	Sunny	51.5

Location: Shek Kwu Chau Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre Hostel 3 (M3 /

N_S3)

Monitoring date: 3, 10, 17, 24 & 31 December 2018

 $Parameter: \qquad \qquad L_{eq\;30min}$

Noise source other than construction activities from

Air-conditioning units nearby

the Project:

Date	Start time		End time	Weather	L _{eq 30min} dB(A)
03-12-2018	10:03	-	10:33	Sunny	51.9
10-12-2018	10:06	-	10:36	Sunny	51.3
17-12-2018	9:57	1	10:27	Sunny	51.4
24-12-2018	10:01	-	10:31	Sunny	52.9
31-12-2018	10:11	-	10:41	Sunny	49.7

Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Ventur			
Appendix E	Waste Flow Table				



吉寶西格斯 - 振華聯營公司 **Keppel Seghers - Zhen Hua Joint Venture**



Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018

Project : In	roject : Integrated Waste Management Facilities, Phase I						Contract No.: EP/SP/66/12							
	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly						
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (see Note 1)	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill Sand	Imported Fill Public fill	Imported Fill Rock	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 2)	Chemica	l Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	1	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000L)	(in '000kg)
Jan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feb	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Apr	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
May	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.2
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	0.87	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	0.87	7.4

Notes:

- Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates. (1)
- Plastics refer to plastic bottles/ containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials. (2)

Contract No. EP/SP/66. Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Jo				
Appendix F	Photo Records for Cora	al Monitoring				


Photo Plate for Re-tagged Corals at Control Site after super typhoon Mangkhut in mid-September 2018


Tag #	3 December 2018
#2R	
#5R	05
#7R	
#10R	


Notes:


i. The re-tagged corals were marked as #R.

Photo Plate for Re-tagged Corals at Indirect Impact Site after super typhoon Mangkhut in mid-September 2018









Notes:

i. The re-tagged corals were marked as #R.

Photo Plate for Translocated Hard Corals at Recipient Site R3

Tag #	for Translocated Hard Corals at Recipient Sit Baseline	3 December 2018	
1		47	
2		Missing	
3	03)	Missing	
4	OA		


Tag #	Baseline	3 December 2018
5	S	Missing
6	6	
7	(8)	
8	(OS)	Missing

Tag #	Baseline	3 December 2018
9	S	Missing
10		
11		
12	12	Missing

Tag #	Baseline	3 December 2018
13	13	Missing
14	14)	Missing
15	60	Missing
16	6	

Photo Plate for Natural Hard Corals at Recipient Site R3

Tag#	Baseline	3 December 2018
1		
2		02
3	C(3)	
4		







Photo Plate for Representative photo records during the REA survey



Contract No. EP/SP/66/ Integrated Waste Manag	gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Venture
Appendix G	Photo Records for Marine N	Mammal Monitoring





Photo records during October 2018 to December 2018 of Vessel-based Line-Transect Survey Effort





Photo records during October 2018 to December 2018 of Vessel-based Line-Transect Survey Effort

Contract No. EP/SP/66. Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint Ventur
Appendix H	Photo Records for White-b Monitoring	ellied Sea Eagle

Photo Plate for 4th Monthly WBSE monitoring




Photo Plate for 5th Monthly WBSE monitoring




Photo Plate for 6th Monthly WBSE monitoring



Adult WBSE standing on the Tree Top



Adult WBSE Flying Over at SKC

Contract No. EP/SP/66 Integrated Waste Mana	/12 gement Facilities, Phase 1	Keppel Seghers – Zhen Hua Joint	Venture
Appendix I	Complaint Log		

Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Reporting	Environmental Complaint Statistics		
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
1 Oct 2018- 31 Oct 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Nov 2018- 30 Nov 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Dec 2018- 31 Dec 2018	0	0	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Reporting Period	Environmental Summons Statistics		
	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 Oct 2018- 31 Oct 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Nov 2018- 30 Nov 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Dec 2018- 31 Dec 2018	0	0	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Reporting	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 Oct 2018- 31 Oct 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Nov 2018- 30 Nov 2018	0	0	N/A
1 Dec 2018- 31 Dec 2018	0	0	N/A